# PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link. http://hdl.handle.net/2066/197556

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2021-12-13 and may be subject to change.

# Stargardt disease Toward clinical trials

Stanley Lambertus

# Stargardt disease

Toward clinical trials

Stanley Lambertus

The work presented in this thesis was carried out within the Radboud Institute for Health Sciences.

Research described in this thesis was financially supported by Stichting A.F. Deutman Researchfonds Oogheelkunde; Nederlandse Oogonderzoek Stichting; Gelderse Blindenstichting; Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft; BONFOR GEROK Program, Faculty of Medicine, University of Bonn; the National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at Moorfields Eye Hospital National Health Service Foundation Trust and UCL Institute of Ophthalmology; Fight For Sight; The Macular Society; Moorfields Eye Hospital Special Trustees; Moorfields Eye Charity; the Foundation Fighting Blindness; Retina UK; and by the following foundations that contributed through UitZicht: Stichting MD fonds, Landelijke Stichting voor Blinden en Slechtzienden, and Oogfonds.

Financial support for the publication of this thesis was kindly provided by Radboud University Nijmegen; Landelijke Stichting voor Blinden en Slechtzienden; Rotterdamse Stichting Blindenbelangen; Stichting A.F. Deutman Researchfonds Oogheelkunde; Stichting Blindenhulp; Stichting voor Ooglijders; ChipSoft B.V.; Medical Workshop B.V.; and Synga Medical B.V.

All funding organizations had no role in the design or conduct of this research. They provided unrestricted grants.

#### ©2018, Stanley Lambertus, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

Selected images in Chapter 1 are licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-SA 4.0). No others parts of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form without prior written permission by the copyright holder. Supplemental material is available online.

Cover design and lay-out	Stanley Lambertus		
	Inspired by Shutterstock		
Photograph of the author	DUTZU Photography		
Printed by	Ipskamp Printing B.V.		
Publisher	Radboud University		
ISBN	978-94-92896-95-7		
NUR	876		

# Stargardt disease

Toward clinical trials

#### PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan de Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen op gezag van de rector magnificus prof. dr. J.H.J.M. van Krieken, volgens besluit van het college van decanen in het openbaar te verdedigen op vrijdag 21 december 2018

om 12:30 uur precies

door

Stanley Lambertus geboren op 18 november 1987 te Gendringen

#### Promotoren

Prof. dr. C.B. Hoyng Prof. dr. G.J. van der Wilt Prof. dr. B.J. Klevering

#### Manuscriptcommissie

Prof. dr. H.G. Brunner Dr. R.J.E. Pennings Prof. dr. A.J. Lotery (University Hospital Southampton, Verenigd Koninkrijk) "Research on rare diseases illuminates key aspects of human physiology. Common diseases are beginning to be dissected into collections of "rare" molecularly defined subgroups. These advances in our understanding of human pathophysiology have the potential to relieve human suffering through precision medicine. But to improve clinical medicine, new treatments for rare diseases and disease subtypes must be evaluated using special clinical trial designs and analyses that address the statistical challenges associated with small sample sizes."

– Edward L. Korn, Lisa M. McShane and Boris Freidlin –

VI · Stargardt disease - Toward clinical trials

# Table of contents

	Abb	reviations	VIII			
	Visu	al acuity conversion chart	IX			
CHAPTER 1	Intro	oduction				
	1.1	Stargardt disease	3			
	1.2	A history of treatment evaluation	11			
	1.3	Toward clinical trials for Stargardt disease	14			
	1.4	Aims of this thesis	15			
CHAPTER 2	Natu	ural history of Stargardt disease				
	2.1	Early-onset Stargardt disease:	23			
		phenotypic and genotypic characteristics				
		Ophthalmology. 2015 Feb;122(2):335-44				
	2.2	Progression of late-onset Stargardt disease	43			
		Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2016 Oct 1;57(13):5186-5191				
CHAPTER 3	Diagnosing Stargardt disease					
	3.1	The absence of fundus abnormalities in Stargardt disease	59			
		Submitted				
	3.2	Differential disease progression in atrophic age-related macular	75			
		degeneration and late-onset Stargardt disease				
		Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2017 Feb 1;58(2):1001-1007				
CHAPTER 4	Mea	suring progression in Stargardt disease				
	4.1	Asymmetric inter-eye progression in Stargardt disease	93			
		Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2016 Dec 1;57(15):6824-6830				
	4.2	Highly sensitive measurements of disease progression in rare	109			
		disorders: developing and validating a multimodal model of				
		retinal degeneration in Stargardt disease				
		PLoS One. 2017 Mar 29;12(3):e0174020				
	4.3	Lipofuscin-associated photo-oxidative stress during fundus	127			
		autofluorescence imaging				
		PLoS One. 2017 Feb 24;12(2):e0172635				

187

#### CHAPTER 5 Discussion

5.1	Relevance of disease classification	152
5.2	Identification and selection of patients	155
5.3	Endpoints in clinical trials	156
5.4	Meaningful outcome measures	161
5.5	When present becomes history	162

#### CHAPTER 6 Summary

Portfolio

6.1	Summary	171
6.2	Samenvatting	173
6.3	Ringkasan	175
Dank	woord	179
Curri	culum vitae	183
Publications		183

# Abbreviations

A2E	N-retinylidene-N-retinylethanolamine				
ABCA4	adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-binding cassette, sub-family A, member 4 transporter				
	The ABCA4 protein was previously denoted as ABCR (Chapter 2.1). For consistency, this abbreviation has been				
	changed to ABCA4 throughout this thesis.				
AF	autofluorescence   allele frequency				
	qAF quantitative autofluorescence				
	DDAF definitely decreased autofluorescence				
	QDAF questionably decreased autofluorescence				
	SW-AF short-wavelength autofluorescence				
AMD	age-related macular degeneration				
ANSI	American National Standards Institute				
ASTM	American Society of Testing and Materials				
CACD	central areolar choroidal dystrophy				
CI	confidence interval				
CNV	choroidal neovascularization				
CF	counting fingers				
СТ	computed tomography				
ELM	external limiting membrane				
EMA	European Medicines Agency				
ERG	electroretinography				
	ffERG full-field electroretinography				
	mfERG multifocal electroretinography				
	PERG pattern electroretinography				
ETDRS	Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study				
ExAC	Exome Aggregation Consortium				
EZ	ellipsoid zone				
FA	fluorescein angiography				
FAF	fundus autofluorescence				
FAM	Fundus Autofluorescence Imaging in Age-related Macular Degeneration				
FDA	U.S. Food and Drug Administration				
GA	geographic atrophy				
GPS[+]	fine granular pattern with peripheral punctate spots				
НМ	hand movements				
ISCEV	International Society for Clinical Electrophysiology of Vision				
IQR	interquartile range				
logMAR	logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution				
LOVD	Leiden Open (source) Variation Database				
MC	Monte Carlo				
MRI	magnetic resonance imaging				
NI	not identified				
NIH	National Institute of Health				
NIR	near-infrared reflectance				
NA	not available				
NP	not performed				
ОСТ	optical coherence tomography				
	SD-OCT spectral-domain optical coherence tomography				
OD	optical density				

OR	odds ratio				
PE	phosphatidyle than olamine				
PhyloP	phylogenetic P values				
PolyPhen-2	polymorphism phenotyping version 2				
PRPH2	Peripherin-2				
RPE	retinal pigmen	retinal pigment epithelium			
Q <sub>1</sub>	first interquartile				
Q <sub>3</sub>	third interquartile				
RMSE	root-mean-sq	root-mean-square error			
RPE	retinal pigment epithelium				
SD	standard deviation				
	<ul> <li>MSDR</li> </ul>	mean-to-standard-deviation ratio			
SE	standard error				
SIFT	sorting intolerant from tolerant				
STGD1	Stargardt disease				
	• EO-STGD1	early-onset Stargardt disease			
	<ul> <li>IO-STGD1</li> </ul>	intermediate-onset Stargardt disease			
	• LO-STGD1	late-onset Stargardt disease			
VA	visual acuity				
	• BCVA	best-corrected visual acuity			

# Visual acuity conversion chart

	Decimal notation	U.S. notation	logMAR
Normal vision	1.6	20/12.5	-0.2
	1.25	20/16	-0.1
	1.0	20/20	0
	0.8	20/25	0.1
Mild visual	0.63	20/32	0.2
impairment	0.5	20/40	0.3
	0.4	20/50	0.4
	0.32	20/63	0.5
Moderate visual	0.25	20/80	0.6
impairment	0.2	20/100	0.7
	0.16	20/125	0.8
	0.125	20/160	0.9
Severe visual	0.1	20/200	1.0
impairment	0.08	20/250	1.1
	0.063	20/320	1.2
	0.05	20/400	1.3
Profound visual	0.04	20/500	1.4
impairment	0.032	20/630	1.5
	0.025	20/800	1.6
	0.02	20/1000	1.7
(Near-)blindness	less than 0.02   20/1000		1.8
			1.9
			2.0
			2.1



CHAPTER 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Stargardt disease

The first cases of what is now known as Stargardt disease were described in the late 19<sup>th</sup> century. Back then, Stargardt disease, as well as most other retinal dystrophies, was commonly regarded as an infectious or inflammatory disease: central choroiditis. Patients were often suspected for tuberculosis or lues. They were treated accordingly, but these patients eventually could no longer read, perceive colors, or recognize faces. In 1885, Lang described a 30-year old woman with progressive vision loss and retinal features most reminiscent of Stargardt disease:<sup>1</sup>

"The patient noticed that her sight was failing six years ago, and it has gradually got worse since then, but without causing any discomfort [\_]. In the [foveal] region, there is considerable disturbance of the retinal pigment with increased pigmentation in one or two places. [\_] In the posterior part of fundus, there are numerous small round, or oval yellowish-white patches scattered in every direction; they do not assume any regular distribution, but the oval ones generally have their long axis at right angles to the retinal vessels. They are apparently situated in the superficial part of the choroid."

It was not until 1909 when these retinal features were recognized as a familial progressive macular dystrophy by Karl Stargardt, whose name now has been solidly linked to the disease.<sup>2</sup> A central macular atrophy with irregular or pisciform yellow-white fundus flecks (Figure 1) characterizes Stargardt disease (prevalence, 1:8000–1:10000).<sup>3, 4, 5</sup> These flecks are predominantly located in the macula and represent lipofuscin granules containing toxic bisretinoids. These toxic compounds accumulate over time in the retinal pigment epithelium, which results in atrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium and photoreceptor cells.<sup>6,7</sup> Both flecks and atrophic lesions can extend beyond the vascular arcades,<sup>8</sup>



Figure 1. (Left) Overall topography of the human retina on fundus photography. (Right) Stargardt disease.

#### Gross anatomy of the eye

Light rays diverge in all directions from their source and must be refracted to eventually come to a sharp focus on the retina. The strongest refraction occurs through the cornea, which is the front surface of the eye. It then goes through the circular opening in the iris: the pupil. The pupil will constrict as the light becomes brighter, and so will it dilate as the light becomes dimmer. The pupil contributes to the sharp focus by narrowing its size, reducing spherical and chromatic aberrations, which tend to blur the image. Moreover, a narrow pupil increases the depth of field: the distance within which objects are seen sharply. Passing through the pupil, the light goes through the crystalline lens, which has adjustable refractive power. This allows objects at various distances to be brought into sharp focus. It is then in the retina where photons are transformed into electrical signals. These signals are sent through the optic nerve, along the visual pathway, and finally processed in the occipital cortex at the posterior of the brain that eventually create our vision (Figure 2).

as centrifugal expansion continues to progress into eventual retina-wide degeneration.<sup>9-12</sup>

Although Stargardt disease was originally considered a juvenile macular degeneration,<sup>2</sup> patients may experience the first visual complaints between their first until the seventh decade of



Figure 2. Anatomy of the human eye.

life.<sup>13-16</sup> The heterogeneity in the age at onset reflects heterogeneity in the natural disease course. The extremes can be described as early-onset or childhood-onset Stargardt disease and late-onset Stargardt disease. These subtypes have distinct progressions: early-onset Stargardt disease is characterized by minor initial abnormalities, but with a rapid progression toward chorioretinal degeneration.<sup>14</sup> Late-onset Stargardt disease contains features of a relatively intact fovea that is often surrounded by atrophic retinal pigment epithelium lesions.<sup>13, 15</sup> The patients with an intermediate disease onset are expected to be in between these extremes.

#### **Retinal imaging**

On fluorescein angiography, the choroidal background fluorescence is characteristically blocked in Stargardt disease due to the excess lipofuscin accumulation in the retinal pigment epithelium, which has been described as a dark choroid.<sup>17</sup> The yellow-white flecks appear hypofluorescent at first, but eventually become hyperfluorescent as atrophy develops. Although these diffuse hyperfluorescent flecks may obscure a dark choroid, it can still be visible in the peripapillary area or in the peripheral retina.<sup>18</sup>



Figure 3. (Left) Fundus autofluorescence image of a normal retina. (Right) The yellow-white fundus flecks in Stargardt disease are hyperautofluorescent. This indicates increased accumulation of lipofuscin fluorophores. Areas of decreased autofluorescence indicate reduced lipofuscin demand due to photoreceptor loss.

In the aid of diagnosing and monitoring Stargardt disease, fluorescein angiography has now been replaced by fundus autofluorescence imaging. Fundus autofluorescence imaging visualizes the distribution of lipofuscin in the retinal pigment epithelium. The

#### **Retinal architecture**

The retina is a highly specialized transparent neural layer for capturing and processing light. However, its unique sophisticated architecture of ten layers makes it also vulnerable to dysfunction. The outer retina comprises the [1] retinal pigment epithelium and the photoreceptor layer. The retinal pigment epithelium has vital functions for the maintenance of photoreceptors, phagocytosis of photoreceptor outer segments being one of the most relevant one in this thesis. Photoreceptors are continuously being exposed to light and oxygen, which facilitates the formation of free radicals. These radicals damage the photoreceptor membranes over time, which therefore need to be continuously renewed. Old outer segments are continuously being shed by the retinal pigment epithelium and replaced by new discs at a 10% per 24 hour rate.<sup>19</sup> Undigested outer segment parts eventually form lipofuscin granules, which accumulate as we age.<sup>7</sup> Rods and cones, forming the [2] photoreceptor layer, contain an inner and an outer segment. The cell organelles are located in the inner segment. The inner segment ellipsoid zone reflects photoreceptor integrity and health. The conversion of light to electric signals, i.e., phototransduction, occurs in the outer segment. In cones, the outer segments contain many invaginations of the surface membrane; in rods, they are entirely discontinuous of the surface membrane and form individual disk membranes. The structural supportive junctions between photoreceptors and Müller glia compose the [3] external limiting membrane. The cell bodies of photoreceptors compose the [4] outer nuclear layer. Photoreceptors interconnect through synapses with bipolar and horizontal cells in the [5] outer plexiform layer. The inner retina contains the [6] inner nuclear layer, composed of amacrine, bipolar, horizontal, and Müller cells. These cells interconnect with ganglion cells in the [7] inner plexiform layer. The cell bodies of the ganglion cells are situated in the [8] ganglion cell layer, from which the axons form the [9] nerve fiber layer. The inner retina is finally separated from the posterior vitreous by the [10] internal limiting membrane (Figure 4).

yellow-white fundus flecks are hyperautofluorescent, indicating increased accumulation of lipofuscin fluorophores.<sup>20, 21</sup> These hyperfluorescent changes may be visible prior to changes on ophthalmoscopy. Areas of decreased autofluorescence indicate reduced lipofuscin demand due to photoreceptor loss. Autofluorescence is absent in case of complete retinal pigment epithelium loss, which represents a late manifestation in Stargardt disease (Figure 3).<sup>22-25</sup> However, there are concerns that patients with Stargardt disease are at risk for light toxicity during fundus autofluorescence imaging.<sup>15</sup> The accumulation of bisretinoids is accelerated in Stargardt disease, and particularly these bisretinoids are identified as potent photosensitizers in animal studies. Whether the mechanism of photochemical damage involves changes in either lipofuscin or molecules within the visual cycle such as all-trans-retinal, patients with Stargardt disease are likely to be more susceptible to photic injury than healthy individuals.<sup>14, 16</sup>



**Figure 4. Spectral-domain optical coherence tomography of the human retina.** A horizontal scan through the fovea reveals several hyperreflective (white) bands, which correlate with the anatomical layers of the retina. [1] retinal pigment epithelium / Bruch's complex [2] ellipsoid zone [3] external limiting membrane [4] outer nuclear layer [5] outer plexiform layer [6] inner nuclear layer [7] inner plexiform layer [8] ganglion cell layer [9] nerve fiber layer [10] internal limiting membrane.



Figure 5. Spectral-domain optical coherence tomography in Stargardt disease. The outer retinal layers are thinned and recede centrifugally from the fovea. The hyperreflective band of the external limiting membrane is thicker than in a normal retina.

#### Photoreceptor topography

Approximately 100 million rods dominate the peripheral retina, which extends from the vascular arcades to the ora serrata. Cone photoreceptors (± 5 million) dominate the central retina: the macula lutea (Figure 6). The border of the macula (5.5 mm), overlaps with the path of the vascular arcades. The macula can be subdivided in a perifoveal area, parafoveal area, fovea, foveola, and umbo. The umbo (0.15–0.20 mm) lies at the very center of the macula and has the highest visual acuity with the highest cone density. The diameter of its cones is narrowed and elongated due to crowding. At the foveola (0.35 mm), the metabolic demands of these densely packed cones are extremely high, which are met by direct contact with the retinal pigment epithelium, and through the processes of Müller glia cells.<sup>26, 27</sup> The foveola, a 22° clivus, and a thick margin comprises the fovea (1.5 mm). The margin is surrounded by the parafoveal belt (0.5 mm width), which has a regular ten-layer retinal architecture. The perifoveal belt finally (1.5 mm) surrounds the parafovea (Figure 1).

The retinal layers can be visualized with high resolution on a cross-sectional retinal scan: spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (Figure 4). Thickening of the external limiting membrane has been suggested as the earliest sign prior to any other structural or functional abnormalities. It may reflect a gliotic response to cellular stress at the photoreceptor level).<sup>28, 29</sup> The photoreceptor inner segment ellipsoid zone may recede earlier than the retinal pigment epithelium, indicating that spectral-domain optical coherence tomography can be more informative than fundus autofluorescence imaging in early stages (Figure 5).<sup>30, 31</sup>

#### The ABCA4 gene

Stargardt disease is an autosomal recessive retinal dystrophy associated with disease-causing sequence variants in the adenosine triphosphate-binding



Left: Ignacio Icke (Wikimedia Commons), "Schematic diagram of the human eye", modified by Stanley Lambertus () Right: Cmglee (Wikimedia Commons), "Human photoreceptor distribution", modified by Stanley Lambertus

**Figure 6. The distribution of rods and cones.** The density of the receptors is shown in degrees of visual angle relative to the position of the fovea for the left eye. The cone receptors are concentrated in the fovea. The rod photoreceptors are absent from the fovea and reach their highest density 10 to 20° peripheral to the fovea. No photoreceptors are present in the blind spot.



Figure 7. The formation of bisretinoids in absence of ABCA4 protein function.

cassette, subfamily A, member 4 (ABCA4) gene.<sup>32, 33</sup> The carrier frequency of ABCA4 sequence variants is up to 1 in 20,<sup>34</sup> and a nearly ever increasing number of more than 1000 variants has been reported.<sup>35-38</sup> The ABCA4 protein, localized in the disk membranes of both cones and rods,<sup>39, 40</sup> plays an essential role in the retinoid cycle. Via the retinoid cycle, 11-cis-retinal must be continuously regenerated from all-trans-retinal to maintain vision. All-trans-retinal is released into the lumen of the disk membranes and condensates with phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) to form N-retinylidene-PE.<sup>20, 41</sup> Likewise, 11-cis-retinal and phosphatidylethanolamine form N-11-cis-retinylidene-PE.<sup>42, 43</sup> These adducts are transported by the ABCA4 protein from the lumen into the cytoplasmic space. Dysfunction or absence of ABCA4 leads to excessive accumulation of N-11-cis-retinylidene-PE and N-retinylidene-PE adducts. They can form potentially toxic compounds, such as N-retinylidene-N-retinylethanolamine (Figure 7).<sup>44</sup> These bis-retinoids undergo photo-oxidation and produce reactive oxygen and nitrogen species,<sup>45, 46</sup> and eventually leads to the aforementioned irreversible damage to the retina.<sup>5, 6, 32</sup>

#### Phototransduction and the retinoid cycle

Photons are absorbed by visual pigments at the outer segment disk membranes of photoreceptors. Visual pigment consists of an *a*-helical integral membrane protein, opsin, and a chromophore: 11-*cis*-retinal, a vitamin A isomer. The interaction between the opsin and 11-*cis*-retinal determines the spectral sensitivity, which is tuned to a particular wavelength of maximal absorption. In cones, three types of visual pigment give a continuous range of colors to our sight in photopic, i.e., bright conditions. Rods have one type of visual pigment for scotopic, i.e., dim conditions.<sup>47</sup> When a photon is absorbed, 11-*cis*-retinal isomerizes to all-*trans*-retinal. This triggers a chain of enzymatic reactions, eventually leading to membrane hyperpolarization and neurotransmitter release at the photoreceptor terminal synapse.<sup>48,49</sup> Recovery starts after phototransduction, where all-*trans*-retinal dissociates from the opsin. After being transported from the lumen into the cytoplasmic space by ABCA4, all-*trans*-retinal is reduced to all-*trans*-retinol and then transported to the retinal pigment epithelium. In several steps, all-*trans*-retinol is then finally converted to 11-*cis*-retinal.

#### Classifications of Stargardt disease

Several classifications and staging methods have been described for Stargardt disease. These classifications are based on the extent of retinal abnormalities, electrophysiologic findings and genotype-phenotype correlations (Table 1).

Phenotype according to Fishman.						
Stage I	Stage II		Stage III		Stage IV	
Atrophic macular lesion with Retinal flecks posterior pole		ecks throughout pole	Resorbed flecks		Extensive atrophic- appearing changes of retinal pigment and choroid	
Electroretinography according to Lois.						
Group 1		Group 2		Group 3		
Severe pattern ERG abnormality		Additional generalized cone dysfunction		Additional generalized rod dysfunction		
Cenotype according to Van Driel.						
Mild	Moderat	e	Moderate/severe		Severe	
(Risk for) age-related Stargardt disease macular degeneration		Cone-rod dystroph	У	Retinitis pigmentosa		

Table 1. Classifications and staging of Stargardt disease. ERG = electroretinography.

Fishman et al. differentiated four distinct retinal features that reflect the phenotypes of Stargardt disease: [I] an atrophic-appearing macular lesion with localized perifoveal flecks, [II] retinal flecks throughout the posterior pole, [III] resorbed flecks, and [IV] extensive atrophic-appearing changes of the retinal pigment epithelium and choroid.<sup>50,51</sup>

Lois et al. suggested phenotypic subtypes of Stargardt disease based on electroretinography. Electroretinography measures the total electrical activity response from cones and rods to a light stimulus. The International Society for Clinical Electrophysiology of Vision (ISCEV) provides the minimum standard of measurements for electroretinography.<sup>52</sup> Full-field electroretinography is used to study the total degree of retinal dysfunction, in which the rod system is measured in dark-adapted conditions (dim flash, 0.01 cd·s/m<sup>2</sup> and bright flash, 11.0 cd·s/m<sup>2</sup>). The cone system is measured in light-adapted conditions (3.0 cd·s/m<sup>2</sup> at 2 Hz and 30 Hz). Pattern electroretinography provides information about macular and retinal ganglion cell function (using a temporally modulated patterned stimulus). In Stargardt disease, three patterns of retinal potentials were identified based on measurements of these ISCEV standards. The first pattern comprises severe pattern electroretinography abnormality with normal full-field electroretinography. It reflects dysfunction confined to the macula. The second pattern includes a generalized cone system dysfunction, consistent with a clinical diagnosis of cone dystrophy. The third includes both cone and rod system dysfunction, i.e., cone-rod dystrophy (Figure 8).53-55

Van Driel et al. proposed a classification based on the expected residual function of the ABCA4 protein by the severity of mutations (Figure 9).<sup>56</sup> Missense variants are generally



**Figure 8. Electroretinographic findings in Stargardt disease.** Group 1, severe pattern electroretinographic abnormality: group 2, additional generalized cone dysfunction; group 3, additional generalized rod dysfunction. ERG = electroretinography.



Figure 9. Genotype-phenotype correlation model adapted from Van Driel et al.

associated with mild, late-onset disease, while severe "null" variants, which result in zero protein function, are associated with severe, early-onset disease.<sup>56-59</sup> The classification extends the putative associations of ABCA4 variants from the presumed mildest phenotype of age-related macular degeneration (one mild ABCA4 variant) to the most severe phenotype of retinitis pigmentosa (two severe ABCA4 variants). Arguably, variants in the ABCA4 gene are also associated with cone dystrophy, cone-rod dystrophy and atypical retinitis pigmentosa.<sup>56,60-65</sup>

#### Management and treatment

Currently, patients are advised to avoid excessive light exposure, e.g., by wearing ultraviolet-protective sun glasses. Bright ultraviolet light has been demonstrated to accelerate retinal degeneration in animal models<sup>66, 67</sup> and there are some indications that total blockage of light slows down disease progression.<sup>68</sup> Patients are also advised not to take vitamin A supplementation, which is supported by animal studies showing accelerated accumulation of lipofuscin with vitamin A supplementation.<sup>20, 69</sup> Despite the lack of sound evidence, these measures will probably prevent an accelerated disease progression.

However, no treatment is readily available to slow or stop disease progression. Gene therapies, cell-based therapies, as well as pharmacological therapies trials are now in progress. Gene therapy aims to slow down or prevent retinal regeneration by targeting intact photoreceptors and is therefore preferable in the earliest disease stages. A Phase I/II clinical trial is now ongoing where a functional ABCA4 gene will be injected subretinally using a lentiviral vector SAR422459. A proof of concept has already been demonstrated in animal studies,<sup>70-72</sup> and there have been no safety concerns in humans, although there has not been any evidence of efficacy until now.<sup>73</sup> Stem cell therapy aims to replace lost retinal pigment epithelium cells, which can be useful in late disease stages. A Phase I/II trial using human embryonic stem cell derived retinal pigment epithelium cells also showed no safety concerns,<sup>74, 75</sup> but as the defective ABCA4 protein function is not corrected, it may be insufficient to slow down disease progression. Finally, pharmacological strategies are being developed to target several aspects of the retinoid cycle, and include phloroglucinol, fenretinide and C20-D<sub>2</sub>-vitamin A.<sup>76-78</sup>

### 1.2 A history of treatment evaluation

These new treatments need to be carefully evaluated to understand their potential effects. Support of the highest level of empirical evidence is required to eventually come toward an effective treatment. Nowadays, this process is known as a clinical trial: the bedrock of evidence-based medicine. "Evidence-based medicine is the conscientious, explicit, judicious and reasonable use of modern, best evidence in making decisions about the care of individual patients." <sup>79</sup> It integrates the best available empirical evidence, thus not solely based on theories and intuition. Both clinical expertise as well as external evidence are to be combined to achieve the best practice for the individual patient. Without clinical trials, we may conclude that useless treatments are helpful, or that helpful treatments are useless. Although the standards in science have evolved significantly, their basic concepts still hold—and continue to be refined.

In the 1990s, David Sackett brought the 'art of medicine' forward from expert opinionbased practice on to a new paradigm for health care which stresses on evidence from clinical research.<sup>80</sup> Although Sackett is considered the pioneer of evidence-based medicine, its origins are ancient.

#### Fair comparisons

During the Siege of Jerusalem (597 BC), Daniel proposed King Nebuchadnezzar II of the Neo-Babylonian Empire a trial to prove he could be fit for service having only vegetables and water instead of wine and meat; after ten days, Daniel's group appeared to be healthier and stronger than the royal children who dined at the King's table.<sup>81</sup> Arguably, this was the first reported clinical trial.<sup>82</sup> Indeed, he followed two of its basic: [1] two groups with different treatments, and [2] a finite length for outcome evaluation.

However, it was not until the Islamic Golden Age (9<sup>th</sup> century) that the need for comparison with a similar untreated control group was recognized by some of the greatest luminaries medicine that time. in The Persian Al-Razi, a pioneer in neurology and ophthalmology, detested theoretical conclusions that did not correlate with clinical findings. Therefore, he refined his treatments by testing the validity of ther-



Figure 10. James Lind on board the H.M.S. Salisbury treating sailors for scurvy. His experiment is considered to be the first prospective controlled clinical trial.

apies and theories in practice, one of which included bloodletting for early symptoms of meningitis. Although bloodletting would not be recommended today, his approach reflects the essential feature of a fair comparison by treating one group and intentionally withholding treatment from the other group.<sup>83,84</sup>

Ibn Sīnā wrote the Al-Qanun fi al-Tibb (Canon of Medicine) in 1012. This book contained rules for assessing the effects of drugs. He argued that not only theories "dealing with the principles of medicine" are important, but empirical observations "how to put those principles into practice" as well.<sup>85</sup> European and Middle Eastern countries had relied on his work for over six centuries, and is still very relevant today.

Several centuries later, James Lind, a Scottish naval surgeon conducted a now famous prospective controlled trial. Back then, many sailors suffered from scurvy in a few months at sea. Lind hypothesized that citrus fruits could cure scurvy. In 1747, he divided twelve scurvy patients on board the Salisbury into six pairs. Everyone had the same diet, but, in addition, the first pair was given a cider, the second elixir vitriol, the third vinegar, the fourth seawater, the fifth oranges and lemon, and the sixth a spicy paste with barley water (Figure 10, previous page). The sailors who received the oranges and lemon clearly recovered from scurvy within a week. Nowadays, James Lind is commonly regarded as the father of clinical trials.<sup>86</sup>

#### Double-blind randomized controlled trials

From 1800 onwards, study design became increasingly important for clinical trials. In 1894, the ophthalmologist Webster Fox performed an immediate capsulotomy following the removal of cataract with alternating cases to allocate his patients, and concluded there was less danger of inflammation than when this capsulotomy was performed in a separate intervention later.<sup>87</sup> Treatment allocation by alternating cases is a randomization method to prevent foreknowledge of treatment assignments.

The first randomized trial in ophthalmology was performed by Arnall Patz, comparing a high or low oxygen routine for 'retrolental fibroplasia' (retinopathy of prematurity) on a random basis, enabling unbiased analysis of the results. At the end of the first year, seven of twenty-eight infants in the high-oxygen group had advanced retrolental fibroplasia, but none of the thirty-seven cases on low oxygen.<sup>88</sup>

After World War II, the randomized clinical trial methodology developed rapidly, which also occurred in the field of ophthalmology with the foundation of the National Eye Institute, prioritizing careful trial design, of which the Diabetic Retinopathy Study is one of the best-known studies.<sup>89</sup>

#### Clinical endpoints

An important issue on trial design that still holds through time is choosing the right endpoint: an endpoint which is able to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of new treatments. An endpoint needs to distinguish a potential treatment effect from the effect of time. To identify such endpoints, natural history studies are needed. In 1364, Francesco Petrarca already stressed the effect of nature's time, proposing trials whether people would be better off relying on nature rather than seeking medical treatment.<sup>90</sup>

The quality-adjusted life years won are the eventual outcomes that matter to patients. However, this clinical endpoint would require long follow-up when it is used as the primary outcome of interventional trials. Besides clinical meaningfulness, an endpoint should also be feasible, reliable and sensitive. Such an endpoint may be found in other measurements which are expected to reflect changes in a clinically meaningful endpoint. They can enable the design of clinical trials with short observation times and small group sizes, and consequently allow a larger number of candidate drugs to be tested in a less costly and time-consuming way. Outcomes, intended to substitute for a clinical endpoint, are so-called surrogate outcomes. It is a 'biomarker', i.e., a laboratory measurement or physical sign which is used in therapeutic trials as a substitute for the eventual clinical endpoint.<sup>91</sup>

### 1.3 Toward clinical trials for Stargardt disease

The past few decades have seen considerable advances in our understanding of clinical phenotypes, natural history, and molecular genetics of rare inherited retinal diseases, including Stargardt disease.<sup>92</sup> These advances have led to the first steps to a cure with gene therapy,<sup>70, 71</sup> cell-based therapy,<sup>74, 75</sup> and pharmacological therapy.<sup>77, 78</sup> To come toward an effective treatment for Stargardt disease, we need to achieve the best possible level of empirical evidence. Several clinical safety trials have passed and have now proceeded to the next phase, in which the efficacy of therapy is being studied.

Clinical trials for Stargardt disease face unique, but evident challenges because of inherent small populations amenable to treatment and vast heterogeneity within that group. Stargardt disease has a remarkable wide range of severity both at clinical presentation and natural progression. These features are still incompletely described, and consequently difficult to be recognized. Such difficulties lead to failure in detecting significant efficacy changes at follow-up. Moreover, obtaining an accurate description of the full spectra of Stargardt disease also has important implications for selecting patients to participate in clinical trials. The aforementioned classifications based on electrophysiological findings, genotypic and phenotypic characteristics are helpful in describing general characteristics, but still do not suffice; evidence on any therapeutic effects in ongoing trials is still lacking.

Despite the challenges, access to a treatment can only be provided by regulatory approval similar to common diseases, and thus requires establishment of its effectiveness by evidence.<sup>93</sup> A randomized-controlled design is still considered the gold standard to adjudicate therapeutic efficacy, and includes an appropriate sample size, statistical power, and methods to minimize bias<sup>94</sup>; all of which has been learnt since the Iron Age. However, this is unfeasible with conventional methods in diseases with a low prevalence, and potentially individually tailored therapies with specific trial populations.<sup>95, 96</sup> Clinical trials need to be adapted to small populations.

## 1.4 Aims of this thesis

This thesis provides a strategy for future studies which aim to identify an effect of novel treatments in Stargardt disease. Herein, not only do the challenges of treatment evaluation which have been present since ancient history still apply, but its rare nature and vast heterogeneity also pose additional challenges. These challenges can be overcome by three universal steps:

- Evaluate the effect of nature's time (Chapter 2)
- Select the right patients (Chapter 3)
- Choose the appropriate method for outcome evaluation (Chapter 4)

#### Natural history of Stargardt disease

**Chapter 2** describes unique phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of subgroups within the vast heterogeneity of Stargardt disease, established in the context of previously emerged classifications and staging.<sup>50, 53, 56</sup> Deep phenotyping will help identify which patients can benefit most from new treatments, and which features are most important to measure in clinical trials. The results are supported by genetic characteristics. Understanding of the natural history provides the basis to create models to describe disease progression.

#### Diagnosing Stargardt disease

**Chapter 3** reveals challenges in the clinical diagnosis of Stargardt disease from the very limited retinal abnormalities in young patients in early diagnosis to the abnormalities mimicking age-related disease in older patients. Early and correct diagnosis is of paramount importance in patient selection at the right time for the right trials.

#### Measuring progression in Stargardt disease

**Chapter 4** creates models of disease progression in Stargardt disease. It reveals the differences in inter-eye concordance between the subtypes of Stargardt disease and gives insight into its consequences in clinical trial design. We simulated potential treatment effects in the youngest and the oldest patients and identified the benefits of a composite outcome measure to assess efficacy in clinical trials for Stargardt disease. Fundus autofluorescence imaging is an important tool to measure disease progression. Its potential phototoxic effect will also be discussed here.

#### General discussion

**Chapter 5** discusses the studies described in this thesis with broad and future perspectives.

Aims of this thesis · 16

### References

- 1. Lang W. Central choroiditis, with disseminated patches in remainder of fundus. Trans Ophthal Soc UK 1885;5:140-1.
- Stargardt K. Über familiäre, progressive degeneration in der makulagegend des auges. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 1909;71:534-50.
- 3. Blacharski PA. Fundus flavimaculatus. In: Newsome DA, ed. Retinal dystrophies and degenerations. New York: Raven Press, 1988.
- Cideciyan AV, Aleman TS, Swider M, et al. Mutations in ABCA4 result in accumulation of lipofuscin before slowing of the retinoid cycle: a reappraisal of the human disease sequence. Hum Mol Genet 2004;13(5):525-34.
- Sparrow JR, Wu Y, Kim CY, Zhou J. Phospholipid meets all-trans-retinal: the making of RPE bisretinoids. J Lipid Res 2010;51(2):247-61.
- 6. Sun H, Smallwood PM, Nathans J. Biochemical defects in ABCR protein variants associated with human retinopathies. Nat Genet 2000;26(2):242-6.
- Sparrow JR, Boulton M. RPE lipofuscin and its role in retinal pathobiology. Exp Eye Res 2005;80(5):595-606.
- Franceschetti A. A special form of tapetoretinal degeneration: fundus flavimaculatus. Trans Am Acad Ophthalmol Otolaryngol 1965;69(6):1048-53.
- Cideciyan AV, Swider M, Schwartz SB, et al. Predicting Progression of ABCA4-Associated Retinal Degenerations Based on Longitudinal Measurements of the Leading Disease Front. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2015;56(10):5946-55.
- Armstrong JD, Meyer D, Xu S, Elfervig JL. Long-term follow-up of Stargardt's disease and fundus flavimaculatus. Ophthalmology 1998;105(3):448-57; discussion 57-8.
- 11. Cukras CA, Wong WT, Caruso R, et al. Centrifugal expansion of fundus autofluorescence patterns in Stargardt disease over time. Arch Ophthalmol 2012;130(2):171-9.
- 12. Cideciyan AV, Swider M, Aleman TS, et al. ABCA4 disease progression and a proposed strategy for gene therapy. Hum Mol Genet 2009;18(5):931-41.
- 13. Fujinami K, Sergouniotis PI, Davidson AE, et al. Clinical and molecular analysis of Stargardt disease with preserved foveal structure and function. Am J Ophthalmol 2013;156(3):487-501.e1.
- Fujinami K, Zernant J, Chana RK, et al. Clinical and molecular characteristics of childhood-onset Stargardt disease. Ophthalmology 2015;122(2):326-34.
- 15. Westeneng-van Haaften SC, Boon CJ, Cremers FP, et al. Clinical and genetic characteristics of lateonset Stargardt's disease. Ophthalmology 2012;119(6):1199-210.
- 16. Rotenstreich Y, Fishman GA, Anderson RJ. Visual acuity loss and clinical observations in a large series of patients with Stargardt disease. Ophthalmology 2003;110(6):1151-8.
- 17. Fish G, Grey R, Sehmi KS, Bird AC. The dark choroid in posterior retinal dystrophies. Br J Ophthalmol 1981;65(5):359-63.
- Jayasundera T, Rhoades W, Branham K, et al. Peripapillary dark choroid ring as a helpful diagnostic sign in advanced stargardt disease. Am J Ophthalmol 2010;149(4):656-60.e2.
- Anderson DH, Fisher SK, Steinberg RH. Mammalian cones: disc shedding, phagocytosis, and renewal. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1978;17(2):117-33.
- Sparrow JR, Gregory-Roberts E, Yamamoto K, et al. The bisretinoids of retinal pigment epithelium. Prog Retin Eye Res 2012;31(2):121-35.
- Charbel Issa P, Barnard AR, Singh MS, et al. Fundus autofluorescence in the Abca4(-/-) mouse model of Stargardt disease--correlation with accumulation of A2E, retinal function, and histology. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2013;54(8):5602-12.
- 22. Lois N, Halfyard AS, Bird AC, et al. Fundus autofluorescence in Stargardt macular dystrophy-fundus flavimaculatus. Am J Ophthalmol 2004;138(1):55-63.
- von Ruckmann A, Fitzke FW, Bird AC. In vivo fundus autofluorescence in macular dystrophies. Arch Ophthalmol 1997;115(5):609-15.

- Smith RT, Gomes NL, Barile G, et al. Lipofuscin and autofluorescence metrics in progressive STGD. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2009;50(8):3907-14.
- 25. Saksens NT, Fleckenstein M, Schmitz-Valckenberg S, et al. Macular dystrophies mimicking age-related macular degeneration. Prog Retin Eye Res 2014;39:23-57.
- Østerberg GA. Topography of the Layer of Rods and Cones in the Human Retina. Acta Ophthalmol [Suppl] 1935;6:1-102.
- 27. Curcio CA, Sloan KR, Kalina RE, Hendrickson AE. Human photoreceptor topography. J Comp Neurol 1990;292(4):497-523.
- Lee W, Noupuu K, Oll M, et al. The External Limiting Membrane in Early-Onset Stargardt Disease. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2014.
- 29. Burke TR, Yzer S, Zernant J, et al. Abnormality in the external limiting membrane in early Stargardt disease. Ophthalmic Genet 2013;34(1-2):75-7.
- Burke TR, Rhee DW, Smith RT, et al. Quantification of peripapillary sparing and macular involvement in Stargardt disease (STGD1). Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2011;52(11):8006-15.
- Ergun E, Hermann B, Wirtitsch M, et al. Assessment of central visual function in Stargardt's disease/ fundus flavimaculatus with ultrahigh-resolution optical coherence tomography. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2005;46(1):310-6.
- 32. Allikmets R, Singh N, Sun H, et al. A photoreceptor cell-specific ATP-binding transporter gene (ABCR) is mutated in recessive Stargardt macular dystrophy. Nat Genet 1997;15(3):236-46.
- Hoyng CB, Poppelaars F, van de Pol TJ, et al. Genetic fine mapping of the gene for recessive Stargardt disease. Hum Genet 1996;98(4):500-4.
- Jaakson K, Zernant J, Kulm M, et al. Genotyping microarray (gene chip) for the ABCR (ABCA4) gene. Hum Mutat 2003;22(5):395-403.
- 35. Zernant J, Xie YA, Ayuso C, et al. Analysis of the ABCA4 genomic locus in Stargardt disease. Hum Mol Genet 2014;23(25):6797-806.
- Fujinami K, Zernant J, Chana RK, et al. ABCA4 gene screening by next-generation sequencing in a British cohort. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2013;54(10):6662-74.
- Zernant J, Schubert C, Im KM, et al. Analysis of the ABCA4 gene by next-generation sequencing. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2011;52(11):8479-87.
- Lin B, Cai XB, Zheng ZL, et al. Clinical and genetic analyses reveal novel pathogenic ABCA4 mutations in Stargardt disease families. Sci Rep 2016;6:35414.
- Sun H, Nathans J. Stargardt's ABCR is localized to the disc membrane of retinal rod outer segments. Nat Genet 1997;17(1):15-6.
- Molday LL, Rabin AR, Molday RS. ABCR expression in foveal cone photoreceptors and its role in Stargardt macular dystrophy. Nat Genet 2000;25(3):257-8.
- 41. Liu J, Itagaki Y, Ben-Shabat S, et al. The biosynthesis of A2E, a fluorophore of aging retina, involves the formation of the precursor, A2-PE, in the photoreceptor outer segment membrane. J Biol Chem 2000;275(38):29354-60.
- Quazi F, Molday RS. ATP-binding cassette transporter ABCA4 and chemical isomerization protect photoreceptor cells from the toxic accumulation of excess 11-cis-retinal. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014;111(13):5024-9.
- 43. Quazi F, Lenevich S, Molday RS. ABCA4 is an N-retinylidene-phosphatidylethanolamine and phosphatidylethanolamine importer. Nat Commun 2012;3:925.
- 44. Weng J, Mata NL, Azarian SM, et al. Insights into the function of Rim protein in photoreceptors and etiology of Stargardt's disease from the phenotype in abcr knockout mice. Cell 1999;98(1):13-23.
- 45. Sparrow JR, Parish CA, Hashimoto M, Nakanishi K. A2E, a lipofuscin fluorophore, in human retinal pigmented epithelial cells in culture. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1999;40(12):2988-95.
- Wu Y, Fishkin NE, Pande A, et al. Novel lipofuscin bisretinoids prominent in human retina and in a model of recessive Stargardt disease. J Biol Chem 2009;284(30):20155-66.
- 47. Solomon SG, Lennie P. The machinery of colour vision. Nat Rev Neurosci 2007;8(4):276-86.
- 48. Ebrey T, Koutalos Y. Vertebrate photoreceptors. Prog Retin Eye Res 2001;20(1):49-94.
- 49. Wald G. Molecular basis of visual excitation. Science 1968;162(3850):230-9.

- 50. Fishman GA. Fundus flavimaculatus. A clinical classification. Arch Ophthalmol 1976;94(12):2061-7.
- 51. Fishman GA, Stone EM, Grover S, et al. Variation of clinical expression in patients with Stargardt dystrophy and sequence variations in the ABCR gene. Arch Ophthalmol 1999;117(4):504-10.
- 52. McCulloch DL, Marmor MF, Brigell MG, et al. ISCEV Standard for full-field clinical electroretinography (2015 update). Doc Ophthalmol 2015;130(1):1-12.
- 53. Lois N, Holder GE, Bunce C, et al. Phenotypic subtypes of Stargardt macular dystrophy-fundus flavimaculatus. Arch Ophthalmol 2001;119(3):359-69.
- Fujinami K, Lois N, Davidson AE, et al. A longitudinal study of stargardt disease: clinical and electrophysiologic assessment, progression, and genotype correlations. Am J Ophthalmol 2013;155(6):1075-88.e13.
- 55. Zahid S, Jayasundera T, Rhoades W, et al. Clinical phenotypes and prognostic full-field electroretinographic findings in Stargardt disease. Am J Ophthalmol 2013;155(3):465-73.e3.
- 56. van Driel MA, Maugeri A, Klevering BJ, et al. ABCR unites what ophthalmologists divide(s). Ophthalmic Genet 1998;19(3):117-22.
- 57. Maugeri A, van Driel MA, van de Pol DJ, et al. The 2588G-->C mutation in the ABCR gene is a mild frequent founder mutation in the Western European population and allows the classification of ABCR mutations in patients with Stargardt disease. Am J Hum Genet 1999;64(4):1024-35.
- 58. Maugeri A, Klevering BJ, Rohrschneider K, et al. Mutations in the ABCA4 (ABCR) gene are the major cause of autosomal recessive cone-rod dystrophy. Am J Hum Genet 2000;67(4):960-6.
- 59. Fakin A, Robson AG, Fujinami K, et al. Phenotype and Progression of Retinal Degeneration Associated With Nullizigosity of ABCA4. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2016;57(11):4668-78.
- Lewis RA, Shroyer NF, Singh N, et al. Genotype/Phenotype analysis of a photoreceptor-specific ATPbinding cassette transporter gene, ABCR, in Stargardt disease. Am J Hum Genet 1999;64(2):422-34.
- Riveiro-Alvarez R, Lopez-Martinez MA, Zernant J, et al. Outcome of ABCA4 disease-associated alleles in autosomal recessive retinal dystrophies: retrospective analysis in 420 Spanish families. Ophthalmology 2013;120(11):2332-7.
- 62. Klevering BJ, Deutman AF, Maugeri A, et al. The spectrum of retinal phenotypes caused by mutations in the ABCA4 gene. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2005;243(2):90-100.
- Klevering BJ, Blankenagel A, Maugeri A, et al. Phenotypic spectrum of autosomal recessive conerod dystrophies caused by mutations in the ABCA4 (ABCR) gene. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2002;43(6):1980-5.
- 64. Rozet JM, Gerber S, Ghazi I, et al. Mutations of the retinal specific ATP binding transporter gene (ABCR) in a single family segregating both autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa RP19 and Stargardt disease: evidence of clinical heterogeneity at this locus. J Med Genet 1999;36(6):447-51.
- 65. Allikmets R, Shroyer NF, Singh N, et al. Mutation of the Stargardt disease gene (ABCR) in age-related macular degeneration. Science 1997;277(5333):1805-7.
- 66. Chen Y, Okano K, Maeda T, et al. Mechanism of all-trans-retinal toxicity with implications for stargardt disease and age-related macular degeneration. J Biol Chem 2012;287(7):5059-69.
- Radu RA, Mata NL, Bagla A, Travis GH. Light exposure stimulates formation of A2E oxiranes in a mouse model of Stargardt's macular degeneration. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004;101(16):5928-33.
- 68. Teussink MM, Lee MD, Smith RT, et al. The effect of light deprivation in patients with Stargardt disease. Am J Ophthalmol 2015;159(5):964-72.e2.
- Radu RA, Yuan Q, Hu J, et al. Accelerated accumulation of lipofuscin pigments in the RPE of a mouse model for ABCA4-mediated retinal dystrophies following Vitamin A supplementation. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2008;49(9):3821-9.
- Han Z, Conley SM, Naash MI. Gene therapy for Stargardt disease associated with ABCA4 gene. Adv Exp Med Biol 2014;801:719-24.
- Binley K, Widdowson P, Loader J, et al. Transduction of photoreceptors with equine infectious anemia virus lentiviral vectors: safety and biodistribution of StarGen for Stargardt disease. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2013;54(6):4061-71.
- 72. Kong J, Kim SR, Binley K, et al. Correction of the disease phenotype in the mouse model of Stargardt disease by lentiviral gene therapy. Gene Ther 2008;15(19):1311-20.

- Audo IS, Weleber RG, Stout T, et al. Early findings in a Phase I/IIa clinical program for Stargardt disease (STGD1, MIM #248200). Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science 2015;56(7):3819-.
- Schwartz SD, Hubschman JP, Heilwell G, et al. Embryonic stem cell trials for macular degeneration: a preliminary report. Lancet 2012;379(9817):713-20.
- 75. Schwartz SD, Regillo CD, Lam BL, et al. Human embryonic stem cell-derived retinal pigment epithelium in patients with age-related macular degeneration and Stargardt's macular dystrophy: follow-up of two open-label phase 1/2 studies. Lancet 2015;385(9967):509-16.
- Saad L, Washington I. Can Vitamin A be Improved to Prevent Blindness due to Age-Related Macular Degeneration, Stargardt Disease and Other Retinal Dystrophies? Adv Exp Med Biol 2016;854:355-61.
- 77. Charbel Issa P, Barnard AR, Herrmann P, et al. Rescue of the Stargardt phenotype in Abca4 knockout mice through inhibition of vitamin A dimerization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015;112(27):8415-20.
- Radu RA, Mata NL, Nusinowitz S, et al. Treatment with isotretinoin inhibits lipofuscin accumulation in a mouse model of recessive Stargardt's macular degeneration. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003;100(8):4742-7.
- Sackett DL, Rosenberg WM, Gray JA, et al. Evidence based medicine: what it is and what it isn't. BMJ 1996;312(7023):71-2.
- Evidence-Based Medicine Working G. Evidence-based medicine. A new approach to teaching the practice of medicine. JAMA 1992;268(17):2420-5.
- 81. Daniel 1:12-13. In: The Holy Bible. King James Version.
- Collier R. Legumes, lemons and streptomycin: a short history of the clinical trial. CMAJ 2009;180(1):23 4.
- Zarshenas MM, Mehdizadeh A, Zargaran A, Mohagheghzadeh A. Rhazes (865-925 AD). J Neurol 2012;259(5):1001-2.
- 84. Tibi S. Al-Razi and Islamic medicine in the 9th century. J R Soc Med 2006;99(4):206-7.
- Nasser M, Tibi A, Savage-Smith E. Ibn Sina's Canon of Medicine: 11th century rules for assessing the effects of drugs. J R Soc Med 2009;102(2):78-80.
- 86. Bhatt A. Evolution of clinical research: a history before and beyond james lind. Perspect Clin Res 2010;1(1):6-10.
- Fox L. Immediate capsulotomy following the removal of cataract.read before the medical society of the state of pennsylvania, may 17, 1894. Journal of the American Medical Association 1894;XXII(22):837-9.
- 88. Patz A, Hoeck LE, De La Cruz E. Studies on the effect of high oxygen administration in retrolental fibroplasia: I. Nursery observations. American journal of ophthalmology 1952;35(9):1248-53.
- Preliminary report on effects of photocoagulation therapy. The Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Am J Ophthalmol 1976;81(4):383-96.
- 90. Chalmers I, Dukan E, Podolsky S, Davey Smith G. The advent of fair treatment allocation schedules in clinical trials during the 19th and early 20th centuries. J R Soc Med 2012;105(5):221-7.
- 91. Temple R. Are surrogate markers adequate to assess cardiovascular disease drugs? JAMA 1999;282(8):790-5.
- 92. Berger W, Kloeckener-Gruissem B, Neidhardt J. The molecular basis of human retinal and vitreoretinal diseases. Prog Retin Eye Res 2010;29(5):335-75.
- Griggs RC, Batshaw M, Dunkle M, et al. Clinical research for rare disease: opportunities, challenges, and solutions. Mol Genet Metab 2009;96(1):20-6.
- 94. Schulz KF, Grimes DA. Blinding in randomised trials: hiding who got what. Lancet 2002;359(9307):696-700.
- 95. Cornu C, Kassai B, Fisch R, et al. Experimental designs for small randomised clinical trials: an algorithm for choice. Orphanet J Rare Dis 2013;8:48.
- 96. Bell SA, Tudur Smith C. A comparison of interventional clinical trials in rare versus non-rare diseases: an analysis of ClinicalTrials.gov. Orphanet J Rare Dis 2014;9:170.



CHAPTER 2 Natural history of Stargardt disease "In its natural course, early-onset Stargardt disease initially presents with variable full-field electroretinographic abnormalities and funduscopic findings. As this retinal degeneration progresses, the spectrum of phenotypes eventually converges, causing profound chorioretinal atrophy and severe vision loss."

#### **Stanley Lambertus**

Ramon A.C. van Huet Nathalie M. Bax Lies H. Hoefsloot Frans P.M. Cremers Camiel J.F. Boon B. Jeroen Klevering Carel B. Hoyng

Ophthalmology. 2015 Feb;122(2):335-44.doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2014<mark>.08</mark>.032.

The authors thank Jelina Ye and Ellen van den Wittenboer for their contribution, without which this study could not have been completed.

2.1 Early-onset Stargardt disease: phenotypic and genotypic characteristics
**OBJECTIVE**: To describe the phenotype and genotype of patients with early-onset Stargardt disease.

**DESIGN:** Retrospective cohort study.

**PARTICIPANTS**: Fifty-one Stargardt patients with age at onset  $\leq 10$  years.

**METHODS:** We reviewed patient medical records for age at onset, medical history, initial symptoms, best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), ophthalmoscopy, fundus photography, fundus autofluorescence (FAF), fluorescein angiography (FA), spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT), and full-field electroretinography (ffERG). The ABCA4 gene was screened for mutations.

**MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES**: Age at onset, BCVA, fundus appearance, FAF, FA, SD-OCT, ffERG, and presence of ABCA4 mutations.

**RESULTS**: The mean age at onset was 7.2 years (range, 1–10). The median times to develop BCVA of 20/32, 20/80, 20/200, and 20/500 were 3, 5, 12, and 23 years, respectively. Initial ophthalmoscopy in 41 patients revealed either no abnormalities or foveal retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) changes in 10 and 9 patients, respectively; the other 22 patients had foveal atrophy, atrophic RPE lesions, and/or irregular yellow-white fundus flecks. On FA, there was a "dark choroid" in 21 out of 29 patients. In 14 out of 50 patients, foveal atrophy occurred before flecks developed. On FAF, there was centrifugal expansion of disseminated atrophic spots, which progressed to the eventual profound chorioretinal atrophy. Spectral-domain OCT revealed early photoreceptor damage followed by atrophy of the outer retina, RPE, and choroid. On ffERG in 26 patients, 15 had normal amplitudes, and 11 had reduced photopic and/or scotopic amplitudes at their first visit. We found no correlation between ffERG abnormalities and the rate of vision loss. Thirteen out of 25 patients had progressive ffERG abnormalities. Finally, genetic screening of 44 patients revealed  $\geq 2 ABCA4$  mutations in 37 patients and single heterozygous mutations in 7.

**CONCLUSIONS:** In early-onset Stargardt, initial ophthalmoscopy can reveal no abnormalities or minor retinal abnormalities. Yellow-white flecks can be preceded by foveal atrophy and may be visible only on FAF. Although ffERG is insufficient for predicting the rate of vision loss, abnormalities can develop. Over time, visual acuity declines rapidly in parallel with progressive retinal degeneration, resulting in profound chorioretinal atrophy. Thus, early-onset Stargardt lies at the severe end of the spectrum of ABCA4-associated retinal phenotypes. Early-onset Stargardt disease: phenotypic and genotypic characteristics • 26

# Introduction

Stargardt disease (STGD1) is the most prevalent inherited juvenile-onset retinal dystrophy, with a mean age at onset of 15.2 years.<sup>1, 2</sup> The inheritance pattern of STGD1 is autosomal recessive, and the disease is characterized by the presence of irregular yellow-white fundus flecks in the posterior pole.<sup>2-4</sup> Over time, the disease progresses to include macular depigmentation and chorioretinal atrophy. Typical STGD1 patients have normal—or near-normal—panretinal cone and rod function on full-field electroretinography (ffERG); however, progressive abnormalities in photopic and scotopic amplitudes have been reported.<sup>5-7</sup> Blockage of choroidal fluorescence (the so-called dark choroid sign) on fluorescein angiography is present in 80% of STGD1 patients.<sup>8-10</sup> The aforementioned yellow-white fundus flecks are hyperautofluorescent on fundus autofluorescence (FAF), presumably owing to an accumulation of lipofuscin fluorophores in the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE).<sup>11, 12</sup> Spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) can reveal changes in the outer nuclear layer, as well as photoreceptor loss, RPE abnormalities, and a general thinning of the retinal.<sup>13</sup>

Mutations in the ABCA4 gene have been associated with a spectrum of retinal diseases ranging from mild phenotypes (e.g., late-onset STGD1 with relatively preserved visual function) to severe, early-onset retinitis pigmentosa accompanied by a rapid loss of central and peripheral photoreceptors.<sup>14–16</sup> The ABCA4 gene encodes the retinal-specific adenosine triphosphate-binding cassette transporter.<sup>17</sup> ABCA4 is expressed in both cones and rods. In rod cells, the ABCA4 protein is localized at the rim of the outer segment discs, where ABCA4 transports all-trans-retinal from the lumen of the outer segment disc to the cytoplasm of the photoreceptor cell.<sup>18</sup> The accumulation of all-trans-retinal—and its toxic derivatives—eventually results in the death of RPE cells and photoreceptor cells.<sup>19–21</sup> The ABCA4 gene has high mutation heterogeneity; >700 distinct mutations have been identified to date, with a wide range of effects on ABCA4 protein function. A model to correlate the phenotype with the functional severity of the ABCA4 mutation has been proposed.<sup>22, 23</sup> According to this model, STGD1 results from mild to moderate ABCA4 impairment.

Among patients who are diagnosed with STGD1, the disease has remarkably wide clinical variability with respect to the general course of the disease, the retinal features, and the electrophysiologic findings.<sup>5, 8, 14, 15</sup> Stargardt disease has both genetic and clinical overlap with cone–rod dystrophy, and this may cause confusion among general ophthalmologists; indeed, diagnosing STGD1 can be challenging at an early age. Therefore, obtaining an accurate description of the full spectrum of ABCA4-associated retinal dystrophies—including STGD1—is essential for providing appropriate patient counseling and adequate disease management, and may have important implications for selecting patients to participate in gene therapy trials. Although clinical features of STGD1 patients with a very young onset have been described in heterogeneous cohorts, <sup>5, 7, 24, 25</sup> there is lack of studies concerning the natural history of these patients. Herein, we have provided a comprehensive description of the initial and longitudinal clinical and genetic characteristics of a large number of patients with early-onset Stargardt, which we defined as an age at onset  $\leq$ 10 years.

## Methods

### Patients and genetic analysis

The database of the Department of Ophthalmology at Radboud university medical center (Nijmegen, the Netherlands) contains 426 patients with a clinical diagnosis of STGD1. For 258 of these patients, the ABCA4 gene was analyzed by the Department of Human Genetics at Radboud university medical center (Nijmegen, the Netherlands). Known mutations were screened using the arrayed-primer extension microarray (Asper Biotech, Tartu, Estonia), and exon duplications and/or deletions were detected using multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MRC-Holland P151/P152). If no mutations or only a single heterozygous mutation was identified, the exons and intron-exon boundaries were sequenced using the Sanger method to screen for mutations in the other allele. All identified mutations were confirmed using Sanger sequencing. In total, 199 patients contained  $\geq 1$  mutation in the ABCA4 gene.

Age at onset of disease was defined as the age at which symptoms were first noticed by the patient. If this information was not available, we used the patient's age at which he or she first visited an ophthalmologist.

In this study, we included 51 patients with an age at onset of  $\leq$ 10 years and one of the following criteria:  $\geq$ 2 ABCA4 mutations (n = 37); 1 ABCA4 mutation and the presence of yellow-white flecks (n = 7); or in the absence of ABCA4 analysis, the presence of yellow-white flecks, and either a dark choroid or an atrophic macular lesion (n = 7).

This study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee and was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients provided informed consent before giving a blood sample and receiving additional ophthalmologic examinations.

### **Clinical Evaluation**

We defined the duration of disease as the time interval between the patient's age at onset (defined as described) and the age at the last visit. Best-corrected visual acuity

(BCVA) was measured using a Snellen chart, then transformed into the logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) for subsequent analysis. A logMAR value of 1.9, 2.3, or 2.7 was assigned to the patient's ability to count fingers, detect hand movements, or perceive light, respectively.<sup>26</sup>

Fundus characteristics were documented for 41 patients using fundus photography (Topcon TRC-50IX, Topcon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Fundus autofluorescence was performed in 32 patients using a confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscope (Spectralis, Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) fitted with an optically pumped solid-state laser (488-nm excitation). Atrophic chorioretinal lesions outside the fovea were stratified into 2 groups: (1) a patchy pattern consisting of mild disseminated hypo-autofluorescent spots and (2) sharply demarcated chorioretinal atrophic lesions with an absence of autofluorescence; the extent of these lesions was then classified as either (1) lesions that were limited to the posterior pole, or (2) lesions that extended beyond the vascular arcades. Cross-sectional images were obtained for 30 patients using SD-OCT (Spectralis, Heidelberg Engineering). Fluorescein angiography (Topcon TRC-50IX, Topcon Corporation; Spectralis, Heidelberg Engineering) was performed in 32 patients to determine the presence or absence of the "dark choroid" sign.

Full-field ERG was performed in 43 patients in accordance with the guidelines established by the International Society for Clinical Electrophysiology of Vision (ISCEV)<sup>27</sup> using either Dawson-Trick-Litzkow electrodes or contact lens electrodes together with the RETI-port system (Roland Consults, Stasche & Finger GmbH, Brandenburg an der Havel, Germany). Dawson-Trick-Litzkow and contact lens electrodes have similarly high signal stability.<sup>28</sup> Based on the ffERG results, the patients were assigned to 3 groups as described previously<sup>5</sup>: group 1 consisted of patients with normal ffERG amplitudes, group 2 consisted of patients with reduced photopic amplitude (<5% of normal range, <78  $\mu$ V [B-wave]) and normal scotopic amplitude, and group 3 consisted of patients with reduced photopic and scotopic amplitudes (<5% of normal range, <263  $\mu$ V [B-wave]).

### Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 20.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY). Kaplan-Meier "survival" curves were used to analyze the interval between the age at onset and the age at which the following endpoints were achieved: Mild visual impairment ( $\geq$ 0.2 logMAR; Snellen  $\leq$ 20/32), moderate visual impairment ( $\geq$ 0.6 logMAR; Snellen  $\leq$ 20/80), severe visual impairment ( $\geq$ 1.0 logMAR; Snellen  $\leq$ 20/200), and blindness ( $\geq$ 1.4 logMAR; Snellen  $\leq$ 20/500).<sup>29</sup> Cox regression analysis was used to compare the ffERG groups. Differences with a P value of  $\leq$ 0.05 were considered significant.

# Results

### Initial clinical characteristics

A total of 51 patients (28 men and 23 women) were included in this study. The mean ( $\pm$  standard deviation) age at onset was 7.2  $\pm$  2.2 years (median, 8; range, 1–10).

#### Visual acuity

In each case, the initial symptom of the disease was a decline in visual acuity noticed by the patient, the patient's parents, or the school physician. Where available (n = 41 patients), the mean BCVA at the first visit to an ophthalmologist was  $0.51 \pm 0.36$ logMAR (median, 0.48; range, 0–1.15), Snellen 20/65. In 13 patients, the vision loss was initially unexplained. Six of these 13 patients were diagnosed with functional vision loss, and 6 other patients were diagnosed initially with cone–rod dystrophy. Of the 51 patients, 22 were diagnosed with STGD1  $\geq$ 3 years after the initial symptoms appeared (range, 3–30).

#### **Retinal features**

Ophthalmoscopy performed at the first visit revealed no retinal abnormalities in 10 out of 41 patients. Nine additional patients had foveal RPE changes, and the remaining 22 patients had foveal atrophy, atrophic RPE lesions that were not limited to the fovea,



**Figure 1. Retinal imaging of patient 4** (age at onset, 6 years; best-corrected visual acuity. 0.24 logMAR, Snellen 20/35; *ABCA4* genotype, p.Thr983Ala and c.5461-10T>C (p.?)) at 7 ( $A_{12,3}$ ) and 8 ( $B_{12,3}$ ) years of age. Initially, only subtle foveal hyperautofluorescence was present ( $A_2$ ): 1 year later, small parafoveal hyperautofluorescent flecks were present (the *arrow* in  $B_2$ ), despite a lack of apparent abnormalities on ophthalmoscopy. Spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) revealed foveal thickening of the band representing the external limiting membrane (the *arrows* in  $A_3$ – $B_3$ ). FAF = fundus autofluorescence; logMAR = logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution. and/or irregular yellow-white fundus flecks. Fluorescein angiography in 29 patients revealed an absence of choroidal fluorescence (the so-called dark choroid) in 21 patients. In patient 4, FAF revealed subtle hyperautofluorescence of the fovea, and SD-OCT revealed thickening of what appeared to be the external limiting membrane (Figure  $1A_{1,2,3}$ ). One year later, this patient developed several small parafoveal hyperautofluorescent flecks with no apparent abnormalities on ophthalmoscopy (Figure  $1B_{2}$ ).

#### Electrophysiologic findings

At their first visit, ffERG data were collected for 26 patients, and the patients were classified based on their findings. Group 1 (patients with normal photopic and scotopic amplitudes) contained 15 patients (58%), group 2 (patients with reduced photopic amplitude and normal scotopic amplitudes) contained 4 patients (15%), and group 3 (patients with reduced photopic and scotopic amplitudes) contained 6 patients (23%). One patient could not be classified into 1 of these 3 groups because only the scotopic amplitudes were reduced moderately, although no pigmentary retinopathy was observed. We found no correlation between the ffERG group classification at the first visit and progression toward mild visual impairment (P = 0.485), moderate visual impairment (P = 0.203), or blindness (P = 0.647).

#### Natural course

The mean disease duration was  $17.1 \pm 14.5$  years (median, 11; range, 0–56). The follow-up period ranged from a single examination in 3 patients to 47 years (mean,

 $12.4 \pm 12.6$ ; median, 9). The clinical and genetic characteristics of the patient cohort at the last examination are summarized in Table 1.

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curves showing the cumulative fraction in early-onset Stargardt with the following clinical endpoints: mild visual impairment (≥0.2 logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution [logMAR], Snellen ≤20/32, triangle), moderate visual impairment (≥0.6 logMAR; Snellen ≤20/80, circle), severe visual impairment (≥1.0 logMAR, Snellen ≤20/200, square), and blindness (≥1.4 logMAR, Snellen ≤20/500, star). Censored observations are depicted as vertical bars.



Patient	Age at onset	Age	BCVA (logMAR	Fundus findings		ffERG*†		Mutations	
	(y)		[Snellen])*	Flecks	Dark choroid	Photopic	Scotopic	Allele 1	Allele 2
1	7‡	18	0.30 (20/40)	Yes	Yes	NP	NP	c.2588G>C, c.656G>	•C, c.1822T>A
2	8	14	0.89 (20/155)	Yes	NP	NP	NP	c.5461-10T>C	c.5461-10T>C
3	6	17	1.90 (CF)	Yes	Yes	Ν	MR	c.5461-10T>C	c.5461-10T>C
4	6	8	0.20 (20/32)	Yes	Yes	MR	Ν	c.2947A>G	c.5461-10T>C
5	6	57	2.30 (HM)	Yes	Yes	ND	ND	c.768G>T	c.443-? 570+?del
6	9	10	0.40 (20/50)	Yes	Yes	SR	Ν	c.768G>T	– NI
7	6	40	1.30 (20/400)	Yes	NP	NP	NP	c.5461-10T>C	c.5714+5G>A
8	4	35	1.90 (CF)	Yes	Yes	MR	Ν	c.4462T>C	c.2919-?_3328+?del
9	9	25	1.90 (CF)	Yes	Yes	NP	NP	c.768G>T	NI
10	3	36	1.90 (CF)	Yes	Yes	SR	SR	c.3813G>C	NI
11	5	52	2.30 (HM)	Yes	NP	MR	MR	c.6411T>A	NI
12	7	8	1.22 (20/333)	Yes	Yes	MR	MR	c.768G>T	c.5461-10T>C
13	7	7	0.33 (20/43)	Yes	Yes	MR	MR	١	IP
14	9	17	1.15 (20/286)	Yes	No	Ν	Ν	c.3874C>T	c.6543 6578del
15	3	14	1.00 (20/200)	Yes	NP	Ν	Ν	c.4539+1G>T	c.768G>T
16	9	11	1.10 (20/250)	Yes	No	MR	Ν	١	IP
17	10	47	1.90 (CF)	Yes	Yes	SR	SR	١	IP
18	7	48	2.30 (HM)	Yes	NP	SR	SR	c.768G>T	c.5461-10T>C
19	6	43	1.90 (CF)	Yes	NP	SR	SR	c.768G>T	c.5461-10T>C
20	8	33	1.10 (20/250)	Yes	No	Ν	Ν	c.5161-5162delAC	c.5882G>A
21	8	64	1.90 (CF)	Yes	NP	ND	ND	c.2947A>G	c.4506C>A
22	8	31	1.90 (CF)	Yes	NP	SR	SR	١	IP
23	9	51	1.90 (CF)	Yes	Yes	ND	ND	c.768G>T	c.5461-10T>C
24	3	19	1.00 (20/200)	Yes	No	ND	Ν	c.5461-10T>C	c.6320G>A
25	9	16	1.30 (20/400)	Yes	NP	MR	Ν	c.214G>A	c.5461-10T>C
26	5	10	1.10 (20/250)	Yes	NP	NP	NP	c.5762_5763dup	c.2919-?_3328+?del
27	10	31	0.40 (20/50)	NP§	NP§	NP	NP	c.455G>A	c.5461-10T>C
28	9	19	0.72 (20/105)	Yes	NP	Ν	Ν	c.5461-10T>C	NI
29	1	28	1.90 (CF)	Yes	Yes	SR	Ν	c.5585-10T>C	NI
30	8	26	1.30 (20/400)	Yes	Yes	Ν	Ν	c.5312+1G>A	c.286A>G
31	10	22	1.90 (CF)	Yes	Yes	SR	Ν	c.2588G>C	c.4539+1G>T
32	9	15	0.80 (20/125)	Yes	Yes	NP	NP	c.2588G>C	c.4539+1G>T
33	7	18	1.15 (20/286)	Yes	NP	Ν	Ν	c.1957C>T, c.6320G>	A. c.3449G>A
34	10	31	1.15 (20/286)	Yes	Yes	Ν	Ν	c.5461-10T>C	c.5537T>C
35	7	11	0.80 (20/125)	Yes	NP	Ν	Ν	c.818G>A	NI
36	7	7	0.80 (20/125)	No	Yes	Ν	Ν	c.872C>T;4224G>T	c.2947A>G
37	8	22	1.15 (20/286)	Yes	NP	Ν	Ν	c.1822T>A	c.5882G>A
38	10	13	0.52 (20/67)	No	No	Ν	Ν	c.5882G>A	c.5882G>A
39	10	16	1.15 (20/286)	Yes	No	Ν	Ν	c.768G>T	c.1822T>A
40	5	24	1.30 (20/400)	Yes	Yes	MR	MR	c.768G>T	c.5461-10T>C
41	6	22	1.90 (CF)	Yes	Yes	ND	ND	c.1622T>C;3113C>T	c.1622T>C;3113C>T
42	10	22	1.30 (20/400)	Yes	Yes	MR	Ν	١	1P
43	7	19	1.10 (20/250)	Yes	NP	Ν	Ν	١	1P
44	8	19	1.00 (20/200)	Yes	NP	SR	Ν	١	1P
45	5	15	1.00 (20/200)	Yes	Yes	MR	Ν	c.3335C>A	c.5461-10T>C
46	7	31	2.30 (HM)	Yes	No	ND	MR	c.1822T>A	c.5461-10T>C
47	9	20	0.85 (20/143)	Yes	NP	Ν	Ν	c.122G>A	c.286A>G
48	4	32	1.00 (20/200)	Yes	NP	NP	NP	c.5882G>A	NI
49	8	18	1.00 (20/200)	Yes	No	SR	ND	c.286A>G	c.286A>G
50	9	14	0.80 (20/125)	No	No	ND	SR	c.4773+1G>A	c.5461-10T>C
51	8	43	1.90 (CF)	Yes	No	ND	ND	c.768G>T	c.5113C>T

#### Table 1. Clinical and genetic characteristics of 51 early-onset Stargardt patients.

\* Age, BCVA, and ffERG at last examination.

† The abbreviations reflect the B-wave amplitude: N = normal (equal to or above the lower 5% of the range for a normal population: photopic  $\geq$ 78 µV, scotopic  $\geq$ 263 µV); MR = moderately reduced (1%-5% or normal range: photopic  $\geq$ 69 µV and <78 µV, scotopic  $\geq$ 195 µV and <263 µV); SR = severely reduced (<1% of normal range, photopic <69 µV, scotopic <195 µV); ND = not detectable (flat amplitudes).

Early-onset Stargardt disease: phenotypic and genotypic characteristics • 32

#### Visual acuity

A survival analysis yielded a median interval and 95% CI between age at onset and a decline in BCVA to mild visual impairment, moderate visual impairment, severe visual impairment, and blindness of 3 (95% CI, 1.1–4.9), 5 (95% CI, 2.2–7.8), 12 (95% CI, 9.3–14.7), and 23 (95% CI, 13.2–32.8) years, respectively (Figure 2). Mean patient age at the last recorded visit was 24.9  $\pm$  14.0 years (median, 20; range, 7–64), and mean BCVA was 1.29  $\pm$  0.58 logMAR (median, 1.15; range, 0.20–2.30), Snellen 20/386.

#### **Retinal features**

We observed irregular yellow-white fundus flecks in 47 out of 50 patients. These flecks were not always evident at the first examination, but they developed within an average of  $2.9 \pm 4.1$  years (median, 0; range, 0–17) of the first visit. The location of these flecks varied among the patients: In 10 patients, the flecks were present exclusively in the central macula; in 17 patients, the flecks were scattered throughout the posterior pole, but did not extend beyond the vascular arcades; and the remaining 20 patients presented with a fundus flavimaculatus pattern with numerous flecks in the central and mid-peripheral retina. Foveal atrophy was reported in 38 patients and occurred within  $1.9 \pm 3.3$  years (median, 0; range, 0–13) of the initial visit. Finally, 14 patients developed foveal atrophy before the appearance of fundus flecks (Figure  $3A_{1,2}$ ).



Figure 3. Fundus photographs and autofluorescence (FAF) imaging of patient 37 (age at onset. 8 years: ABCA4 genotype. p.Phe608lle and p.Gly1961Glu) at 15 ( $A_{1,2}$ ) and 22 years ( $B_{1,2}$ ) of age showing isolated foveal pigment alterations and a hypoautofluorescent lesion (BCVA, 0.52 logMAR, Snellen 20/66). Seven years later ( $B_{1,2}$ ), yellow-white parafoveal fundus flecks (the *arrow* in  $B_2$ ) developed (BCVA 1.15 logMAR: Snellen 20/283). BCVA = best-corrected visual acuity: logMAR = logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution.

Long-term follow-up data revealed that the initial foveal atrophy progressed to more widespread chorioretinal atrophy. Over time, FAF imaging revealed centrifugal expansion of disseminated spots in 30 out of 32 patients with a mean timeframe of

‡ Mean age at onset of the total cohort is used.

§ In the patient's medical records fundus findings were described "in accordance with Stargardt," but no detailed description or imaging was made and thus were excluded from imaging analysis.

BCVA = best-corrected visual acuity: CF = counting fingers: del = deletion: dup = duplication: ffERG = full-field electroretinography: HM = hand movements; logMAR = logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution: NI = not identified: NP = not performed.



Figure 4. Overview of the natural course of retinal disease in early-onset Stargardt based on findings obtained from 5 separate patients using fundus photography, FAF, and SD-OCT. Early-onset Stargardt includes foveal atrophy and parafoveal hyperautofluorescent fundus flecks ( $A_{1,2}$ ) in an early disease stage. On SD-OCT, there is hyperreflective abnormalities in the outer retina, loss of the ellipsoid zone, and thinning of the outer nuclear layer ( $A_3$ ). The initial foveal atrophy and parafoveal flecks then extend centrifugally ( $B_{1,2}$ ), and disseminated hypoautofluorescent spots appear ( $B_2$ ). On SD-OCT, there is progression of the foveal atrophy, with thinning of the retinal pigment epithelium/Bruch's membrane complex ( $B_3$ ). Further in the course of the disease, the disseminated hypoautofluorescent spots become chorioretinal atrophic lesions, the central atrophy expands further ( $C_{1,2}$ ), and pigmentations ( $C_1$ ) are visible as hyperreflective deposits on SD-OCT ( $C_3$ ). Over time, confluence of these lesions evolves centrifugally ( $D_{1,2}$ ), extending beyond the vascular arcades ( $E_{1,2}$ ), with further retinal thinning and atrophy of the choriocapillaris ( $D_3$ - $E_3$ ) visible on SD-OCT.  $A_{1,2,3}$ , Patient 1 at age 17:  $B_{1,2,3}$ , patient 31 at age 22:  $C_{1,2,3}$ , patient 40 at age 24:  $D_{1,2,3}$ , patient 8 at age 36:  $E_{1,2,3}$ , patient 5 at age 57. FAF = fundus autofluorescence; SD-OCT = spectral-domain optical coherence tomography.

9.8 ± 10.5 years (median, 8; range, 0–40; Figure 4B<sub>2</sub>). These spots extended beyond the vascular arcades in 24 of these 30 patients with a mean of 11.2 ± 11.0 years (median, 9.5; range, 0–40) after the first visit (Figure 4C<sub>2</sub>). In 22 out of 32 patients, the spots progressed to chorioretinal atrophic lesions after a mean period of 13.5 ± 12.3 years (median, 10.5; range, 0–40; Figure 4C<sub>2</sub>–D<sub>2</sub>). In 11 of these 22 patients, the confluence of these lesions extended beyond the vascular arcades after a mean period of 23.0 ± 0.3 years (median, 23; range, 5–40; Figure 4E<sub>2</sub>). The 11 patients who presented

with the common phenotype of chorioretinal lesions beyond the vascular arcades at the final examination initially presented with flecks (n = 3), foveal atrophy (n = 4), or both flecks and atrophy (n = 4) at their first examination.

Over time, SD-OCT showed thinning of the outer nuclear layer and loss of the ellipsoid zone, which preceded loss of the RPE/Bruch's membrane complex. In addition, hyper-reflective abnormalities in the outer retina were present (Figure  $4A_3$ ). Progression occurred as an expanding loss of the outer nuclear layer, ellipsoid zone, RPE, and choriocapillaris (Figure  $4B_3-E_3$ ). Hyperreflective deposits in the inner layers of the fovea developed, corresponding with intraretinal pigmentations on fundus photography (Figure  $4C_1-C_3$ ).

### Electrophysiologic findings

Follow-up data for 25 patients showed that 4 patients progressed from ffERG group 1 to group 2 within a mean of  $10.5 \pm 4.4$  years (range, 7–16), and 9 patients progressed from group 1 to group 3 within a mean of  $27.7 \pm 14.4$  years (range, 3–47).

### Mutation analysis

The ABCA4 gene was screened for mutations in 44 of the 51 patients; the remaining 7 patients refused genetic analysis for personal reasons. In these 44 patients, mutations in the ABCA4 gene were identified in 81 of the 88 alleles (92%). Thirty-three of these patients had 2 ABCA4 mutations, 7 patients had 1 mutation, 3 patients had 3 mutations, and 1 patient had 4 mutations. In total, 37 distinct mutations were identified; these mutations are summarized in Table 2.

The c.768G>T mutation was identified in 25% of the 44 patients and accounted for 13% of all identified mutations. The c.5461-10T>C mutation was identified in 36% of the patients and accounted for 22% of all identified mutations. The most prevalent ABCA4 mutation among Dutch patients with STGD1 (c.2588G>C)<sup>23</sup> was identified in only 4% of the alleles. Table 3 summarizes the non-missense mutations that were identified in this study.

# Discussion

In this study, we examined the clinical and genetic characteristics of patients with early-onset Stargardt, a disease that lies within the spectrum of retinal phenotypes linked with mutations in the ABCA4 gene. Whenever a spectrum of disorders contains overlapping phenotypes as the rule rather than an exception, any cutoff point used to define a particular disease within that spectrum will be arbitrary. Therefore, we

Mutation	Effect	Allele		eferences	
			Percentage		
c.122G>A	p.Trp41*	1	1	35	
c.214G>A	p.Gly72Arg	1	1	32	
c.286A>G	p.Asn96Asp	4	5	36	
c.443-? 570+?del	p.Arg149fs	1	1	This study	
c.455G>A	p.Arg152GIn	1	1	32, 37	
c.656G>C	p.Arg219Thr	1	1	38	
c.768G>T	p.Val256Val/p.?	11	13	16, 23, 32, 39	
c.818G>A	p.Trp273*	1	1	This study	
c.872C>T	p.Pro291Leu	1	1	34	
c.1622T>C	p.Leu541Pro	2	2	1, 16, 32, 40	
c.1822T>A	p.Phe608lle	4	5	1, 23	
c.1957C>T	p.Arg653Cys	1	1	32, 41	
c.2588G>C	p.Gly863Ala/p.DelGly863	3	4	16, 18, 23, 32, 42	
c.2919-?_3328+?del	p.Ser974_Gly1110delinsCys	2	2	23	
c.2947A>G	p.Thr983Ala	3	4	34	
c.3113C>T	p.Ala1038Val	2	2	16, 31, 32, 40, 43	
c.3335C>A	p.Thr1112Asn	1	1	23	
c.3449G>A	p.Cys1150Tyr	1	1	This study	
c.3813G>C	p.Glu1271Asp	1	1	This study	
c.3874C>T	p.Gln1292*	1	1	34	
c.4224G>T	p.Trp1408Cys	1	1	This study	
c.4462T>C	p.Cys1488Arg	1	1	1, 8, 44, 45	
c.4506C>A	p.Cys1502*	1	1	34	
c.4539+1G>T	p.?	3	4	1, 23, 43, 44	
c.4773+1G>A	p.?	1	1	This study	
c.5113C>T	p.Arg1705Trp	1	1	34	
c.5161_5162del	p.Thr1721fs	1	1	23, 36	
c.5312+1G>A	p.?	1	1	46	
c.5461-10T>C	p.?	19	22	16, 23, 47	
c.5537T>C	p.Ile1846Thr	1	1	23, 45	
c.5585-10T>C	p.?	1	1	48	
c.5714+5G>A	p.?	1	1	1, 23, 32, 41, 43	
c.5762_5763dup	p.Ala1922fs	1	1	34	
c.5882G>A	p.Gly1961Glu	5	6	18, 31, 32, 44, 49	
c.6320G>A	p.Arg2107His	2	2	8, 31, 40, 45, 50	
c.6411T>A	p.Cys2137*	1	1	34	
c.6543_6578del	p.Leu2182_Phe2193del	1	1	1	

 Table 2. ABCA4 mutations in early-onset Stargardt patients. References are shown for mutations that have been reported previously. del = deletion; dup = duplication; fs = frameshift; ins = insertion; \* stop signal.

Mutation	Protein effect	SIFT	Polyphen-2	Grantham	PhyloP
c.3449G>A	p.Cys1150Tyr	Not tolerated	Benign	194	3.19
c.3813G>C	p.Glu1271Asp	Not tolerated	Possibly damaging	45	6.10
c.4224G>T	p.Trp1408Cys	Not tolerated	Probably damaging	215	5.86

#### Table 3. Characteristics of the non-missense ABCA4 mutations identified in our cohort study.

The outcome of 2 protein prediction programs (SIFT = tolerated or not tolerated; PolyPhen = benign, possibly damaging, probably damaging), together with Grantham (>60 pathogenic) and PhyloP conservation score (>2.5 pathogenic), were used to form the final conclusion. Mutations are proposed to be pathogenic when  $\geq$ 2 categories point to pathogenicity.

arbitrarily defined "early-onset Stargardt" as occurring with an age at onset of ≤10 years of age; this definition enabled us to avoid including patients with a more typical STGD1 phenotype. Only 4% of the 51 patients in our early-onset Stargardt cohort had visual

Early-onset Stargardt disease: phenotypic and genotypic characteristics • 36

acuity of  $\leq 0.30$  logMAR, Snellen < 20/40 (measured at age 8 and 18 years of age in these 2 patients), compared with 14% to 37% of patients with typical STGD1 and 59% of patients with late-onset STGD1.<sup>5, 14, 24</sup> Because patients with a relatively good visual acuity tended to return less often than those with progressive problems, these data may have overrepresented more severe cases. The majority of our patients for whom ffERG data were available developed abnormal ffERG amplitudes, consistent with a previous report by Fujinami et al.<sup>7</sup> However, we found no correlation between the ffERG group classifications (which were based on the nature of the ffERG abnormalities) at the age of onset and the speed of vision loss in this early-onset Stargardt cohort. This finding differs from STGD1 cohorts that included patients with later ages at onset.<sup>5, 6</sup> Our findings indicate that early-onset Stargardt can be considered a distinct severe subtype of STGD1 that is characterized by early foveal abnormalities and the rapid loss of visual function; in contrast, in late-onset STGD1, foveal sparing is common, and visual acuity is often preserved to a relatively advanced age.<sup>14</sup>

### Diagnosis

The natural course of early-onset ABCA4-related retinal disease in our cohort reflects a broad clinical spectrum both at the time of onset and at follow-up, with varying degrees of ffERG abnormalities and yellow-white flecks and/or atrophy at a variety of locations. Thus, each combination of electrophysiologic and funduscopic findings could be considered a unique phenotype at a specific time point. Moreover, these phenotypes changed during the course of the disease, suggesting progression of the disease. Because both funduscopic and electrophysiologic criteria have been proposed for establishing a descriptive diagnosis (e.g., Stargardt disease and cone–rod dystrophy), each individual patient can potentially receive several diagnoses at 1 time point and at follow-up. Importantly, receiving several diagnoses can be extremely confusing to both the patient and the referring ophthalmologist.

Nevertheless, we found that the spectrum of fundus presentations in this early-onset retinal dystrophy ultimately converges to a single clinical and functional endpoint that includes profound chorioretinal atrophy and severe vision loss. Therefore, we propose that one diagnosis of "early-onset Stargardt" should be given to patients with early-onset central retinal dystrophy and ABCA4 gene mutations. This approach provides the patient with the benefit of receiving a single diagnosis throughout his or her entire life.

### Genotype-phenotype correlation

Because early-onset Stargardt can be considered a severe phenotype, severe combinations of mutations are expected in these patients. In our cohort, the c.768G>T and c.5461-10T>C mutations were significantly more prevalent (present in 25% and 36% of our patients, respectively) than in other STGD1 cohorts (8% and 5%, respectively).<sup>30, 31</sup> The c.768G>T mutation is predicted to cause a splice defect that leads to nonsensemediated decay owing to absence of the corresponding messenger ribonucleic acid: this mutation is therefore considered to be a severe pathogenic mutation. In addition, a founder effect has been suggested for this mutation owing to the allele frequency of 8% among Dutch patients with STGD1.<sup>30</sup> On the other hand, the c.5461-10T>C mutation does not seem to be pathogenic, because heterologous expression of this mutation failed to reveal a splicing defect.<sup>32</sup> This mutation is rare among control patients, but is present in 5% of patients with general STGD1. Therefore, the c.5461-10T>C mutation may be in linkage disequilibrium with another, currently unidentified, severe pathogenic mutation.<sup>31</sup> Equally important, the most prevalent ABCA4 mutation among Dutch patients with STGD1—c.2588G>C, which was reported in approximately one third of typical STGD1 cases<sup>33</sup>—was present in only 4% of the ABCA4 alleles in our cohort of patients with early-onset Stargardt. To date, no homozygous carriers of this mutation have been identified.<sup>23</sup> supporting the hypothesis that this is a relatively mild mutation. The low prevalence of this presumably mild mutation in our cohort is consistent with a previously proposed genotype-phenotype model that correlates the degree of residual ABCA4 activity with the severity of the phenotype.<sup>17, 23</sup>

We identified ABCA4 mutations in all of our patients who received genetic screening; in contrast, the detection rate in routine clinical practice is 73%.<sup>34</sup> This difference can be explained—at least in part—by the inclusion criteria; patients who lacked a detected ABCA4 mutation only would have been included if yellow-white flecks and either a dark choroid or an atrophic macular lesion were present. However, our database did not contain such patients who received genetic screening and had an early-onset disease. This finding supports the notion that early onset is highly predictive for identifying ABCA4 mutations,<sup>34</sup> possibly because of the relatively higher percentage of severe mutations, which are more readily identified and/or recognized.

It remains unclear why the fovea is affected early in the course of STGD1 in some patients, whereas it can be spared—even for many decades—in other patients carrying compound heterozygous mutations, including 1 severe ABCA4 mutation.<sup>14, 15</sup> Other factors must therefore play a role in the development of foveal atrophy in early-onset Stargardt; possible factors can include pathogenic mutations or single nucleotide polymorphisms in genes other than ABCA4, as well as aberrant cellular processes. For example, the accumulation of all-trans-retinal in photoreceptor cells can directly increase cellular stress, thereby triggering apoptotic signaling pathways.<sup>35</sup> Next-generation sequencing of all retina-specific genes may help to identify the genetic factors that determine whether or not the fovea is involved early in the course of STGD1.

Early-onset Stargardt disease: phenotypic and genotypic characteristics • 38

### **Clinical Significance**

Although diagnosing STGD1 at an early age is challenging, delaying diagnosis can have serious consequences. For example, 22 patients in our study did not receive the correct diagnosis for  $\geq$ 3 years, and vision loss was initially unexplained in 13 patients. Recognizing early-onset Stargardt early in the disease course enables the timely start of potential measures—such as sunlight protection and low-vision counseling—and can prevent the inappropriate prescription of vitamin A supplements. Therefore, patients who are suspected of having early-onset Stargardt should be examined thoroughly using FAF and SD-OCT, particularly when no abnormalities (or mild foveal abnormalities) are present in a child with central vision loss that is otherwise unexplained. This diagnosis should also be confirmed by screening for the presence of ABCA4 mutations. Finally, in light of future therapeutic options, such as gene therapy for treating ABCA4-related retinal disorders, obtaining a thorough understanding of the phenotypic spectrum and clinical course of STGD1 is essential for identifying the patients who will benefit most from these treatments.

# References

- Lewis RA, Shroyer NF, Singh N, et al. Genotype/Phenotype analysis of a photoreceptor-specific ATPbinding cassette transporter gene, ABCR, in Stargardt disease. Am J Hum Genet 1999;64(2):422-34.
- Stargardt K. Über familliäre, progressive Degeneration in der Maculagegend des Auges. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 1909(71):534-50.
- Franceschetti A. A special form of tapetoretinal degeneration: fundus flavimaculatus. Trans Am Acad Ophthalmol Otolaryngol 1965;69(6):1048-53.
- Klevering BJ, Blankenagel A, Maugeri A, et al. Phenotypic spectrum of autosomal recessive cone-rod dystrophies caused by mutations in the ABCA4 (ABCR) gene. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science 2002;43(6):1980-5.
- Lois N, Holder GE, Bunce C, et al. Phenotypic subtypes of Stargardt macular dystrophy-fundus flavimaculatus. Arch Ophthalmol 2001;119(3):359-69.
- Zahid S, Jayasundera T, Rhoades W, et al. Clinical phenotypes and prognostic full-field electroretinographic findings in Stargardt disease. American Journal of Ophthalmology 2013;155(3):465-73 e3.
- Fujinami K, Lois N, Davidson AE, et al. A longitudinal study of stargardt disease: clinical and electrophysiologic assessment, progression, and genotype correlations. American Journal of Ophthalmology 2013;155(6):1075-88 e13.
- 8. Fishman GA, Stone EM, Grover S, et al. Variation of clinical expression in patients with Stargardt dystrophy and sequence variations in the ABCR gene. Arch Ophthalmol 1999;117(4):504-10.
- Armstrong JD, Meyer D, Xu S, Elfervig JL. Long-term follow-up of Stargardt's disease and fundus flavimaculatus. Ophthalmology 1998;105(3):448-57; discussion 57-8.
- 10. Querques G, Leveziel N, Benhamou N, et al. Analysis of retinal flecks in fundus flavimaculatus using optical coherence tomography. British Journal of Ophthalmology 2006;90(9):1157-62.
- 11. Sparrow JR, Gregory-Roberts E, Yamamoto K, et al. The bisretinoids of retinal pigment epithelium. Progress in Retinal and Eye Research 2012;31(2):121-35.
- Charbel Issa P, Barnard AR, Singh MS, et al. Fundus autofluorescence in the Abca4(-/-) mouse model of Stargardt disease--correlation with accumulation of A2E, retinal function, and histology. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science 2013;54(8):5602-12.
- Ergun E, Hermann B, Wirtitsch M, et al. Assessment of central visual function in Stargardt's disease/ fundus flavimaculatus with ultrahigh-resolution optical coherence tomography. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2005;46(1):310-6.
- Westeneng-van Haaften SC, Boon CJ, Cremers FP, et al. Clinical and Genetic Characteristics of Lateonset Stargardt's Disease. Ophthalmology 2012;119(6):1199-210.
- 15. Fujinami K, Sergouniotis PI, Davidson AE, et al. Clinical and molecular analysis of stargardt disease with preserved foveal structure and function. Am J Ophthalmol 2013;156(3):487-501 e1.
- 16. Maugeri A, Klevering BJ, Rohrschneider K, et al. Mutations in the ABCA4 (ABCR) gene are the major cause of autosomal recessive cone-rod dystrophy. Am J Hum Genet 2000;67(4):960-6.
- 17. Klevering BJ, Deutman AF, Maugeri A, et al. The spectrum of retinal phenotypes caused by mutations in the ABCA4 gene. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2005;243(2):90-100.
- Allikmets R, Singh N, Sun H, et al. A photoreceptor cell-specific ATP-binding transporter gene (ABCR) is mutated in recessive Stargardt macular dystrophy. Nat Genet 1997;15(3):236-46.
- Molday LL, Rabin AR, Molday RS. ABCR expression in foveal cone photoreceptors and its role in Stargardt macular dystrophy. Nat Genet 2000;25(3):257-8.
- Sun H, Smallwood PM, Nathans J. Biochemical defects in ABCR protein variants associated with human retinopathies. Nat Genet 2000;26(2):242-6.
- 21. Sparrow JR, Wu Y, Kim CY, Zhou J. Phospholipid meets all-trans-retinal: the making of RPE bisretinoids. J Lipid Res 2010;51(2):247-61.
- 22. van Driel MA, Maugeri A, Klevering BJ, et al. ABCR unites what ophthalmologists divide(s). Ophthalmic Genet 1998;19(3):117-22.

#### Early-onset Stargardt disease: phenotypic and genotypic characteristics • 40

- 23. Maugeri A, van Driel MA, van de Pol DJ, et al. The 2588G-->C mutation in the ABCR gene is a mild frequent founder mutation in the Western European population and allows the classification of ABCR mutations in patients with Stargardt disease. Am J Hum Genet 1999;64(4):1024-35.
- 24. Rotenstreich Y, Fishman GA, Anderson RJ. Visual acuity loss and clinical observations in a large series of patients with Stargardt disease. Ophthalmology 2003;110(6):1151-8.
- 25. Burke TR, Yzer S, Zernant J, et al. Abnormality in the external limiting membrane in early Stargardt Disease. Ophthalmic Genet 2012.
- Schulze-Bonsel K, Feltgen N, Burau H, et al. Visual acuities "hand motion" and "counting fingers" can be quantified with the freiburg visual acuity test. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science 2006;47(3):1236-40.
- Marmor MF, Fulton AB, Holder GE, et al. ISCEV Standard for full-field clinical electroretinography (2008 update). Doc Ophthalmol 2009;118(1):69-77.
- 28. Kuze M, Uji Y. Comparison between Dawson, Trick, and Litzkow electrode and contact lens electrodes used in clinical electroretinography. Japanese Journal of Ophthalmology 2000;44(4):374-80.
- 29. Colenbrander A. Visual standards: aspects and ranges of vision loss with emphasis on population surveys. Report prepared for the International Council of Ophthalmology (ICO) at the 29th International Congress of Ophthalmology, Sydney, 2002. San Francisco: Pacific Vision Foundation; 2002.
- Cremers FP, Maugeri A, den Hollander AI, Hoyng CB. The expanding roles of ABCA4 and CRB1 in inherited blindness. Novartis Found Symp 2004;255:68-79; discussion -84, 177-8.
- Webster AR, Heon E, Lotery AJ, et al. An analysis of allelic variation in the ABCA4 gene. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2001;42(6):1179-89.
- Rivera A, White K, Stohr H, et al. A comprehensive survey of sequence variation in the ABCA4 (ABCR) gene in Stargardt disease and age-related macular degeneration. Am J Hum Genet 2000;67(4):800-13.
- Maugeri A, Flothmann K, Hemmrich N, et al. The ABCA4 2588G>C Stargardt mutation: single origin and increasing frequency from South-West to North-East Europe. Eur J Hum Genet 2002;10(3):197-203.
- Ernest PJ, Boon CJ, Klevering BJ, et al. Outcome of ABCA4 microarray screening in routine clinical practice. Molecular Vision 2009;15:2841-7.
- 35. Cideciyan AV, Swider M, Aleman TS, et al. ABCA4 disease progression and a proposed strategy for gene therapy. Hum Mol Genet 2009;18(5):931-41.
- 36. Papaioannou M, Ocaka L, Bessant D, et al. An analysis of ABCR mutations in British patients with recessive retinal dystrophies. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2000;41(1):16-9.
- 37. September AV, Vorster AA, Ramesar RS, Greenberg LJ. Mutation spectrum and founder chromosomes for the ABCA4 gene in South African patients with Stargardt disease. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science 2004;45(6):1705-11.
- Jaakson K, Zernant J, Kulm M, et al. Genotyping microarray (gene chip) for the ABCR (ABCA4) gene. Hum Mutat 2003;22(5):395-403.
- Yatsenko AN, Shroyer NF, Lewis RA, Lupski JR. Late-onset Stargardt disease is associated with missense mutations that map outside known functional regions of ABCR (ABCA4). Hum Genet 2001;108(4):346-55.
- 40. Rozet JM, Gerber S, Souied E, et al. Spectrum of ABCR gene mutations in autosomal recessive macular dystrophies. European Journal of Human Genetics 1998;6(3):291-5.
- Fumagalli A, Ferrari M, Soriani N, et al. Mutational scanning of the ABCR gene with double-gradient denaturing-gradient gel electrophoresis (DG-DGGE) in Italian Stargardt disease patients. Human Genetics 2001;109(3):326-38.
- 42. Allikmets R, Shroyer NF, Singh N, et al. Mutation of the Stargardt disease gene (ABCR) in age-related macular degeneration. Science 1997;277(5333):1805-7.
- 43. Cremers FP, van de Pol DJ, van Driel M, et al. Autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa and cone-rod dystrophy caused by splice site mutations in the Stargardt's disease gene ABCR. Hum Mol Genet 1998;7(3):355-62.

- 44. Stone EM, Webster AR, Vandenburgh K, et al. Allelic variation in ABCR associated with Stargardt disease but not age-related macular degeneration. Nature Genetics 1998;20(4):328-9.
- 45. Briggs CE, Rucinski D, Rosenfeld PJ, et al. Mutations in ABCR (ABCA4) in patients with Stargardt macular degeneration or cone-rod degeneration. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2001;42(10):2229-36.
- 46. Zernant J, Schubert C, Im KM, et al. Analysis of the ABCA4 gene by next-generation sequencing. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2011;52(11):8479-87.
- Roberts LJ, Nossek CA, Greenberg LJ, Ramesar RS. Stargardt macular dystrophy: common ABCA4 mutations in South Africa--establishment of a rapid genetic test and relating risk to patients. Mol Vis 2012;18:280-9.
- Lenassi E, Jarc-Vidmar M, Glavac D, Hawlina M. Pattern electroretinography of larger stimulus field size and spectral-domain optical coherence tomography in patients with Stargardt disease. British Journal of Ophthalmology 2009;93(12):1600-5.
- 49. Simonelli F, Testa F, de Crecchio G, et al. New ABCR mutations and clinical phenotype in Italian patients with Stargardt disease. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science 2000;41(3):892-7.
- 50. Paloma E, Martinez-Mir A, Vilageliu L, et al. Spectrum of ABCA4 (ABCR) gene mutations in Spanish patients with autosomal recessive macular dystrophies. Human Mutation 2001;17(6):504-10.

Early-onset Stargardt disease: phenotypic and genotypic characteristics • 42

"The natural course of late-onset Stargardt features expanding areas of retinal pigment epithelium atrophy. These can serve as a robust surrogate outcome measure for disease progression in therapeutic trials to determine their potential effect."

#### Stanley Lambertus\*

Moritz Lindner\* Nathalie M. Bax Matthias M. Mauschitz Jennifer Nadal Matthias Schmid Steffen Schmitz-Valckenberg Anneke I. den Hollander Bernhard H.F. Weber Frank G. Holz Gert Jan van der Wilt Monika Fleckenstein<sup>†</sup> Carel B. Hoyng<sup>†</sup> for the Foveal sparing Atrophy Study Team (FAST)

\* S.L. and M.L. are joint first authors.

<sup>+</sup> M.F. and C.B.H. contributed equally to the work presented here and should therefore be regarded as equivalent authors.

Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2016 Oct 1;57(13):5186-519

The authors thank Stéphanie Cornelis and Frans Cremers for their analysis of ABCA4 mutations, and Hans Groenewoud for his statistical help and advice.

2.2 Progression of late-onset Stargardt disease **PURPOSE**: Identification of sensitive biomarkers is essential to determine potential effects of emerging therapeutic trials for Stargardt disease. This study aimed to describe the natural history of late-onset Stargardt, and demonstrates the accuracy of retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) atrophy progression as an outcome measure.

**METHODS**: We performed a retrospective cohort study collecting multicenter data from 47 patients (91 eyes) with late-onset Stargardt, defined by clinical phenotype, at least one ABCA4 mutation, and age at disease onset ≥45 years. We analyzed RPE atrophy progression on fundus autofluorescence and near-infrared reflectance imaging using semiautomated software and a linear mixed model. We performed sample size calculations to assess the power in a simulated 2-year interventional study and assessed visual endpoints using time-to-event analysis.

**RESULTS**: Over time, progression of RPE atrophy was observed (mean, 0.22 mm/ year; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.19–0.27). By including only patients with bilateral RPE atrophy in a future trial, 32 patients are needed to reach a power of 83.9% (95% CI, 83.1–84.6), assuming a fixed therapeutic effect size of 30%. We found a median interval between disease onset and visual acuity decline to 20/32, 20/80, and 20/200 of 2.74 (95% CI, 0.54–4.41), 10.15 (95% CI, 6.13–11.38), and 11.38 (95% CI, 6.13–13.34) years, respectively.

**CONCLUSIONS:** We show that RPE atrophy represents a robust biomarker to monitor disease progression in future therapeutic trials. In contrast, the variability in terms of the course of visual acuity was high.

Progression of late-onset Stargardt disease · 46

# Introduction

Stargardt disease is an autosomal recessive retinal dystrophy caused by mutations in the ABCA4 gene, and affects 1:8000 to 1:10,000 people worldwide.<sup>1</sup> Patients generally develop central loss of vision in childhood or early adulthood.<sup>2-4</sup> However, late-onset Stargardt can be diagnosed at age  $\geq$ 45 years, and has been associated with carrying one or two mutant ABCA4 alleles.<sup>5, 6</sup> Patients with this late-onset variant may first present with metamorphopsia or oscillopsia without any decrease in visual acuity. Occasionally, these patients are asymptomatic and are coincidentally diagnosed during screening tests for other retinal diseases, such as glaucoma, diabetes or thyroid disease.

The natural course of late-onset Stargardt includes a typical phenotype of yellow-white flecks and retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) atrophy. Patches of atrophy initially occur in the parafoveal retina and radially expand in size over time. New atrophic areas can arise; multifocal atrophic areas coalesce. Atrophic areas can form a ring encircling the intact and still-functioning fovea. Then, it is only until late in the disease course that the fovea will be involved in the atrophic process.<sup>7-9</sup> The patient's fixation point eventually shifts eccentrically, which leads to a substantial loss of visual acuity.<sup>10</sup> However, central atrophy can also develop early in the disease course, and only minor disease progression has been described in other patients.<sup>5</sup> Indeed, substantial variations in RPE atrophy progression have been reported before in small groups of typical Stargardt patients.<sup>11</sup> Yet analyses of the natural course of large late-onset Stargardt cohorts are missing.

In light of recently upcoming therapeutic options for Stargardt disease,<sup>12-14</sup> accurate biomarkers to determine their potential effects are crucial. The well-defined area of RPE atrophy is a frequent feature of late-onset Stargardt, showing similarities to geographic atrophy in age-related macular degeneration (AMD). Fundus autofluorescence (FAF) imaging can clearly visualize such areas of RPE atrophy,<sup>15, 16</sup> and change in RPE atrophy over time by FAF has already been accepted as a clinical endpoint by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration in AMD.<sup>17</sup> We hypothesize that areas of RPE atrophy could also serve to sensitively monitor the effect of a drug trial in late-onset Stargardt. This would make patients with late-onset Stargardt appropriate candidates for upcoming therapeutic trials. In this study, we describe the natural history in late-onset Stargardt patients, and identify cohorts based on imaging parameters that determine the visual course in these patients. We quantify atrophy progression with semiautomated software, previously validated for AMD,<sup>18</sup> showing the accuracy of this outcome measure, and include sample size calculations that are valuable for the design of upcoming therapeutic trials.

# Methods

### Patient selection

We identified patients from the Stargardt database of the Department of Ophthalmology at Radboud university medical center (Nijmegen, the Netherlands) and from the participants in the prospective natural history study Fundus Autofluorescence in Age-related Macular Degeneration (FAM; ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT00393692).

We included 47 patients with a late disease onset, defined by an age  $\geq$ 45 years at which symptoms were first noticed.<sup>5</sup> If the patient did not report any symptoms, we used the age at which the patient was diagnosed by an ophthalmologist. We clinically considered patients to have late-onset Stargardt when typical yellow-white flecks or dots were seen that correlated with hyperautofluorescent flecks on 488-nm FAF imaging.

Patients were analyzed for the presence of mutations in the adenosine triphosphate-binding cassette, subfamily A, member 4 (ABCA4, NM\_000350.2) gene. Clinical diagnosis was confirmed by genetic testing if at least one ABCA4 mutation was found. We excluded patients without evidence of ABCA4 mutations. In patients carrying only one ABCA4 mutation, we performed additional sequencing of the peripherin-2 gene (PRPH2, NM\_000322.4) to exclude pseudo-Stargardt pattern dystrophy and central areolar choroidal dystrophy.<sup>19, 20</sup>

This cohort study was carried out with approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee at Radboud university medical center (Nijmegen, the Netherlands) and the University Hospital of Bonn (Bonn, Germany), and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients provided informed consent before giving a blood sample and receiving additional ophthalmologic examinations to complete the clinical assessment.

### Clinical assessment

We reviewed the patients' records for ophthalmologic history and available technical examinations, including sex, age at disease onset, and age at baseline. Best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was measured using a Snellen or Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) chart, then transformed into the logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) for subsequent analysis. Fundus characteristics were documented using fundus photography (Topcon TRC-50IX; Topcon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan; or Visucam 500; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany). Fundus autofluorescence ( $\lambda$  = 488 nm; emission 500–700 nm) and near-infrared reflectance (NIR;  $\lambda$  = 820 nm) imaging were performed using a confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscope (Spectralis HRA+OCT or HRA2; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) in a subset of visits. The field of

view was set at 30°×30° or 55°×55° and was centered on the macula. Eyes with signs of choroidal neovascularization were excluded from further analysis.

### Image grading and cohorts

For each visit, two independent graders (M.F. and M.L.), blinded to each other's results, evaluated the status of the fovea and the presence of clearly demarcated RPE atrophy (analogous to "definitely decreased autofluorescence," the term recently used by Kuehlewein et al.<sup>21</sup>) on all available imaging modalities. Atrophy was graded as follows: (1) no RPE atrophy with an intact fovea, (2) extrafoveal (but not fovea encircling) RPE atrophy, (3) a typical "foveal sparing" phenotype in which RPE atrophy encircled the fovea by  $\geq 180^{\circ}$ ,<sup>10</sup> or (4) foveal involvement. Foveal involvement was indicated by a mottled or absent autofluorescent signal (equaling what was ultimately termed "well-/poorly demarcated questionably decreased autofluorescence"<sup>21</sup>). In cases of discrepancy, a third grader (S.L.) evaluated the images. His agreement with one of the independent graders was finally used. Based on this grading, eyes were exploratively analyzed in order to form cohorts that might be predictive for visual acuity loss.

## Quantitative measurements of retinal pigment epithelium atrophy

Two independent graders (M.F. and M.L.), blinded to each other's results, performed measurements of the area of RPE atrophy using the RegionFinder software (version 2.5.5.0, Heidelberg Engineering) on FAF images, as previously established for AMD.<sup>18</sup> In cases in which the foveal borders of the atrophy could not be well determined in FAF images, NIR images were included in the analysis wherever available.<sup>22</sup> The final value was defined as the average of the two measurements between the readers, provided that the two measurements did not differ by >0.15 mm<sup>2</sup>. If the difference exceeded 0.15 mm<sup>2</sup>, a senior reader (M.M.M.) additionally performed the measurement.<sup>18</sup> We calculated the final value by averaging the senior reader measurement along with the closer of the two other reader measurements.

### Statistical analysis

We analyzed data using SAS Statistical Analysis Software Version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) and R Version 3.1.2.23. Supplemental Figure  $S_1$  gives an overview of the analytical process applied in this work. Changes in visual acuity over time were assessed by time-to-event curves (cumulative distribution functions), and atrophy progression was analyzed using linear mixed-effects models. We performed a simulation study for power calculation for possible future interventional trials. Unless otherwise stated, all values given in the text represent median, minimum, and maximum values. Groups were compared by Mann–Whitney U tests. Details on the statistical procedures can be found in Supplemental Text  $S_1$ .

## Results

### Patient features and initial symptoms

A total of 91 eyes of 47 patients (19 men, 28 women) were included in this study. Two mutations in the ABCA4 gene were found in 20 patients (42.6%) and one mutation in 27 (57.4%; Supplemental Table S<sub>1</sub>). The median age at disease onset was 54 years (range, 45–84). Self-reported initial symptoms were obtained for 42 patients and included a decrease in visual acuity (n = 24; 50%), metamorphopsia (n = 12; 29%), nyctalopia (n = 5; 12%), paracentral scotomas (n = 4; 10%), or oscillopsia (n = 1; 2%). Twelve patients (29%) did not report any visual complaints. In five patients, initial symptoms were not unequivocally denoted in the patient's file.

### Course of visual acuity

Overall, visual acuity data were available from 632 eye visits. At the first presentation after disease onset, the median disease duration was 0.9 years (range, 0–25.6) with a median BCVA of 0.10 logMAR (range, -0.14 to 1.70; Snellen 20/25). The median follow-up time of the patients with more than a single visit (45 out of 47 patients) was 4.8 years (range, 0.04-25.0). Time-to-event analysis yielded a median and 95% confidence interval (CI) between the age at onset and a decline in BCVA to mild visual impairment (n = 62), moderate visual impairment (n = 39), and severe visual impairment (n = 35) of 2.74 (0.54-4.41), 10.15 (6.13-11.38), and 11.38 (9.34-13.34) years, respectively (Figure 1). The median disease duration at the final visit was 6.8 years (range, 0-30.9). The median BCVA at the final visit was  $0.37 \log$ MAR (range, -0.10 to 1.80; Snellen 20/47).



O Severe visual impairment (Snellen ≤ 20/200)

### Assessment of retinal features

For each patient, clinical imaging data were available for a subset of visits (241 eye visits of 91 eyes). At baseline (first visit with imaging data available), yellow-white flecks were observed in all but one patient, in whom small yellowish

Figure 1. Time-to-event curve (computed as 1 minus the Turnbull estimates) showing the cumulative fraction of eyes in late-onset Stargardt patients reaching the following clinical endpoints: mild visual impairment (≥0.2 logMAR. Snellen ≤20/32; triangle), moderate visual impairment (≥0.6 logMAR, Snellen ≤20/80; square), and severe visual impairment (≥1.0 logMAR, Snellen ≤20/200; circle). logMAR = logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution. spots were noted. An apparently intact fovea (Figure 2A) without mottled or sharply decreased autofluorescence indicating RPE atrophy was present in 58 eyes. Out of these 58 eyes without foveal involvement, 22 had no RPE atrophy (Figure 2B), 16 had extrafoveal (but not fovea encircling) atrophy (Figure 2C), and 20 had a foveal sparing pattern of RPE atrophy encircling the fovea  $\geq 180^{\circ}$  (Figure 2D). The other 32 eyes had an involved fovea by either a mottled appearance (23 eyes; Figure 2E) or central RPE atrophy (9 eyes; Figure 2F). One eye was excluded because it was inconclusive if the fovea appeared mottled. There was no significant difference in patient's age between eyes that initially had foveal involvement and those that did not (median, 60.4 [n = 32] and 61.0 years [n = 58] respectively; P = 0.421).



Figure 2. The assessment of retinal features in late-onset Stargardt patients was done by grading foveal involvement and RPE atrophy. The foveal signal (*triangles*) was graded as either (A) "uninvolved/ normal" or (E) "involved" (mottled or absent). RPE atrophy (*asterisks*) was graded as (B) none. (C) extra-foveal but not fovea encircling. (D) foveal sparing ≥180° encircling the fovea. or (F) central RPE atrophy. RPE = retinal pigment epithelium.

### Cohorts

Assessment of retinal features over the entire imaging interval (Table 1) enabled us to categorize the eyes into four clearly distinctive cohorts. During the entire follow-up period, 20 eyes (22.2%) showed only flecks without any mottled foveal alterations or RPE atrophy (cohort I). Eleven eyes (12.2%) showed extrafoveal (but not fovea encircling) RPE atrophy (cohort II). Twenty-six eyes (28.9%) developed foveal sparing (RPE atrophy encircling the fovea  $\geq 180^{\circ}$ ; cohort III). In four of these 26 eyes, the fovea eventually involved in the atrophic process at the last visit. Thirty-three eyes (36.7%) had eventual foveal involvement without passing through a foveal sparing phenotype during the observational interval (cohort IV).

At baseline			Clinical course	Resulting	
Initial features	Eyes	Median visual acuity, logMAR (range)	Change in features	Median visual acuity, final visit, logMAR (range)	cohort
No foveal involveme	nt				
No RPE atrophy	22	0.10 (-0.20 to 0.64)	20 unchanged 2 eyes developed extrafoveal atrophy	0.06 (-0.06 to 0.58) -0.02 (-0.06 to 0.02)	
Extrafoveal but not fovea encircling	16	0.05 (-0.10 to 0.72)	9 unchanged 6 progressed to foveal sparing 1 developed foveal involvement	0.12 (0 to 1.54) 0.44 (-0.06 to 0.74) 0.36	      V
Foveal sparing encircling ≥180°	20	0.12 (0 to 0.52)	16 unchanged 4 eyes loss of foveal sparing	0.22 (-0.04 to 1.80) 1.25 (0.94 to 1.50)	 
Foveal involvement					
Central RPE atrophy	9	0.98 (0.30 to 1.50)			IV
Mottled	23	0.30 (-0.08 to 1.80)	20 eyes unchanged 3 eyes changed to central RPE atrophy		IV IV

Table 1. Retinal features in late-onset Stargardt over the entire observational interval. Cohort I had only flecks without any mottled foveal alterations or RPE atrophy. Cohort II had extrafoveal (but not fovea encircling) RPE atrophy until the last visit, Cohort III developed foveal sparing (RPE atrophy encircling the fovea  $\geq 180^{\circ}$ ), and cohort IV had foveal involvement by either a mottled fovea or central RPE atrophy without passing through a foveal sparing phenotype during the observational interval. logMAR = logarithm of minimum angle of resolution: RPE = retinal pigment epithelium.

Cohort	Mild impairment ≤20/32, ≥0.2 logMAR	Moderate impairment ≤20/80, ≥0.6 logMAR	Severe impairment ≤20/200, ≥1.0 logMAR
Foveal sparing (cohort III)	0.95 (NA*-6.61)	10.15 (3.09-13.34)	23.3 (13.6 <b>-</b> NA*)
Foveal involvement (cohort IV)	0.51 (NA*-4.41)	7.73 (4.30-22.89)	NA* (24.0-NA*)
Log-rank test	0.57	0.07	0.06

Table 2. Median times (years; 95% confidence interval) of best-corrected visual acuity decline since the age at onset compared between eyes of late-onset Stargardt patients who developed foveal sparing and those who had early foveal involvement. logMAR = logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution; NA = not available. \*Values could not be calculated, as too many events occurred outside the observational interval.

The median follow-up periods of the entire imaging interval of cohorts I, II, III, and IV were 3.4 (range, 0–10.4), 3.3 (range, 0.1–11.7), 8.7 (range, 2.1–30.9), and 11.4 (range, 0.3–30.9) years, respectively. Eyes in cohorts I and II had a median disease duration of 3.4 years (range, 0–11.7), which was shorter ( $P = 1.0 \times 10^{-6}$ ) than in cohort III and IV (median, 11.0 years; range, 0.3–30.9).

Based on the morphologic observation of distinct cohorts among collective late-onset Stargardt patients, we further analyzed eyes with long follow-up grouped into the "foveal sparing" (cohort III) and "foveal involvement" (cohort IV) cohorts for possible distinctive long-term visual courses among these cohorts. Eyes that formed foveal sparing cohort III took an overall favorable visual course when compared to eyes from foveal involvement cohort IV. Survival analysis for the endpoints  $\geq$ 0.2 logMAR,  $\geq$ 0.6 logMAR, and  $\geq$ 1.0 logMAR showed a notably later, though not significant, occurrence of each of these events in eyes from foveal sparing cohort III (Table 2).

### Modeling of retinal pigment epithelium atrophy

We assessed changes in RPE atrophy area over time in a subset of visits from 66 eyes (from 21 female and 17 male patients). The median follow-up time with FAF imaging was 2.3 years (range, 0.07–7.7). Measurement of RPE atrophy size was possible with high agreement between two independent readers (Supplemental Figure S<sub>2</sub>). At the first visit, the mean (± standard deviation) RPE atrophy size was  $6.26 \pm 7.3 \text{ mm}^2$ ). Square root transformed data were used for all further analysis. Modeling RPE atrophy over time revealed an annual atrophy progression rate (slope) of 0.22 mm/year (95% CI: 0.19–0.27).

For 28 patients, data on RPE atrophy progression were available from both eyes for the same observational interval, and revealed that atrophy progression rates moderately correlated between the two eyes of a single patient (Pearson's r = 0.52; Supplemental Figure S<sub>3</sub>).

### Sample Size Calculations

Based on the observed progression kinetics and the agreement between two eyes of a single individual, we were able to perform sample size calculations for possible future therapeutic trials. The assumption of a trial duration of 2 years and inclusion of only patients with bilateral RPE atrophy resulted in the sample size–power relationship depicted in Figure 3, with expected effect sizes of 50%, 40%, and 30% reduction in RPE atrophy progression. For effect sizes of 50% and 40%, fewer than 25 patients would be needed to obtain a statistical power of 0.9 at the 5% significance level, while

for an effect size of 30%, a power of 0.8 would be reached if at least 32 patients were included.

Figure 3. Statistical power as a function of sample size. Calculations were performed for expected effect sizes of 50%, 40%, and 30% reduction of atrophy progression. The figure shows the power values  $\pm$  95% confidence intervals for each value of the effect size, as estimated from a simulation study with 10,000 runs. The significance level was set to 0.05. A trial duration of 2 years was assumed.



# Discussion

The natural history of late-onset Stargardt features expanding, well-demarcated areas of RPE atrophy, which can be a promising clinical biomarker to monitor disease progression. Although clinical features have been previously described,<sup>5</sup> we comprehensively report on visual course and specific RPE atrophy progression rates in a large multicenter cohort that was well defined by clinical, genetic, and validated imaging parameters. In addition, we used advanced statistical methods to deliver robust results,<sup>24</sup> as these are required for planning and conducting clinical trials. These measures ensured the highest levels of data integrity. As a result, this work showed that a future therapeutic trial can be realized with sufficient statistical power with a cohort of as few as 35 patients (Figure 3).

In contrast, visual acuity loss may not be a useful outcome measure in clinical trials due to its high interindividual variance<sup>2</sup> and overall slow decline; this would result in unrealistic large cohorts and long follow-up. Surrogate biomarkers may be more convenient, ideally predicting long-term changes in functional disease progression by detecting small short-term changes.<sup>25</sup> Indeed, both visual acuity loss and atrophic RPE lesions, in which small changes are measurable, progress over time. Although this relationship may justify using RPE atrophy as a surrogate, there is a profound disconnect, particularly in late-onset Stargardt, between the area of RPE atrophy and vision. This discordance can be explained by clinically distinct progression subtypes: progression to either a foveal sparing phenotype in which RPE atrophy encircles the fovea in a horseshoe- or donut-like fashion (cohort III),<sup>7,8, 10, 22</sup> or a subtype in which no such foveal sparing occurs (cohort IV). Foveal involvement can determine the eventual vision loss, either early when the eye has an initially involved fovea, or late when it exhibits a foveal sparing phenotype.

As discussed above, visual acuity can vary widely, and for now, unpredictably, depending on the eventual foveal involvement. As the present data show, a large group of 37% with foveal involvement will do poorly, the rest relatively well. To determine those patients that would benefit most from therapy in terms of future clinical trials, analysis of additional imaging modalities could be helpful. While mottled decreased areas are difficult to quantify,<sup>21</sup> other imaging biomarkers, in particular, spectral-domain optical coherence tomography, could indicate what drives the disease process toward foveal involvement. It has been demonstrated that outer retinal involvement precedes RPE loss. Specifically, outer nuclear layer and ellipsoid zone thinning can occur in regions of normal RPE thickness, suggesting that photoreceptor thinning may precede RPE degeneration.<sup>26</sup> Hence, outer retinal damage on spectral-domain optical coherence tomography would precede recognition on FAF. In addition, environmental and genetic factors could significantly influence the development of RPE atrophy as identified in atrophic AMD.<sup>27, 28</sup> Such data were not included in this study and need to be addressed in future work.

Further limitations include the retrospective nature of the study and the resulting heterogeneity of the patients' data, which may have been the reason for failing to show significance between different subtypes of late-onset Stargardt. For instance, some patients did not report any symptoms, and were more likely to have no RPE atrophy or only extrafoveal RPE atrophy not encircling the fovea. These patients would need a longer follow-up to identify in which direction the disease will develop. Analogously, heterogeneity within the imaging data, for example, the fields of view in NIR and FAF imaging, might have led to the nondetection of more peripheral atrophic lesions in patients with a 30° field of view, while such lesions would have been detected in eyes imaged with a 55° objective.

In recent years, identifying biomarkers in retinal disease has become a central issue for therapeutic trials that aim to test the efficacy of a drug. A surrogate outcome measure accepted by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration is geographic atrophy in AMD,<sup>17</sup> to which areas of RPE atrophy show close similarities. As this study now has shown that RPE atrophy can also be used as an outcome measure in late-onset Stargardt, it may even be valuable in other retinal diseases affecting the RPE. Of special interest is the precise characterization of late-onset Stargardt patients; their adult age makes them ethically more appropriate candidates to participate in clinical trials than patients who are of minor age. This study provides important knowledge on the natural history of late-onset Stargardt, quantitatively describing the course of visual loss and atrophy progression. In addition, it provides fundamental information necessary to conduct clinical trials in patients with Stargardt disease.

# References

- 1. Blacharski PA. Fundus flavimaculatus. In: Newsome DA, ed. Retinal dystrophies and degenerations. New York: Raven Press, 1988.
- Rotenstreich Y, Fishman GA, Anderson RJ. Visual acuity loss and clinical observations in a large series of patients with Stargardt disease. Ophthalmology 2003;110(6):1151-8.
- Fujinami K, Zernant J, Chana RK, et al. Clinical and molecular characteristics of childhood-onset Stargardt disease. Ophthalmology 2015;122(2):326-34.
- 4. Lambertus S, van Huet RA, Bax NM, et al. Early-onset stargardt disease: phenotypic and genotypic characteristics. Ophthalmology 2015;122(2):335-44.
- Westeneng-van Haaften SC, Boon CJ, Cremers FP, et al. Clinical and genetic characteristics of lateonset Stargardt's disease. Ophthalmology 2012;119(6):1199-210.
- Fritsche LG, Fleckenstein M, Fiebig BS, et al. A subgroup of age-related macular degeneration is associated with mono-allelic sequence variants in the ABCA4 gene. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2012;53(4):2112-8.
- Sunness JS. The natural history of geographic atrophy, the advanced atrophic form of age-related macular degeneration. Mol Vis 1999;5:25.
- Sunness JS, Gonzalez-Baron J, Applegate CA, et al. Enlargement of atrophy and visual acuity loss in the geographic atrophy form of age-related macular degeneration. Ophthalmology 1999;106(9):1768-79.
- 9. Sarks JP, Sarks SH, Killingsworth MC. Evolution of geographic atrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium. Eye (Lond) 1988;2 (Pt 5):552-77.
- 10. van Huet RA, Bax NM, Westeneng-Van Haaften SC, et al. Foveal sparing in Stargardt disease. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2014;55(11):7467-78.
- 11. McBain VA, Townend J, Lois N. Progression of retinal pigment epithelial atrophy in stargardt disease. Am J Ophthalmol 2012;154(1):146-54.
- 12. Han Z, Conley SM, Naash MI. Gene therapy for Stargardt disease associated with ABCA4 gene. Adv Exp Med Biol 2014;801:719-24.
- Schwartz SD, Hubschman JP, Heilwell G, et al. Embryonic stem cell trials for macular degeneration: a preliminary report. Lancet 2012;379(9817):713-20.
- 14. Charbel Issa P, Barnard AR, Herrmann P, et al. Rescue of the Stargardt phenotype in Abca4 knockout mice through inhibition of vitamin A dimerization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015;112(27):8415-20.
- 15. Cukras CA, Wong WT, Caruso R, et al. Centrifugal expansion of fundus autofluorescence patterns in Stargardt disease over time. Arch Ophthalmol 2012;130(2):171-9.
- Lois N, Halfyard AS, Bird AC, et al. Fundus autofluorescence in Stargardt macular dystrophy-fundus flavimaculatus. Am J Ophthalmol 2004;138(1):55-63.
- 17. Csaky KG, Richman EA, Ferris FL, 3rd. Report from the NEI/FDA Ophthalmic Clinical Trial Design and Endpoints Symposium. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2008;49(2):479-89.
- Schmitz-Valckenberg S, Brinkmann CK, Alten F, et al. Semiautomated image processing method for identification and quantification of geographic atrophy in age-related macular degeneration. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2011;52(10):7640-6.
- Boon CJ, van Schooneveld MJ, den Hollander AI, et al. Mutations in the peripherin/RDS gene are an important cause of multifocal pattern dystrophy simulating STGD1/fundus flavimaculatus. Br J Ophthalmol 2007;91(11):1504-11.
- Boon CJ, Klevering BJ, Cremers FP, et al. Central areolar choroidal dystrophy. Ophthalmology 2009;116(4):771-82, 82 e1.
- 21. Kuehlewein L, Hariri AH, Ho A, et al. Comparison of manual and semiautomated fundus autofluorescence analysis of macular atrophy in Stargardt disease phenotype. Retina 2016;36(6):1216-21.
- 22. Lindner M, Boker A, Mauschitz MM, et al. Directional Kinetics of Geographic Atrophy Progression in Age-Related Macular Degeneration with Foveal Sparing. Ophthalmology 2015;122(7):1356-65.
- 23. R Development Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2012.

- 24. Turnbull BW. The empirical distribution function with arbitrary grouped censored and truncated data. J R Stat Soc Series B Stat Methodol 1976;38:290-5.
- 25. Brooks DJ, Frey KA, Marek KL, et al. Assessment of neuroimaging techniques as biomarkers of the progression of Parkinson's disease. Exp Neurol 2003;184 Suppl 1:S68-79.
- 26. Burke TR, Rhee DW, Smith RT, et al. Quantification of peripapillary sparing and macular involvement in Stargardt disease (STGD1). Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2011;52(11):8006-15.
- Finger RP, Chong E, McGuinness MB, et al. Reticular Pseudodrusen and Their Association with Age-Related Macular Degeneration: The Melbourne Collaborative Cohort Study. Ophthalmology 2016;123(3):599-608.
- 28. Reynolds R, Rosner B, Seddon JM. Dietary omega-3 fatty acids, other fat intake, genetic susceptibility, and progression to incident geographic atrophy. Ophthalmology 2013;120(5):1020-8.



CHAPTER 3 Diagnosing Stargardt disease "A lack of obvious fundus abnormalities left an alarming number of children with Stargardt disease without the correct diagnosis. We hope that greater awareness of this subtype avoids misdiagnosis and years of inappropriate treatment."

Nathalie M. Bax **Stanley Lambertus** Frans P.M. Cremers B. Jeroen Klevering Carel B. Hoyng

Submitted

3.1 The absence of fundus abnormalities in Stargardt disease
**PURPOSE**: To raise awareness of Stargardt disease (STGD1) patients without fundus abnormalities.

**DESIGN:** Retrospective cohort study.

**PARTICIPANTS**: Thirty-one STGD1 patients with  $\geq$ 1 ABCA4 variants in whom no fundus abnormalities were described at first ophthalmic consultation.

**METHODS**: Medical records were evaluated for age at onset, initial symptoms and diagnosis, delay reason for delay of diagnosis, age at STGD1 diagnosis, best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), ophthalmoscopy, fundus photography, fundus autofluorescence (FAF), fluorescein angiography (FA), spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT), full-field electroretinography (ffERG), color vision test, and the presence of ABCA4 variants.

**MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES**: Age at onset, reason for delay of diagnosis, initial diagnosis, age at STGD1 diagnosis, presence of ABCA4 variants.

**RESULTS:** In 11.1% of our STGD1 cohort, no fundus abnormalities were observed at first ophthalmic consultation. The median age at onset was 8 years (range, 1–18). There was a median delay in diagnosis of 3 years (range, 0–19) in 27 out of 31 patients, which resulted in a median age at diagnosis of 12 years (range, 7–26). Patients were misdiagnosed with amblyopia, myopia, optic disk pathology, mental health problems, tension headache, neuritis bulbaris, and uveitis. Subtle abnormalities, such as lipofuscin accumulation, were seen on FAF at an earlier disease stage than in ophthalmoscopy. On SD-OCT, this included a thickened external limiting membrane. Color vision tests showed red-green insufficiency in 79% of patients. Reduced ffERG amplitudes were only present in 26%, and a dark choroid sign in 65% of the patients. Visual acuity considerably fluctuated in the first 5 years after onset. The majority of the patients (65%) carried a least one variant with a severe effect on ABCA4 function.

**CONCLUSIONS:** A high number of childhood-onset STGD1 patients were diagnosed with a major delay. The presence of accurate competence, equipment and the possibility for genetic screening is required, therefore we recommend to refer children with visual complaints without initial fundus abnormalities to a specialized ophthalmologic center. In particular, to diagnose patients at an early stage of disease is of increased importance with the advent of new therapeutic possibilities.

## Introduction

Stargardt disease (STGD1) is arguably the most common retinal dystrophy and affects 1:10000 people worldwide.<sup>1</sup>. This autosomal recessive disease is caused by variants in the ABCA4 gene that encodes for a retinal-specific adenosine triphosphate-binding cassette transporter protein. Dysfunction of the ABCA4 protein leads to toxic accumulation of byproducts from the visual cycle in the photoreceptor cell and retina pigment epithelium (RPE), which eventually leads to irreversible damage of the outer retinal layers.<sup>2, 3</sup>

Up to 5,962 variants in the ABCA4 gene have been identified; the specific combinations of variants in conjunction with largely unknown modifying factors in each patient result in a highly heterogenic phenotype. Patients with STGD1 present with progressive vision loss, which typically occurs in the second decade but early and late forms have been well recognized.<sup>4-6</sup> In general, the fundus picture is characterized by the presence of irregular yellow-white fundus flecks in the posterior pole. During the course of the disease, macular atrophy develops, sometimes with a 'beaten bronze' aspect; in other patients a bull's eye pattern can be observed. Lipofuscin accumulates in the outer retinal layers, which results in a 'dark choroid' on the fluorescein angiogram in approximately 80% of the patients.<sup>7-10</sup> In early forms with a disease onset  $\leq$ 10 years of age, atrophy of the macula is a prominent and early feature; the yellow flecks may be absent or hardly notable.<sup>4.11, 12</sup> The flecks are much more common in the classic form of STGD1 with an age of onset in the early teens, sometimes extending beyond the vascular arcades resulting in the fundus flavimaculatus phenotype.<sup>13, 14</sup> Late-onset forms of the disease are characterized by atrophy of the retinal pigment atrophy, subtle flecks, and foveal sparing.<sup>5, 15, 16</sup>

The diagnosis of STGD1 can be challenging in early disease especially, as no apparent changes may be present on ophthalmoscopy despite the loss of visual function.<sup>4</sup> This lack of clinical signs in combination with the limited capabilities for expression in young children may delay the correct diagnosis. Not only is early identification of these patients essential for the emotional aspect of a timely diagnosis, it is also important in the light of emerging therapeutic options for STGD1 disease, such as gene augmentation (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT01367444 and NCT01736592), stem cell therapy (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT01469832), and small-molecule drugs (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT02402660).

In this study, we describe—in detail—the clinical and molecular genetic findings in a group of STGD1 patients, which presents without initial fundus abnormalities in oph-thalmoscopy. We hope that a heightened awareness avoids misdiagnosis, such as func-tional visual loss in these children and, in worst case scenarios, years of inappropriate treatment.

## Methods

#### Patients

The database with STGD1 patients of the Department of Ophthalmology, Radboud university medical center (Nijmegen, the Netherlands) contains 448 patients of all ages and disease onset, of whom 294 were screened for variants in the ABCA4 gene. In 280 patients,  $\geq$ 1 ABCA4 variants could be identified. We included 31 patients who did not show obvious fundus abnormalities at the first presentation. This study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee and was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### Clinical evaluation

We collected the clinical data from the medical records. These included age at onset, initial symptoms, initial diagnosis and examinations or therapy, age at STGD1 diagnosis, delay of diagnosis and reason for this delay, number of referrals before diagnosis, and general medical history. Age at onset was defined as the first manifestation of the disease, these symptoms could have been noticed by the patient, but also their family members and/or the school physician.

The standard ophthalmic examination included best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) using Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) or Snellen charts, slit-lamp biomicroscopy and detailed fundus examination. Best-corrected visual acuity was transformed into the logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) for statistical analysis. For fundus photography, we used the Topcon TRC50IX (Topcon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Fluorescein angiography and cross-sectional images using spectraldomain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) centered at the macula were obtained with the Spectralis (HRA+OCT, Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany). Shortwave fundus autofluorescence (FAF) imaging ( $\lambda = 488$  nm, emission 500–700 nm) was performed using a confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscope (Spectralis HRA+OCT or HRA2, Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany). The field of view was set at 30°×30° or 55°×55°, centered at the macula. For evaluation of color vision, we employed the Ishihara or Panel D-15 test. Full-field electroretinography (ffERG) was performed using Dawson-Trick-Litzkow electrodes and the RETI-port system (Roland Consults, Stasche & Finger GmbH, Brandenburg an der Havel, Germany). The recordings were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the International Society for Clinical Electrophysiology of Vision (ISCEV).<sup>17</sup> We grouped ffERG results as described by Lois et al.<sup>18</sup>: group 1, patients with normal ffERG responses; group 2, patients with reduced photopic amplitudes (<5% of normal range); and group 3, patients with reduced photopic and scotopic amplitudes (<5% of normal range).

#### Genetic analysis

Genetic analysis of the ABCA4 gene was performed at the Department of Human Genetics at the Radboud university medical center (Nijmegen, the Netherlands) using arrayed primer extension analysis (Asper Biotech, Tartu, Estonia). If the Asper microarray screening revealed only one ABCA4 variant, exon and intron-exon boundaries were sequenced in the ABCA4 gene to identify additional variants. All variants were confirmed with Sanger sequencing. The following variants were defined as severe: protein-truncating, canonical splice-site variants, as well as deletions spanning at least one exon.

#### Statistical analysis

We used SPSS version 22.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY) for statistical data analysis, using descriptive statistics by median and range for continuous variables, and percentages for categorical variables. We employed Kaplan-Meier estimators to analyze the interval between age at onset and age at which four different visual endpoints were reached. These four points were based on the classification of visual impairment of the World Health Organization: (near-)normal to mild visual impairment  $\geq 0.2 \log MAR (\leq 20/32 Snellen)$ , moderate visual impairment  $\geq 0.6 \log MAR (\leq 20/80 Snellen)$ , severe visual impairment  $\geq 1.0 \log MAR (\leq 20/200 Snellen)$ , and blindness  $\geq 1.4 \log MAR (\leq 20/500 Snellen)$ .

## Results

#### Clinical characteristics

In 31 of 280 (11.1%) STGD1 patients, no obvious fundus abnormalities were observed at the first ophthalmic consultation. The group consisted of 15 males and 16 females with six siblings from three different families, and 25 isolated cases. An overview of the clinical findings and the diagnostic process is given in Table 1. In one-third of cases, symptoms of a decreased visual acuity were not noticed by the patient but by the parents or a school physician. Age at onset occurred at a median age of 8 years (range, 1–18). In 87% of the patients, there was a delay in diagnosis: a median delay of 3 years (range, 0–19), which resulted in a median age at diagnosis of 12 years (range, 7–26). The main reason for delayed STGD1 diagnosis was misdiagnosis, in particular amblyopia treated with occlusion therapy (6 patients) and mental health issues (5 patients). The majority of patients (94%) visited more than two hospitals before the correct diagnosis was made. All patients were finally diagnosed with STGD1 in tertiary referral centers. The first fundus abnormalities were observed at a median time of 3 years (range, 0.5–16) after first symptoms. These included central RPE alterations (43%), bull's eye maculopathy (33%), and/or parafoveal flecks (24%). Once these features had been observed, STGD1

ars) diagnosis Bull's eye Bull's eye RPE alterations RPE alterations Bull's eye RPE alterations Control fundus	ars) diagnosis Bull's eye Bull's eye RPE alterations Bull's eye Bull's eye RPE alterations Bull's eye Central fundus flecks RPE alterations	ars) diagnosis ars) diagnosis 20/20 Bull's eye 20/70 Bull's eye 20/71 RPE alterations 20/72 Bull's eye 20/72 Bull's eye 20/72 Gentral fundus 20/75 flecks RPE alterations 20/70 RPE alterations 20/70	ars) diagnosis diagnosis dull's eye 20/20 Bull's eye 20/12 RPE alterations 20/10 Bull's eye 20/12 RPE alterations 20/30 Bull's eye 20/12 RPE alterations 20/35 fiecks RPE alterations 20/70 RPE alterations 20/70 RPE alterations 20/70	ars) diagnosis and all seve 20/200 Bull's eye 20/125 RPE alterations 20/125 RPE alterations 20/125 RPE alterations 20/125 RPE alterations 20/35 flecks RPE alterations 20/35 RPE RPE alterations 20/35 RPE	ars     diagnosis       alulis eye     20/200       Bullis eye     20/125       RPE alterations     20/125       Bullis eye     20/125       Bullis eye     20/125       RPE alterations     20/125       Bullis eye     20/125       Bullis eye     20/125	ars)     diagnosis       Bull's eye     20/105       Bull's eye     20/1155       RPE alterations     20/1125       Bull's eye     20/125       Bull's eye     20/125       RPE alterations     20/125       RPE alterations     20/125       Bull's eye     20/125       RPE alterations     20/705       RPE alterations     20/705       RPE alterations     20/125       Bull's eye     20/705       RPE alterations     20/125       Bull's eye     20/705       RPE alterations     20/705       RPE alterations     20/705       RPE alterations     20/705       RPE alterations     20/705	diagnosis       arsy     diagnosis       Bull's eye     20/200       Bull's eye     20/125       RPE alterations     20/125       Bull's eye     20/125       Bull's eye     20/355       RPE alterations     20/355       Bull's eye     20/355       RPE alterations     20/355       Bull's eye     20/355       Bull's eye     20/355       RPE alterations     20/355       Bull's eye     20/355       Bull's eye     20/355       Bull's eye     20/355       Bull's eye     20/355       RPE alterations     20/700       RPE alterations     20/700       RPE alterations     20/700	ars         diagnosis           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/305           Bull's eye         20/305           RPE alterations         20/30           Bull's eye         20/70           RPE alterations         20/70           RPE alterations <td< th=""><th>ars         diagnosis           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/20           RPE alterations         20/20           RPE alterations         20/20           RPE alterations         20/20           RPE alterations         20/30           RPE alterations         20/10           RPE alterations         20/10           RPE alterations         20/100</th><th>ars         diagnosis           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/30           RPE alterations         20/30</th><th>ars         diagnosis           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/70           RPE alterations         20/70</th><th>ars         diagnosis           Bull's eye         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/100           RPE alterations         20/70           RPE alterations         20/100           RPE alterations         20/100  &lt;</th><th>ars         diagnosis           Buil's eye         20/125           Buil's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Buil's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Buil's eye         20/125           Buil's eye         20/100           RPE alterations         &lt;</th></td<>	ars         diagnosis           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/20           RPE alterations         20/20           RPE alterations         20/20           RPE alterations         20/20           RPE alterations         20/30           RPE alterations         20/10           RPE alterations         20/10           RPE alterations         20/100	ars         diagnosis           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/30           RPE alterations         20/30	ars         diagnosis           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/70           RPE alterations         20/70	ars         diagnosis           Bull's eye         20/125           Bull's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Bull's eye         20/100           RPE alterations         20/70           RPE alterations         20/100           RPE alterations         20/100  <	ars         diagnosis           Buil's eye         20/125           Buil's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Buil's eye         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           RPE alterations         20/125           Buil's eye         20/125           Buil's eye         20/100           RPE alterations         <
ndrome 14 11 E trobulbar 20 11 E trobulbar 20 15 E em.in 26 E 15 E	ndrome 14 trobulbar 20 trobulbar 20 em.in 26 lem.in 23 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	ndrome 14 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	ndrome 14 rrobulbar 20 11 11 11 11 15 15 15 15 15 15	ndrome 14 rrobulbar 20 11 11 11 11 11 15 15 13 15 13 15 15 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	ndrome 14 rrobulbar 20 em.in 26 em.in 23 15 15 15 15 13 13 13 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	ndrome 14 rrobulbar 20 em.in 26 em.in 23 apy. 8 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	ndrome 14 rrobulbar 20 em.in 26 em.in 23 apy. 8 apy. 8 115 15 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	ndrome 14 rrobulbar 20 11 11 11 11 11 11 15 15 13 15 13 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	ndrome 14 rrobulbar 20 11 11 11 11 115 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	ndrome 14 rrobulbar 20 11 11 11 11 11 15 11 15 11 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	ndrome 14 rrobulbar 20 lem.in 23 apy. 26 lem.in 23 ls apy. 10 bar 10 har 10 har 26 ls apy. 26 ls apy. 26 ls apy. 10 ls aph. 10 ls ap	ed 26 15 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	ed 26 11 ed 23 ed 26 12 ed 26 11 ed 23 ed 26 ed 26 11 ed 23 ed 26 ed 26 11 ed 23 ed 26 11 ed 23 ed 26 11 ed 21 ed 22 ed 26 11 ed 21
ion therapy ion therapy. Retrobulban aging (CT) was nalities). tal health problem. in between visits.	ion therapy ion therapy. Retrobulbal naging (CT) was nalities). The health problem, in between visits. To occlusion therapy. The lead to neuro- the lead to neuro- with cone dystroby.	ion therapy ion therapy. Retrobulban naging (CT) was nalities). The health problem, in between visits. The curre- a by physiotherapy. A by physiotherapy.	ion therapy ion therapy ion therapy Retrobulba anging (CT) was nalities). Tal health problem, in between visits. - occlusion therapy. - a lead to neuro- d by physiotherapy.	ion therapy ion therapy ion therapy Retrobulbanaging (CT) was nalities). International the contract in the problem, in between visits. In occlusion therapy, with cone dystrophy, on therapy ion thera	ion therapy ion therapy. Retrobulban naging (CT) was nalities). Detween visits. n occlusion therapy. A by physicherapy. A physicherapy.	ion therapy letrobulbanion therapy. Retrobulbanaging (CT) was nalities). The second control of the second control of the second content of the second cont	ion therapy ison therapy ison therapy Retrobulban naging (CT) was nalities). The health problem, in between visits. The curre- al by physiotherapy. The lead to neuro- al by physiotherapy. The neurologist. The neurologist.	ion therapy lice therapy is the transmission of the second bar and the second field to the transmission therapy. Also is an eurologist. The neurologist.	ion therapy ion therapy. Retrobulban naging (CT) was nalities). To occlusion therapy. To collusion therapy. The lead to neuro- al by physiotherapy. The neurologist. The neurologist. The neurologist.	ion therapy ison therapy ison therapy Retrobulbanaging (CT) was nalities). In the health problem, in between visits. In occlusion therapy, with cone dystrophy, with cone dystrophy, with cone dystrophy ison therapy alon therapy. Also a neurologist. In MRI and lumbar abnormalities.	ion therapy ion therapy ison therapy Retrobulban naging (CT) was nalities). The curve of the completent of the completen	ion therapy ion therapy ison therapy Retrobulban anging (CT) was nalities). The terapy ison therapy ison therapy with cone dystrophy with cone dystrophy ion therapy alon therapy alon therapy alon therapy alon therapy alon therapy element of the angle o	ion therapy ion therapy ion therapy Retrobulban naging (CT) was nalities). To occlusion therapy. To ecclusion therapy. The lead to neuro- al by physiotherapy. To therapy also ion therapy Also ion therapy Also an meurologist. To enversion division and sen overshadowed
pia with occlusion therapy. Re vuritis. Neuro-imaging (CT) was ned (no abnormalities). ystrophy ynosis ad with a mental health prob sed with a mental health prob sed with a mental health prob sed with a mental health prob	pia with occlusion therapy. Re aurits. Neuro-imaging (CT) was red (no abnormalities). ystrophy inosis sed with a mental health prob the variable VA between visits prosis amblyopia with occlusion the aints of headache lead to neur gi (Nt) followed with cone dystrother	pia with occlusion therapy. Re aurits. Neuro-imaging (CT) was red (no abnormalities). ystrophy inosis sed with a mental health prob the variable VA between visits prosis amblyopia with occlusion the amblyopia with occlusion the ant of headache lead to neur g (MRI) followed by physiother health problem	pia with occlusion therapy. Re aurits. Neuro-imaging (CT) was red (no abnormalities). ystrophy inosis inosis inosis amblyopia with occlusion the amblyopia with occlusion the antis of headache lead to neur g (MRI) followed by physiothen ally fallowed by physiothen health problem ystrophy	pia with occlusion therapy. Re- virtis. Neuro-imaging (CT) was red (no abnormalities). ystrophy inosis sed with a mental health prob sed with a mental health prob sed with a mental health prob amblyopia with occlusion the amblyopia with occlusion the anth problem ystrophy spia with occlusion therapy	pia with occlusion therapy. Re aurits. Neuro-imaging (CT) was red (no abnormalities). Was inosis servision abnormalities). Inosis ad with a mental health prob the variable VA between visits inosis amblyopia with occlusion the aints of headache lead to neur g (NR) followed by physichen ally diagnosed with cone dystn health problem ystrophy ppia with occlusion therapy ystrophy	pia with occlusion therapy. Re aurits. Neuro-imaging (CT) was red (no abnormalities). ystrophy inosis inosis anblyopia with occlusion the amblyopia with occlusion the anth followed by physiothen ally followed by physiothen all	pia with occlusion therapy. Re aurits. Neuro-imaging (CT) was red (no abnormalities). ystrophy inosis sed with a mental health prob the variable VA between visits inosis amblyopia with occlusion the arrb f followed by physiother gilv followed by physiother ally diagnosed with cone dystri health problem ystrophy ppia with occlusion therapy. Ali maging (MRI) via neurologist. maging (MRI) via neurologist.	pia with occlusion therapy. Re aurits. Neuro-imaging (CT) was red (no abnormalities). Was ystrophy inosis inosis anblyopia with occlusion thei amblyopia with occlusion thei anth of headache lead to neuro g (MRI) followed by physiother ally diagnosed with cone dystn health problem ystrophy ystrophy ystrophy with occlusion therapy ystrophy with occlusion therapy dia with occlusion therapy with occlusion therapy dia with occlusion therapy with occlusion therapy dia with occlusion therapy with occlusion therapy. Ali maging (MRI) via neurologist.	pia with occlusion therapy. Re aurits. Neuro-imaging (CT) was red (no abnormalities). Was red with a mental health prob the variable VA between visits inosis amblyopia with occlusion the ints of headache lead to neuro 3 (MR) followed by physiother and problem health problem ystrophy ppia with occlusion therapy. Alt maging (MR) via neurologist. europathy. A brain MRI and lui re revealed no abnormalities.	pia with occlusion therapy. Re aurits. Neuro-imaging (CT) was red (no abnormalities). The stad with a mental health prob the variable VA between visits inosis amblyopia with occlusion the antis of headache lead to neuro a MRI followed by physiother and followed by physiother and followed by physiother and problem ystrophy ystrophy ppia with occlusion therapy ystrophy ppia with occlusion therapy prosity. A brain MRI and lui re evealed no abnormalities. Joosis sis of optic drusen overshadov sis STCD1	pia with occlusion therapy. Re aurits. Neuro-imaging (CT) was red (no abnormalities). Was red with a mental health prob the variable VA between visits inosis and by headache lead to neuro all (MRI) followed by physiothen all y diagnosed with cone dystri health problem ystrophy ppia with occlusion therapy ystrophy pia with occlusion therapy ystrophy pia with occlusion therapy pia with occlusion therapy is with occlusion therapy with occlusion therapy prevealed no abnormalities. Inosis sis of optic drusen overshadow is sis STCD1	pia with occlusion therapy. Re aurits. Neuro-imaging (CT) was red (no abnormalities). Was red (no abnormalities). Astrophy inosis anblyopia with occlusion the ints of headache lead to neuro al MRI) followed by physiother and pagnosed with cone dystri- health problem ystrophy ystrophy ystrophy visit occlusion therapy. Alt maging (MRI) via neurologist. europathy. A brain MRI and lu re revealed no abnormalities. anosis sis of optic drusen overshadov sis STCD1 prosis	pia with occlusion therapy. Re aurits. Neuro-imaging (CT) was red (no abnormalities). Was aed with a mental health prob the variable VA between visits inosis ad with a mental health prob armblyopia with occlusion the ints of headache lead to neuro 3 (MRI) followed by physiother ally diagnosed with cone dystri health problem ystrophy ppia with occlusion therapy. Ait maging (MRI) via neurologist. maging (MRI) via neurologist. maging (MRI) via neurologist. prosis prosis prosis prosis prosis
ptic neuritis. Neuro-imagin priconeuritie cone dystrophy to diagnosis biagnoses with a mental hu lew of the variable VA betv to diagnosis dyopia	ptic neuritis. Neuro-imagin erformed (no abnormalitie cone dystrophy lo diagnosis lagnosed with a mental hu lagnosis dyopia dyopia amplyopia with occ complaints of headache lei complaints of headache lei rittally. amblyopia with occ	ptic neuritis. Neuro-imagin erformed (no abnormalitie one dystrophy lo diagnosis liagnosed with a mental hu iew of the variable VA betw dyopia dyopia antibally, amblyopia with occ complaints of fheadache lea nitially, amblyopia with occ anopalaints of fheadache lea remtually diagnosed with c remtually diagnosed with c fental health problem	ptic neuritis. Neuro-imagin pric neuritis. Neuro-imagin one dystrophy liagnosed with a mental hr liagnosed with a mental hr liew of the variable VA betw dyopia dyopia dyopia dyopia dyopia diagnosis dyopia diagnoses diagnosed with occ omplaints of headache les maging (MRI) followed by p eventually diagnosed with c fental health problem cone dystrophy	ptic neuritis. Neuro-imagin ptic neuritis. Neuro-imagin one dystrophy olagnosis olagnosis dyapia dyopia dyopia mplaints of headache lea maging (MRI) followed by r ventually followed by r ventually followed by r ventually followed by r ventually any tooblem to dystrophy che dystrophy mblyopia with occlusion tl	ptic neuritis. Neuro-imagin erformed (no abnormalitie one dystrophy lo diagnosis lagnosed with a mental h lew of the variable VA betw lo diagnosis dyopia dyopia dyopia dyopia diagnosis dyopia diagnosis dyopia diagnosis dental health problem com dystrophy cone dystrophy cone dystrophy	ptic neuritis. Neuro-imagin pric neuritis. Neuro-imagin one dystrophy liagnosed with a mental hr liagnosed with a mental hr liew of the variable VA betw liew of the variable VA betw dyopia dyopia dyopia with occl initially. amblyopia with occl initially. amblyopia with occl and liagnosed with c fental health problem tone dystrophy ione dystrophy ione dystrophy ieuro-imaging (MRI) via neu ieuro-imaging (MRI) via neu	ptic neuritis. Neuro-imagin erformed (no abnormalitie one dystrophy lo diagnosis lagnosed with a mental hu lew of the variable VA betw lo diagnosis dyopia dystrophy amplyopia with occl initially, amblyopia with occl applyopia with occlusion th tranblyopia with occlusion th cone dystrophy cone dystrophy icone dystrophy icone dystrophy icone dystrophy amblyopia with occlusion th euro-imaging (MRI) via neu ubritc neuropathy. A brain ho ubritcure revealed no abnoi	ptic neuritis. Neuro-imagin pric neuritis. Neuro-imagin one dystrophy lagnoseis viagnoseis dyopia dyopia dyopia maging (MRI) followed by p ventually diagnosed with occl omplaints of headache lea naging (MRI) followed by p ventually diagnosed with c dental health problem ventually diagnosed with c dental health problem ventually diagnosed with c dental health problem dental health problem ventually diagnosed with c dental health problem ventual diagnosed with c dental health problem ventual diagnosed with c dental health problem ventual ventual diagnosed with c dental health problem ventual diagnosed with c dental di diagnosed with c dental diagnosed with c dental diagnosed with	ptic neuritis. Neuro-imagin erformed (no abnormalitie one dystrophy lo diagnosis lagnosed with a mental hu lew of the variable VA betw lo diagnosis dyopia dyopia with occl intially, amblyopia with occl intially, amblyopia with occl intially, amblyopia with occl intially anging (Algobier variablyopia with occlusion th cone dystrophy icone dystrophy	ptic neuroritis. Neuro-imagin pric neurorits. Neuro-imagin one dystrophy lo diagnosis lagnoses with a mental hr lew of the variable VA betw lo diagnosis dyopia dystrophy ventually diagnosed with occl iomplaints of headache lee naging (MRI) followed by t ventually diagnosed with c dental health problem cone dystrophy mblyopia with occlusion th euro-imaging (MRI) via neu dyopia dyopia diagnosis of optic drusen o' liagnosis of optic drusen o' liagnosis srCD1	ptic neuritis. Neuro-imagin pric neuritis. Neuro-imagin one dystrophy liagnosed with a mental hr lew of the variable VA betw lew of the variable VA betw lo diagnosis dyopia dyopia with occl omplaints of headache lee naging (MRI) followed by t vertually diagnosed with c fental health problem vertually diagnosed with c dental health problem cone dystrophy one dystrophy mblyopia with occlusion th euro-imaging (MRI) via neu dyopia dyopia diagnosis of optic drusen o' liagnosis of optic drusen o' lagnosis of optic drusen o' diagnosis sof optic drusen o'	ptic reurtis. Neuro-imagin erformed (no abnormalitie one dystrophy legnosed with a mental hu iew of the variable VA betw lo diagnosis fyopia initially, amblyopia with occl omplaints of headache lea vanging (MR) followed by F vanging (dragnosed with c fental health problem icne dystrophy icne dystrophy icne dystrophy icne dystrophy icne dystrophy icne dystrophy vanblyopia with occlusion th icne dystrophy icne	ptic reuritis. Neuro-imagin erformed (no abnormalitie one dystrophy lo diagnosis liagnosed with a mental hu iew of the variable VA betwo lo diagnosis dyopia antially, amblyopia with occl implaints of headache lea manging (MR) followed by, varging (MR) followed by, varging (MR) followed by tone dystrophy icone dystrophy icone dystrophy amblyopia with occlusion th icone dystrophy icone dystrophy amblyopia with occlusion th icone dystrophy antipopia mobyopia with occlusion th dyopia diagnosis of optic drusen o diagnosis o diagnosis vieitis
performed (no demic Cone dystroph Jemic No diagnosis Diagnosed with view of the var No diagnosis Myopia	performed (no demic No diagnosis Diagnosed wit view of the var Ny opia Myopia Initially, ambly Complaints of imaging (MRI) Evenually dia	performed (no demic No diagnosis Diagnosed wit view of the var Ny of agnosis Myopia Initially, ambly, Complaints of imaging (MRI) Eventually diag	performed (no jemic Cone dystroph No diagnosis Diagnosed wit view of the var No diagnosis Myopia Initially, ambly Complaints of imaging (MRI) Eventually dia Mental health Cone dystroph	performed (no jemic No diagnosis Diagnosed wit view of the var No diagnosis Myopia Initially, ambly Complaints of imaging (MRI) Eventually dia Mental health Cone dystroph Amblyopia wit	performed (no bernormed (no bernormed (no biagnosis Diagnosis Myopia Initially, amblyi Complaints of imaging (MRI) Eventuallo (MRI) Eventuall health Mental health Cone dystropt Amblyopia wit Cone dystropt	performed (no jemic No diagnosis No diagnosis view of the var No diagnosis Myopia Myopia (MRI) Eventually dia Mental health Cone dystroph Amblyopia wit cone dystroph Amblyopia wit neuro-imaging	performed (no bernormed (no bernormed (no biagnosis Ny o diagnosis Ny o diagnosis Ny o diagnosis Ny opia Ny opia Initially, ambly Complaints of imaging (MRI) Eventually diag Mental health Cone dystroph Amblyopia wit Cone dystroph Amblyopia wit neuro-imaging Optic neuropa	Jemic performed (no Jemic Cone dystroph No diagnosis Diagnosed wit view of the var No diagnosis Myopia (MRI) Eventually diag Mental health Cone dystroph Amblyopia wit neuro-imaging Optic neuropa Myopia Myopia	performed (no bernormed (no biagnosis No diagnosis Nyopia Nyopia Initially, amblyi Complaints of imaging (MRI) Eventually (MRI) Eventually diag Mental health Cone dystroph Amblyopia wit Cone dystroph Amblyopia wit neuroimaging Optic neurope Myopia Nyopia	Jemic cone dystroph Jemic Cone dystroph No diagnosis Diagnosed wit view of the var No diagnosis Myopia (MRI) Eventually diag Mental health Cone dystroph Amblyopia wit neuro-imaging Optic neuropa puncture reve. Myopia No diagnosis of oi diagnosis si STGI	Jemic cone dystroph Jemic Cone dystroph No diagnosis Diagnosed wit view of the var No diagnosis Myopia (MRI) Eventually diag Mental health Cone dystroph Amblyopia wit neuro-imaging Optic neuropa Myopia No diagnosis of ol diagnosis STCI No diagnosis STCI No diagnosis	ted cone dystroph Jemic Cone dystroph No diagnosis Diagnosed wit view of the var No diagnosis Myopia Initially, amblyi Complaints of imaging (MRI) Eventually diagnosis Mental health Cone dystroph Amblyopia wit Cone dystroph Amblyopia wit neuro-imaging Optic neuropa puncture revei Myopia No diagnosis of oi diagnosis STGI No diagnosis No diagnosis No diagnosis	Jemic performed (no benormed (no biagnosis No diagnosis Myopia Nyopia Initially, amblyi Complaints of inaging (MRI) Eventually diag Mental health Amblyopia wit Cone dystroph Amblyopia wit Cone dystroph Amblyopia wit Cone dystroph Amblyopia wit neuro-imaging Nyopia Myopia No diagnosis No diagnosis
(mother insisted C ral to an academic see P agnosis C see P see P see P see P	(mother insisted ral to an academic see agnosis agnosis agnoses browned agnoses browned agnose browned agnoses browned agnose browned	(mother insisted ral to an academic see P agnosis agnoses I agnoses I agnosis	(mother insisted ral to an academic see P P P agnosis P P P P agnosis P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	(mother insisted ral to an academic see ral agnosis agnosis n n n academic agnosis n n agnosis n n n agnosis n n agnosis n n agnosis n n agnosis n n n	(mother insisted ral to an academic see ration academic agnosis ration rational agnosis ration rational agnosis ration rational referral to rologist	(mother insisted ral to an academic see agnosis agnosis agnoses agnosis agnosis agnosis agnosis agnosis agnosis agnosis	(mother insisted ral to an academic see agnosis agnosis agnosis agnosis eferral to nologist b agnosis agnosis for a conclusion agnosis agnosis for a conclusion agnosis b agnosis for a conclusion agnosis for a conclusion a	(mother insisted ral to an academic see agnosis agnosis agnoses agnosis agnosis agnosis agnosis agnosis agnos ag	(mother insisted ral to an academic see agnosis agnosis agnoses ferral nologist to nologist agnosis agnosis see n agnosis see n agnosis see n agnosis n agnos n agno n agnos n agnos n agnos n agnos n agno n agnos n agnos n agnos n agno n agno n agno n agno n agno n agno n agno n agno n agno n agn	(mother insisted ral to an academic see reademic agnosis agnosis referral to ologist referral to ologist agnosis referral to rologist r	(mother insisted ral to an academic see agnosis agnos ag	(mother insisted ral to an academic see reademic agnosis agnosis agnosis eferral to ologist agnosis agnosis see rerral to agnosis see overshadowed reademic see restandowed reademic restandowed reademic see restandowed reademic see restandowed reademic see restandowed reademic see restandowed reademic restandower restandower res	(mother insisted ral to an academic see an academic see an academic agnosis agnosis agnosis agnosis eferral to ologist agnosis eferral to agnosis agnosis agnos agnosis agnosis agnosis agnosis agnos agno agnos agnos agnos agnos agnos agno agnos agnos agnos agnos
Mon and see Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis	Wait and see Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnoses	Wait and see Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis	Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Delayed referral to ophthalmologist	Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis	Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Delayed referral to ophthalmologist Delayed referral to ophthalmologist	Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Delayed referral to ophthalmologist Wrong diagnosis Delayed referral to ophthalmologist Wrong diagnosis	Wrong diagnosis Wait and see Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Delayed refertal to ophthalmologist Wrong diagnosis Delayed refertal to ophthalmologist Wrong diagnosis	Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Delayed referral to ophthalmologist Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis	Wrong diagnosis Wait and see Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Delayed refertal to opthalmologist Wrong diagnosis Delayed referral to ophthalmologist Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis	Wrong diagnosis Wait and see Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Delayed referral to ophthalmologist Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis	Wrong diagnosis Wait and see Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Delayed referral to ophthalmologist Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis	Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Delayed referral to ophthalmologist Wrong diagnosis Delayed referral to ophthalmologist Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis	Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis Delayed referral to ophthalmologist Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis
Wron Wait a	Wron Waite Wron	Wran Waits Wran Wran	Wron Wron Wron Wron Delay	Wron Wron Wron Wron Wron Wron	Wron Wron Wron Wron Wron Delay Wron Ophtho	Wron Wron Wron Wron Wron Oelay Oelay Vron Oelay	Wran Wran Wran Wran Wran Wran Wran Wran	Wron Wron Wron Wron Delay Ophtf Ophtf Vron Wron	Wron Wron Wron Wron Wron Oelay ophtfo Ophtfo Wron Wron Wron	Wron Wron Wron Wron Delay Wron Wron Wron STCD	Wran Wran Wran Wran Wran Wran Wran Wran	Wron Wron Wron Wron Wron Wron Wron Wron	Wron Wron Wron Wron Wron Wron Wron Wron
- 0	- 0 0	0 0 0	- N N N N	- 0 0 00 0 E	- 0 0 00 00	- a a a a a a a	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 E	-аа ааааа м <u>ё</u>	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- a a a a a a a 4 E E	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 E E E
patient 2	patient 2 patient 2 patient 2	oatient o oatient patient o patient i o	patient 2 patient 2 patient 2 patient 5 service 6 service 1	patient 2 patient 2 patient 2 patient 2 patient 2 service 2 school physician 2	actient actien	patient 2 patient 2 patient 2 patient 2 service bhysician 2 school physician 2 patient 2	aatient aatient patient public health service patient patient	attient aatient patient public health service school physician patient patient	patient patient patient service patient patient patient patient	satient satient patient service school physician patient patient patient school physician	aatient aatient aatient aatient aatient aatient aatient aatient service school physician aatient patient patient aatient school physician aatient aati	aatient aatient patient public health service patient patient patient patient patient patient patient patient	satient satient patient service school physician patient school physician patient school physician patient school physician school physician
pat		pat pat pat	p at at at ser un	pat pat ser va ser scr	pat pat pat scr pat	pat pat seru pat pat	patra patra	pat pat serula pat at at at	pat pat pat pat pat pat pat	pat serula pat serula serula pat at at at	pat pat scr pat pat pat	pat pat scr pat scr pat pat	pat sch pat sch pat sch sch sch
			0 4 N 0	м 0 4 1 м	0 4 6 M F	0 4	рг 4 го мг г о	ν = 4 Γ Ω Ν = = α α	01 4 7 0 W L 8 8 L		ос 4 го мг с а а с о С 1 го мг с а а с с о	νι 4 Γο μι ι α α ι ι οι	) - 4
	4	4 1											

.3 f     11     patient     2       .4 m     3     school physician 2       .4 m     3     and family       .5 f     11     patient     2       .5 m     7     patient     2	Wrong diagnosis Wrong diagnosis	Tension headache. consulting neurologist	13	Central fundus	20/125
4 m 3 school physician 2 6 11 patient 2 6 m 7 patient 2	Wrong diagnosis			IIECKS	
5 f 11 patient 2 6 m 7 patient 2		Amblyopia, received occlusion therapy (decrease in VA due to lack of therapy)	ŋ	Bull's eye	20/125
6 m 7 patient 2	Delayed referral	No diagnosis	13	Bull's eye	20/100
	Little delay. due to referral to academic hospital	Cone dystrophy	00	Bull's eye	20/125
27 f 8 patient 1	Wrong diagnosis	Mental health problem		RPE alterations	20/70
.8 m 6 patient 2	Minor delay, due to timely referral to academic hospital	Cone dystrophy		Central fundus flecks	20/35
:9 f 18 patient 2	Delayed referral	Cone dystrophy	20	Bull's eye	20/35
50 f 3 public health 2 service	Wrong diagnosis	"Schoolgirl amblyopia"	12	Central fundus flecks	20/250
s1 m 13 school physician 2	Minor delay due to timely referral to academic hospital	Cone dystrophy	14	RPE alterations	20/70

BCVA = best-corrected visual acuity; RPE = retinal pigment epithelium. Table 1. Clinical characteristics and diagnostic process in Stargardt disease (STGD1) patients without initial fundus abnormalities. acuity; f = female: VA = visual D = patient identification in this study; m = male; was generally diagnosed relatively quickly in the majority of patients (median, 0.7 years; range, 0.1–3).

We could retrieve the BCVA at the first ophthalmic visit in 21 out of 31 patients; the median BCVA at that time was 20/32 Snellen (20/20–20/400). The median interval and 95% confidence interval (CI) between the age at onset and decline in BCVA to mild, moderate, severe visual impairment and blindness was 1 year (95% CI, 0.0–2.25), 4 years (95% CI, 3.1–4.9) and 12 years (95% CI, 7.8–16.2). One patient reached blindness 34 years after the first symptoms of onset at age 9. In many patients, the visual acuity findings were quite variable early in the course of the disease as shown in Figure 1.

In 29 out of 31 patients, fundus flecks were eventually noticed at a median time of 3.5 years (range, 0.1–16.5) after the initial ophthalmic consultation. In 17 patients (59%), subtle parafoveal flecks could be seen, in 5 patients (17%) flecks were noticed within the vascular arcades, and in 7 patients (24%) flecks extended to the periphery. In 2 patients, no fundus flecks were reported at any time during the course of the disease (follow-up time, 1 and 10 years).

In 23 of 31 patients, the first SD-OCT scan was performed at 9 years (range, 0.1–24) after disease onset. No SD-OCT scans were performed in the remaining 8 patients. All SD-OCT scans showed abnormalities by disorganized or absent RPE. A thickened external limiting membrane (ELM) was seen in 2 patients (0.5 and 1 year after disease



Figure 1. Course of the best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) in logMAR in ten patients. The visual acuity varies greatly during the first five years after first ophthalmic consultation. LogMAR 0 = 20/20 Snellen, LogMAR 0.5 = 20/63 Snellen, LogMAR 1.00 = 20/200 Snellen, LogMAR 1.50  $\approx$  20/630 Snellen, LogMAR 2.00 = 20/2000 Snellen. Each patient (ID = patient identification) is shown in a different color.

onset). A dark choroid was observed in 15 of 23 (65%) patients in whom fluorescein angiography was performed. In 22 patients, the first FAF was performed 3.5 years (0.5–24) after onset. No atrophy was seen (median, 1 year after onset) in 5 patients, (peri) foveal atrophy in 9 (median, 4 years after onset), atrophy within the vascular arcades in 7 (median, 15 years after onset), and panretinal atrophy (15 years after onset) in 1. In 4 patients, abnormalities were seen on FAF, but were missed on ophthalmoscopy.

The first ffERG was performed 2 years (0.1–27) after onset in 27 patients. Normal ffERG recordings (group 1) were present in 20 patients (74%), 2 years (0.1–21) after disease onset. We found group 2 ffERGs in 4 patients (15%) with 1 year (0.1–3.5) after onset, and group 3 recordings in 3 patients (11%) with 18 years (6–27) after onset. Follow-up for ffERG recordings was available in 21 patients. In 4 of these patients progressed from group 1 to group 2 (median time, 8 years; range, 7–16), 2 patients progressed from group 2 to group 3 (within 2 and 3 years), and 1 patient progressed from group 1 to group 3 in 6 years. In 17 patients, color vision was tested. In 15 patients (79%) abnormalities were noticed, red-green defects in 14 patients and a blue-yellow defect in 1 patient.

Various imaging modalities of patient 28 and patient 21 are depicted in Figure 2 and 3, respectively.



**Figure 2. Three-and-a-half-year follow-up in patient 28.** Age at onset: 6.5 years. One year later, fundus autofluorescence (FAF) imaging showed a perifoveal ring of hyperautofluorescence ( $A_2$ ), and on spectral-domein optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT), a discrete thickening of the external limiting membrane (ELM) can be seen (*arrow*,  $A_3$ ). Only 6 months later, a subtle hyperautofluorescent perifoveal lesion developed (*arrow*,  $B_3$ ). Over time, the hyperautofluorescent flecks become more visible on FAF imaging. In addition, the flecks became noticeable on color fundus photographs. The thickened ELM remained present during the entire follow-up time (*arrows*,  $A_3$ ,  $C_3$ - $H_3$ ). FA = fluorescein angiography. NP = not performed.

#### Genetic characteristics

Overall, genetic analysis was not performed at first visit, but with a median delay of five years (range, 0–30) after first visit. An overview of ABCA4 variants in our cohort is described in Table 2.

Variants in the ABCA4 gene were identified in 59 of 62 alleles (95%). Three variants were found in one patient, two ABCA4 variants in 27, and one variant in three. In total, 32 distinct variants were detected. The majority of the patients (65%) carried at least one variant with a severe effect on ABCA4 function (Table 2, bold).



Figure 3. Multimodal imaging in patient 21 at age 25, 15 years after the first symptoms. The visual acuity is 20/1000 Snellen. Color fundus photography (*A*) shows attenuated retinal vessels (especially the veins), para-arteriolar pigmentations and diffuse chorioretinal atrophy. Fundus autofluorescence imaging (*B*) shows widespread hypoautofluorescence, especially at the macula, indicating RPE cell loss. Fluorescein angiography (*C*) shows widespread granular hyperfluorescent lesions as a result of RPE damage, and on spectral-domain optical coherence tomography. (*D*) loss of the outer retinal layers, as well as the choriocapillaris, can readily be observed.

## Discussion

A lack of obvious fundus abnormalities left a high number of children with STGD1 disease without the correct diagnosis. Instead, these patients underwent unnecessary investigations, such as psychic evaluations, brain MRIs or CTs, and lumbar punctures. Many of these children were treated for mental illness and/or amblyopia with pointless and possibly harmful treatments, including years of occlusion therapy.

In patients with adult-onset STGD1, initial ophthalmoscopic features typically include yellow-white flecks and central macular atrophy, and cases without fundus abnormalities have not been described.<sup>5</sup> In young children, the clinical presentation can be confusing for the general ophthalmologist. Fujinami et al. noticed that one third of their child cohort (<17 years) initially had a normal fundus appearance.<sup>6</sup> Lambertus et al. described a cohort of 41 STGD1 patients younger than 10 years, and 10 of these patients (24%)

	Variant 1	Protein	Type of variant	Variant 2	Protein	Type of variant
_	c.5585-10T>C	p.(?)	Splice-site (non-canonical)		IN	
$\sim$	c.[1622T>C:3113C>T]	p.[Leu54] Pro;Ala1038Val]	Missense, missense	c.[1622T>C;3113C>T]	p.[Leu541Pro;Ala] 038Val]	Missense, missense
NO	c.5882G>A	p.(Cly1961Glu)	Missense	c.3305A>T	p.(Asp1102Val)	Missense
.+	c.4539+1G>T	p.(?)	Splice-site (canonical)	c.5762_5763dup	p.(Ala1922Trpfs*18)	Frameshift
10	c.6089C>A	p.(Arg2030GIn)	Missense	c.5461-10T>C	p.(Thr1821Valfs*13, Thr1821Aspfs*6)	Frameshift
(0	c.2588C>C	p.[Cly863Ala, Cly863del]	Missense, splice defect		ĪZ	
	c.2588G>C	p.[Cly863Ala, Cly863del]	Missense, splice defect	c.4539+1G>T	p.(?)	Splice-site (canonical)
m	c.2588G>C	p.[Cly863Ala, Cly863del]	Missense, splice defect	c.4539+1G>T	p.(?)	Splice-site (canonical)
۰ ۳	c.3259G>A	p.(Glu1087*)	Nonsense	c.4128+1G>A	p.(?)	Splice-site (canonical)
0	c.3259G>A	p.(Glu1087*)	Nonsense	c.4128+1G>A	p.(?)	Splice-site (canonical)
Ξ	c.5762_5763dup	p.(Ala1922Trpfs*18)	Frameshift	c.2919-?_3328+?del	p.(Ser974CInfs*64)	Frameshift; deletion
2	c.4539+1G>T	p.(?)	Splice-site (canonical)	c.768G>T	p.(?)	Splice-site (non-canonical)
Ŋ	c.3874C>T	p.(Gln1292*)	Nonsense	c.6320G>A	p.(Arg2107His)	Missense
4	c.5196+1137G>A	p.(?)	Deep-intronic, splicing		IZ	
ß	c.5312+1G>A	p.(?)	Splice-site (canonical)	c.286A>C	p.(Asn96Asp)	Missense
9	c.5161_5162del	p.(Thr1721Hisfs*65)	Frameshift	c.5882G>A	p.(Cly1961Clu)	Missense
2	c.3874C>T	p.(Gln1292*)	Nonsense	c.5196+1137G>A	p.(?)	Deep-intronic, splicing
œ	c.5461-10T>C	p.(Thr1821Valfs*13, Thr1821Aspfs*6)	Frameshift	c.5537T>C	p.(Ile1846Thr)	Missense
6	c.214G>A	p.(Cly72Arg)	Missense	c.5461-10T>C	p.(Thr1821Valfs*13, Thr1821Aspfs*6)	Frameshift
2	c.4773+1G>A	p.(?)	Splice-site (canonical)	c.5537T>C	p.(Ile1846Thr)	Missense
2	c.768G>T	p.(?)	Splice-site (non-canonical)	c.2919?_+3328+?del	p.(Ser974GInfs*64)	Frameshift; deletion
22	c.768G>T	p.(?)	Splice-site (non-canonical)	c.1804C>T	p.(Arg602Trp)	Missense
23	c.[1622T>C.3113C>T]	p.[Leu54] Pro;Ala1038Val]	Missense, missense	c.6316C>T	p.(Arg2106Cys)	Missense
54	c.5714+5G>A	b.(?)	Splice-site (non-canonical)	c.3033-?_3364+?del	b.(?)	Frameshift; deletion
52	c.5714+5G>A	p.(?)	Splice-site (non-canonical)	c.3033-?_3364+?del	p.(?)	Frameshift; deletion
20	c.[872C>T;4224G>T]	p.[Pro291Leu:Trp1408Cys]	Missense, missense	c.2947A>G	p.(Thr983Ala)	Missense
52	c.768G>T	p.(?)	Splice-site (non-canonical)	c.5113C>T	p.(Arg1705Trp)	Missense
00	c.2947A>G	p.(Thr983Ala)	Missense	c.5461-10T>C	p.(Thr1821Valfs*13, Thr1821Aspfs*6)	Frameshift
50	c.768C>T	p.(?)	Splice-site (non-canonical)	c.872C>T	p.(Pro291Leu);	Missense
02	c.5461-10T>C	p.(Thr1821Valfs*13, Thr1821Aspfs*6)	Frameshift	c.6320G>A	p.(Arg2107His)	Missense
5	c.5882G>A	p.(Cly1961Clu)	Missense	c.4352+1G>A	(2) D:(2)	Splice-site (canonical)

Table 2. Variant, protein notation, and type of variant in the ABCA4 gene of Stargardt disease patients without fundus abnormalities.

del = deletion; dup = duplication; fs = frameshift; NI = not identified; \* stop signal.

also did not have fundus abnormalities.<sup>4</sup> These studies show that the absence of readily observable fundus abnormalities in young STGD1 patients is not an isolated finding, but a relative common part of the clinical spectrum.

In our cohort, when fundus abnormalities did occur, these were often not the typical yellowish flecks but rather RPE alterations, often in a bull's eye pattern. A hypothesis for the absence of typical fundus flecks may lie in the relative high pathogenicity of ABCA4 variants. As the majority of our cohort (65%) carried at least one severe variant, there may be little ABCA4 function left in these patients, leading to a very early manifestation of the disease. The built-up of toxic N-retinylidene-N-retinylethanolamine in RPE cells develops rapidly, thereby causing early cell death without the opportunity for lipofuscin to accumulate and subsequent fleck formation.

Blocking of the choroidal vessels on FA resulting a dark or silent choroid is frequently used as diagnostic marker for STGD1. The prevalence of this FA finding in STGD1 patients has been described in up to 86% of patients.<sup>19</sup> A dark choroid was present in 65% in our relatively small cohort. A correlation has been described between the presence of yellow-white fundus flecks and the appearance of dark choroid,<sup>8, 20</sup> which might account for the relative low percentage of patients with a dark choroid in this cohort. Fundus autofluorescence imaging is a relative new modality that may be used to identify early and subtle lipofuscin.<sup>21</sup> In addition, a thickened ELM on SD-OCT may also serve as an early marker for STGD1.<sup>22</sup> However, in the study of Lee et al, this distinct ELM thickening was described to occur in all (26/26) cases. In our cohort, only 2/23 cases with performed SD-OCT were observed to have this feature. The delay of performing an SD-OCT (mean, 9 years after disease onset) could be an explanation of the difference in appearance of ELM thickening in both cohorts. The two cases of our cohort with this distinct feature was SD-OCT performed 0.5 and 1 year after disease onset, so suggestive for early disease marker. Abnormal color vision was observed in 79% of our STGD1 patients, which corresponds with the previously reported percentages.<sup>23</sup>

Although STGD1 is the most common juvenile macular dystrophy, it remains a relative rare disorder. Clinicians in a general ophthalmic practice may lack the experience to identify and interpret the subtle abnormalities in these young children. When we consider the relative difficulty associated with the ophthalmic examination of (very) young children, the high number of misdiagnoses in the early-onset STGD1 patient group may not come as a surprise. Therefore, we want to make ophthalmologists aware of early findings in STGD1, especially appearing in children. First, visual acuity often fluctuates in these patients which should not automatically rule out the possibility of a photoreceptor disease. Second, a fundus photograph can be helpful in discerning very subtle fundus

abnormalities and may be useful in follow-up. Third, non-invasive investigations such as SD-OCT (thickening of the ELM), FAF (subtle lipofuscin accumulation) and color vision tests may help in the diagnostic process. Fluorescein angiography is invasive, apart from the oral variant, but may also be less helpful in patients without fundus abnormalities in the light of the relative low percentage of dark choroids in these patients.

In children with visual disturbances, retinal dystrophies should be considered and ruled out when possible, even in the absence of fundus abnormalities on ophthalmoscopy. Instead of wait and see, we would recommend referring these children to a tertiary ophthalmic center and performing SD-OCT and FAF to define early findings of STGD1. A correct and early diagnosis of STGD1 prevents a lot of distress, unnecessary investigations and harmful therapies; in addition, early identification may prove important in the light of emerging therapeutic options.

## References

- 1. Blacharski P. Retinal dystrophies and degenerations. Newsome DA (ed) 1988:135-59.
- Allikmets R, Shroyer NF, Singh N, et al. Mutation of the Stargardt disease gene (ABCR) in age-related macular degeneration. Science 1997;277(5333):1805-7.
- Allikmets R, Singh N, Sun H, et al. A photoreceptor cell-specific ATP-binding transporter gene (ABCR) is mutated in recessive Stargardt macular dystrophy. Nat Genet 1997;15(3):236-46.
- Lambertus S, van Huet RA, Bax NM, et al. Early-onset stargardt disease: phenotypic and genotypic characteristics. Ophthalmology 2015;122(2):335-44.
- Westeneng-van Haaften SC, Boon CJ, Cremers FP, et al. Clinical and genetic characteristics of lateonset Stargardt's disease. Ophthalmology 2012;119(6):1199-210.
- Fujinami K, Zernant J, Chana RK, et al. Clinical and molecular characteristics of childhood-onset Stargardt disease. Ophthalmology 2015;122(2):326-34.
- Klevering BJ, Blankenagel A, Maugeri A, et al. Phenotypic spectrum of autosomal recessive conerod dystrophies caused by mutations in the ABCA4 (ABCR) gene. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2002;43(6):1980-5.
- 8. Fishman GA, Stone EM, Grover S, et al. Variation of clinical expression in patients with Stargardt dystrophy and sequence variations in the ABCR gene. Arch Ophthalmol 1999;117(4):504-10.
- Armstrong JD, Meyer D, Xu S, Elfervig JL. Long-term follow-up of Stargardt's disease and fundus flavimaculatus. Ophthalmology 1998;105(3):448-57; discussion 57-8.
- Querques G, Leveziel N, Benhamou N, et al. Analysis of retinal flecks in fundus flavimaculatus using optical coherence tomography. Br J Ophthalmol 2006;90(9):1157-62.
- Klevering BJ, Blankenagel A, Maugeri A, et al. Phenotypic spectrum of autosomal recessive cone-rod dystrophies caused by mutations in the ABCA4 (ABCR) gene. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science 2002;43(6):1980-5.
- 12. Michaelides M, Chen LL, Brantley MA, Jr., et al. ABCA4 mutations and discordant ABCA4 alleles in patients and siblings with bull's-eye maculopathy. Br J Ophthalmol 2007;91(12):1650-5.
- 13. Stargardt K. Über familliäre, progressive Degeneration in der Maculagegend des Auges. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 1909(71):534-50.
- 14. Franceschetti A. A special form of tapetoretinal degeneration: fundus flavimaculatus. Trans Am Acad Ophthalmol Otolaryngol 1965;69(6):1048-53.
- van Huet RA, Bax NM, Westeneng-Van Haaften SC, et al. Foveal sparing in Stargardt disease. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science 2014;55(11):7467-78.
- 16. Fujinami K, Sergouniotis PI, Davidson AE, et al. Clinical and molecular analysis of stargardt disease with preserved foveal structure and function. Am J Ophthalmol 2013;156(3):487-501 e1.
- Marmor MF, Fulton AB, Holder GE, et al. ISCEV Standard for full-field clinical electroretinography (2008 update). Doc Ophthalmol 2009;118(1):69-77.
- Lois N, Holder GE, Bunce C, et al. Phenotypic subtypes of Stargardt macular dystrophy-fundus flavimaculatus. Arch Ophthalmol 2001;119(3):359-69.
- Fishman GA, Farber M, Patel BS, Derlacki DJ. Visual acuity loss in patients with Stargardt's macular dystrophy. Ophthalmology 1987;94(7):809-14.
- Gelisken O, De Laey JJ. A clinical review of Stargardt's disease and/or fundus flavimaculatus with follow-up. Int Ophthalmol 1985;8(4):225-35.
- Chun R, Fishman GA, Collison FT, et al. The value of retinal imaging with infrared scanning laser ophthalmoscopy in patients with stargardt disease. Retina 2014;34(7):1391-9.
- 22. Lee W, Noupuu K, Oll M, et al. The external limiting membrane in early-onset Stargardt disease. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2014;55(10):6139-49.
- Vandenbroucke T, Buyl R, De Zaeytijd J, et al. Colour Vision in Stargardt Disease. Ophthalmic Res 2015;54(4):181-94.

The absence of fundus abnormalities in Stargardt disease · 74

"This article reveals the differences in natural history of geographic atrophy secondary to age-related macular degeneration and atrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium in late-onset Stargardt disease by analyzing two large cohorts of patients."

Moritz Lindner\* **Stanley Lambertus\*** Matthias M. Mauschitz Nathalie M. Bax Eveline Kersten Anna Lüning Jennifer Nadal Steffen Schmitz Valckenberg Matthias Schmid Frank G. Holz Carel B. Hoyng Monika Fleckenstein *for the Foveal sparing Atrophy Study Team (FAST)*.

\*M.L. and S.L. are joint first authors.

Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2017 Feb 1;58(2):1001-1007

The authors thank Frans Cremers and Bernhard Weber for their analysis of ABC14 mutations; and the collaborators of the FAM-Study group.

3.2 Differential disease progression in atrophic age-related macular degeneration and late-onset Stargardt disease **PURPOSE**: To compare the disease course of retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) atrophy secondary to age-related macular degeneration (AMD) and late-onset Stargardt disease (STGD1).

**METHODS:** Patients were examined longitudinally by fundus autofluorescence, near-infrared reflectance imaging and best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA). Areas of RPE atrophy were quantified using semi-automated software, and the status of the fovea was evaluated based on fundus autofluorescence and near-infrared reflectance images. Mixed-effects models were used to compare atrophy progression rates. BCVA loss and loss of foveal integrity were analyzed using Turnbull's estimator.

**RESULTS**: A total of 151 patients (226 eyes) with RPE atrophy secondary to AMD and 38 patients (66 eyes) with RPE atrophy secondary to late-onset STGD1 were examined for a median time of 2.3 years (interquartile range, 2.7). Mean baseline age was 74.2 years (SD, 7.6) in AMD and 63.4 (SD, 9.9) in late-onset STGD1 ( $P = 1.1 \times 10^{-7}$ ). Square root atrophy progression was significantly faster in AMD when compared with late-onset STGD1 (0.28 mm/year [± standard error (SE), 0.01] versus 0.23 [± SE, 0.03]; P = 0.030). In late-onset STGD1, the median survival of the fovea was significantly longer when compared with eyes with AMD (8.60 versus 3.35 years; P = 0.005) with a trend to a later BCVA loss of ≥3 lines (5.97 versus 4.37 years; P = 0.382).

**CONCLUSIONS**: These natural history data indicate differential disease progression in AMD versus late-onset STGD1. The results underline the relevance of refined pheno-typing in elderly patients presenting with RPE atrophy in regard to prognosis and design of interventional trials.

## Introduction

Atrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) represents a common late-stage manifestation of various retinal diseases, including late-stage dry age-related macular degeneration (AMD) and Stargardt disease (STGD1).<sup>1</sup> In industrialized countries, late-stage neovascular or advanced dry AMD is the leading cause of legal blindness in the elderly.<sup>2</sup> Although the exact pathogenetic mechanisms leading to geographic atrophy<sup>3</sup> are still poorly understood, chronic inflammatory processes, excessive lipofuscin accumulation in the RPE lysosomal compartment, complement system dysregulation, and vascular factors have been implicated in the development of AMD.<sup>4</sup>

In contrast to the multifactorial etiology of AMD, STGD1 is an autosomal recessive retinal dystrophy caused by pathogenic sequence variants in the adenosine triphosphatebinding cassette, subfamily A, member 4 (ABCA4, MIM 601691) gene. ABCA4 encodes an integral transmembrane protein, expressed in retinal photoreceptors. It is involved in the clearance of all-trans-retinal aldehyde, a byproduct of the retinoid cycle of vision.<sup>5</sup> ABCA4 dysfunction leads to the accumulation of lipofuscin and its constituent, diretinoid-pyridinium-ethanolamine, finally resulting in RPE atrophy development.<sup>6, 7</sup>

Comparable ages of onset as well as funduscopic parallels result in a certain risk in confounding AMD and late-onset STGD1.<sup>8</sup> It is well understood that distinction between both conditions is of practical relevance to an individual patient with regard to genetic counseling. Yet to what extent differentiation between both conditions also influences individual prognosis in terms of visual acuity loss and RPE atrophy progression has not been assessed. Extending our analysis on two recently described cohorts,<sup>9,10</sup> in the present work we identify significant differences in the course of AMD and late-onset STGD1. These results underline the relevance of refined phenotyping in patients presenting with RPE atrophy. Furthermore, the improved understanding of the distinct kinetic of disease progression will be relevant for emerging therapeutic approaches.

## Methods

#### Patient identification

The present study consists of the following two distinct cohorts: patients with RPE atrophy secondary to AMD and patients with RPE atrophy associated with late-onset STGD1. Inclusion criteria for the current analysis for both cohorts were the following: (1) at least one well-defined contiguous area of RPE atrophy corresponding to areas of reduced fundus autofluorescence (FAF) to an extent of  $\geq 0.05$  mm<sup>2</sup> (the size of the smallest atrophic area in cases of multifocality) in one or both eyes and (2) clear ocular

media allowing for acquisition of high-quality FAF images. For inclusion into the AMD cohort, soft drusen and/or retinal pigment abnormalities consistent with the diagnosis of AMD had to be present. For inclusion into the late-onset STGD1 cohort, patients had to exhibit typical yellow-white flecks or dots correlating with hyperautofluorescent flecks on 488-nm FAF imaging. This FAF pattern had previously been termed "fine granular pattern with peripheral punctate spots (GPS[+])".<sup>11, 12</sup> The clinical phenotype of late-onset STGD1 was supported by at least one (likely) pathogenic variant in the ABCA4 (NM\_000350.2) gene. The peripherin-2 gene (PRPH2; NM\_000322.4) was additionally sequenced in patients with fewer than two ABCA4 (likely) pathogenic variants to exclude autosomal-dominant multifocal pattern dystrophy or central areolar choroidal dystrophy.<sup>13, 14</sup> Patients had to be at least 45 years of age at self-reported symptom onset. Both eyes of a patient were included in the analysis if the inclusion criteria were met. General exclusion criteria for both cohorts were the presence of retinal disease that could possibly confound observations (e.g., diabetic retinopathy, present or past exudative events, idiopathic serous chorioretinopathy).

All of the patients were recruited in the context of the Fundus Autofluorescence in Age-Related Macular Degeneration (FAM) Study or at the outpatient department of Radboud university medical center. The study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval by the institutional review boards was obtained by each of the participating centers. Informed consent was obtained from each participant after an explanation of the study's nature and possible consequences of participation. Both cohorts have previously been reported elsewhere.<sup>8–10, 12, 15</sup>

#### Assessment of visual acuity

At each visit, best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was determined using a Snellen or Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) chart. Visual acuity is reported in logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) notation. Visual acuity of counting fingers was set to 1.8 logMAR and hand motions to 2.2 logMAR.<sup>16</sup>

#### Image acquisition and grading

Fundus autofluorescence images were acquired using HRA2 or Spectralis HRA+OCT (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) with an excitation wavelength of 488 nm and an emission spectrum of 500 to 700 nm using the high-speed mode. Near-infrared (NIR) reflectance images were obtained with an excitation wavelength of 820 nm. The field of view was centered on the fovea and set to 30°×30° or 55°×55° to fit the entire area of atrophy. Images were acquired with a minimum resolution of 512×512 pixels and single FAF images were automatically aligned and averaged to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio using the manufacturer's software.

Areas of RPE atrophy were measured on FAF images applying image processing software based on gray value detection for semiautomated identification of atrophic RPE areas. Only areas of decreased autofluorescence >0.05  $mm^2$ were considered to represent RPE atrophy.17-20 The involvement of the fovea by the atrophic process was determined based on FAF and NIR reflectance images and was graded as follows: definitely involved, probably involved, probably not involved, and definitely not involved. For further analysis, these gradings were summarized to "involved" and "not involved." А representative example of an eye with RPE atrophy secondary to AMD and RPE atrophy secondary to late-onset STGD1 is provided in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Multimodal imaging as performed in this study of representative left eyes of a patient with retinal pigment epithelium atrophy secondary to age-related macular degeneration (AMD) and a patient with retinal pigment epithelium atrophy secondary to late-onset Stargardt disease (LO-STGD1).

#### Statistical analysis

The total atrophy size measured for each visit was square-root transformed to reduce the dependency of enlargement rates on baseline lesion size ( $\sqrt{area}$  [mm]) as previously suggested.<sup>21</sup> To quantify atrophy progression rates within the patient collective, a linear mixed-effects model was used as described earlier.<sup>22, 23</sup> The two-level, random-effects model used here separates eye-specific and patient-specific effects and accounts for dependencies between measurements that were obtained from the same patient and/or eye. The factor "AMD" versus "LO-STGD1" was included as a fixed effect in the model. Backward selection of the other covariates, including higher order interactions, was used to build the final linear mixed-effects model.

Turnbull's estimator<sup>24</sup> was used to estimate the percentages of eyes with BCVA loss  $\geq 0.3 \log$ MAR ( $\geq 3 ETDRS$  chart lines) and  $\geq 0.6 \log$ MAR ( $\geq 6 ETDRS$  chart lines) from baseline, as previously reported.<sup>9</sup> A similar approach was used to estimate the percentage of eyes with loss of foveal integrity over time (status transition of the fovea from "not involved" to "involved"). A comparison of the course of BCVA and of the foveal status between AMD versus late-onset STGD1 was performed using the log-rank test.

## Results

#### Patients

A total of 226 eyes (151 patients; 55 males, 96 females) with RPE atrophy secondary to AMD and 66 eyes (38 patients; 17 males, 21 females) with RPE atrophy secondary to late-onset STGD1 were examined over time. At baseline, 113 patients exhibited bilateral RPE atrophy (86 patients with AMD and 27 patients with late-onset STGD1). In 24 patients, the fellow eye had early changes, that is, disease-related alterations without atrophy or neovascularization (15 patients with AMD and nine patients with late-onset STGD1; P = 0.117), and 41 patients exhibited neovascularization in the fellow eye (39 patients with AMD and two patients with late-onset STGD1; P = 0.006). In 11 patients, only one eye was included because the fellow eyes fulfilled any of the exclusion criteria (e.g., insufficient imaging quality). One eye did not contribute to the analysis because neovascularization developed right after the first visit.

#### **Baseline characteristics**

Mean age at baseline was 74.2 years (SD, 7.6) in the AMD and 63.4 years (SD, 9.9) in the late-onset STGD1 patient cohort. At first presentation, RPE atrophy size in AMD patients was 6.3 mm<sup>2</sup> (SD, 5.0) and 6.2 mm<sup>2</sup> (SD, 7.3) in late-onset STGD1 (P = 0.914). At baseline, the fovea was graded as "not involved" in 54.0% of eyes (122 of 226 eyes) with AMD and in 86.4% of eyes (57 of 66 eyes) with late-onset STGD1 ( $P = 4.1 \times 10^{-6}$ ). BCVA at baseline was significantly different between both cohorts with 0.6 logMAR (SD, 0.4) in AMD and 0.4 logMAR (SD, 0.5) in late-onset STGD1 ( $P = 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ ). An overview on baseline characteristics is given in Table 1.

Characteristic	AMD		Late-onset STC	D1	P value
	Patients, n (%)	Mean ± SD	Patients, n (%)	Mean ± SD	
Gender					
Male	55 (36.4%)		17 (44.7%)		0.449*
Female	96 (63.6%)		21 (55.3%)		
Disease status					
Bilateral RPE atrophy	86 (61.4%)		27 (71.1 %)		
RPE atrophy + CNV in fellow eye	39 (27.9%)		2 (5.2%)		0.006*
RPE atrophy + "early" changes in fellow eye	15 (10.7%)		9 (23.7%)		0.117*
Follow-up time, years		2.2 (IQR, 2.6)†			0.774 <sup>‡</sup>
Age, years		74.2 ± 7.6			<0.001§
	Eyes, n (%)	Mean ± SD	Eyes, n (%)	Mean ± SD	
Atrophy size, mm <sup>2</sup>	226	6.3 ± 5.0	66	6.2 ± 7.3	0.914§
Status of the fovea at baseline					
Not involved	122 (54%)		57 (86.4%)		<0.001*
Involved	104 (46%)		9 (13.6%)		
Visual acuity, logMAR	226	0.6 ± 0.4	66	$0.4 \pm 0.4$	0.001§

## Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients with age-related macular degeneration and late-onset Stargardt. \* $\chi^2$ -Test; † median; \* Mann-Whitney U; <sup>§</sup> t-test.

AMD = age-related macular degeneration; CNV = choroidal neovascularization; IQR = interquartile range; RPE = retinal pigment epithelium; SD = standard deviation; STGD1 = Stargardt disease.

#### **Disease Progression**

Data of a total of 897 eye visits were included in the analysis. The median follow-up time was 2.2 years (interquartile range [IQR], 2.6) in AMD and 2.5 years (IQR, 3.6) in late-onset STGD1 (P = 0.774). To compare atrophy progression kinetics between AMD and late-onset STGD1, a linear mixed-effects model was used. Average square-root transformed atrophy progression was 0.28 mm/year (SE, 0.01) in AMD, and 0.23 mm/ year (SE, 0.03) in late-onset STGD1 (P = 0.030). Model results were corrected for distinct age structures between the cohorts by including age as a fixed effect (coefficient estimate, 0.02 ± 0.00; P = 0.011).

Transition of the status of the fovea from "not involved" to "involved" during the observational period was observed in 48 eyes with AMD (39.3% of eyes with "not involved" fovea at baseline) and in only 5 eyes with late-onset STGD1 (8.8% of eyes with "not involved" fovea at baseline). Notably, the follow-up period in late-onset STGD1 patients was longer than in AMD patients (see earlier). Time-to-event analyses revealed a median time from noninvolvement of the fovea at baseline to foveal involvement of 3.35 years (95% confidence interval [CI], 2.50–4.15) in AMD and 8.60 years (CI, not available) in late-onset STGD1 (Table 2). In parallel, a visual acuity loss of  $\geq$ 3 and  $\geq$ 6 lines, respectively, occurred consistently, although not significantly later in late-onset STGD1 when compared with AMD (Table 2). Figure 2 shows two representative disease courses in an eye with late-onset STGD1 and an eye with AMD.

Event	AMD		Late-onset STG	P value	
	Median, years	CI	Median, years	CI	Log-rank
Fovea becomes involved	3.35	2.50-4.15	8.60	NA	0.005
Visual acuity loss ≥3 lines	4.37	2.96-4.37	5.97		0.449*
Visual acuity loss ≥6 lines	7.52	7.52-∞	33.2% had even	t after 7.50 years	0.320

Table 2. Median times to event for foveal involvement and vision loss in age-related macular degeneration and late-onset Stargardt. CI = confidence interval: NA = not available.



Figure 2. Fundus autofluorescence and corresponding visual acuity values of an exemplary course of late-onset STCD1 (*upper row*) and AMD (*lower row*). Square root atrophy progression rate in the late-onset STCD1 eye shown is 0.25 mm/year, whereas it is 0.58 mm/year in the age-related macular degeneration (AMD) eye. Note that the eye with late-onset STCD1 shown has a larger non-square root transformed progression as a result of the larger baseline atrophy size. AMD = age-related macular degeneration; logMAR = logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution; STCD1 = Stargardt disease.

## Discussion

This study reveals differences between AMD and late-onset STGD1 with respect to both atrophy progression and changes in visual acuity. We found a significantly faster atrophy progression in eyes with AMD along with significantly lower BCVA scores at baseline and faster, albeit not significant, loss of BCVA.

Atrophy progression and visual acuity courses have been previously assessed independently in both AMD and STGD1. Although distinct analytic strategies preclude direct comparisons, our data are overall compatible with previously published values.<sup>10, 25–28</sup> Despite similar lesion size at baseline, patients with late-onset STGD1 had a better BCVA, presumably because their fovea was more frequently intact (86.4% versus 54% of eyes with AMD). Moreover, they had both atrophy progression kinetics and survival times of the fovea in favor, but the difference in decline in BCVA when compared with AMD was less pronounced. We have recently reported that the parameters "status of the fovea", "total lesion size", and the "age at baseline" in eyes with atrophy as a result of AMD have a significant impact on BCVA and that these factors together explain 65% of BCVA variability.<sup>9</sup> The remaining 35% of BCVA variability may be explained by other factors, such as media opacities and the general mental status. In addition, it may be explained by BCVA test variability itself.<sup>9</sup>

Foveal sparing is observed in several retinal diseases,<sup>8, 10, 15, 20, 29–33</sup> exhibiting a specific pattern of RPE atrophy surrounding an intact foveal island. Although the present study did not differentiate between this typical pattern of foveal sparing and general foveal noninvolvement, patients with a long-term preservation of foveal integrity may develop eventual foveal sparing. Interestingly, eyes with an uninvolved fovea at baseline progressed to foveal atrophy during the review period in almost 40% of eyes with AMD, but only 8.8% of eyes with late-onset STGD1, despite their slightly longer follow-up. Atrophy being more closely to the fovea at first presentation may be a reason for requiring less time to involve the fovea into the atrophic process in AMD. Another explanation may be a longer foveal survival because of the protecting mechanisms in late-onset STGD1. Yet foveal sparing can be present in phenotypes that are independent of ABCA4 sequence variants, including AMD,<sup>8, 15, 20, 29–33</sup> and is infrequent in a general STGD1 population.<sup>15</sup> Therefore, other genetic factors and distinct anatomical, metabolic, and/or biochemical aspects are likely involved.

A further hallmark contrast between both cohorts was the frequency of neovascularization observed in fellow eyes, which was less frequent in late-onset STGD1. For AMD, it has been described that atrophy progression is slower in eyes with a fellow eye exhibiting neovascularization.<sup>34</sup> We did not correct for this factor in the linear mixed-effects model. Yet from the available data on AMD,<sup>34</sup> we would expect the difference between AMD and late-onset STGD1 to become even larger when correcting for this factor.

Our patients with biallelic—but also with mono-allelic—ABCA4 variants share an identical phenotype that exhibits typical flecks of increased FAF surrounding the atrophic lesion; it resembles the FAF pattern in patients with typical STGD1. Given the high carrier frequency up to 1:25,<sup>35</sup> it is highly unlikely that a single pathogenic ABCA4 variant can cause this phenotype on its own. In analog, several cases with typical STGD1 are to date also genetically still unsolved by failing to detect a second pathogenic ABCA4 variant. However, these second "missing" pathogenic variants are now increasingly being found with recent genetic techniques.<sup>36</sup> We postulate that either a second sequence variant affecting ABCA4 function or other genetic factors that lower the total ABCA4 function are still to be found in our patients with mono-allelic ABCA4 variants. They may be sufficient to cause this distinct phenotype at the mild end of STGD1 and in the disease spectrum of AMD. With regard to these hypotheses, recent studies are of particular interest quantifying normal levels of lipofuscin in parents of patients with STGD1.<sup>37,38</sup> Furthermore, it has also been reported that abca4+/– mice show increased levels of some bis-retinoids.<sup>39</sup> Overall, the pathogenesis of cases with a typical STGD1 phenotype and only one identified pathogenic ABCA4 variant remains controversial. Nonetheless, it appears reasonable to require detection of at least one pathogenic ABCA4 variant in patients with this distinct late-onset phenotype to assign the clinical diagnosis late-onset STGD1.

One may argue about how this distinct late-onset atrophy phenotype associated with ABCA4 should be entitled. In the FAM Study, this phenotype was originally termed "fine granular pattern with peripheral punctate spots" (GPS[+]) and was classified as a subtype of AMD.<sup>11, 12</sup> In two independent approaches, we found an association of this late-onset phenotype with mono- and biallelic ABCA4 variants.<sup>8, 11</sup> Here, we postulate that late-onset STGD1 and GPS[+] describe the same entity. Analyses of ABCA4 gene variants in AMD were not performed in this study. Several earlier works have addressed the issue of ABCA4 in large cohorts from a genetic point of view, giving controversial results.<sup>40–42</sup> A recent study in AMD did not detect increased lipofuscin levels, which should be expected in retinal disease associated with ABCA4.<sup>43</sup> However, further contributing to this controversy will require (1) a clinically well-phenotyped AMD cohort where late-onset STGD1/GPS[+] patients are excluded, (2) a well-matched control cohort, and (3) state-of-the-art genetic approaches to identify relevant variants, which was beyond the scope of this work.

Optical coherence tomography was not included in this study, which may have caused uncertainty to the grading of foveal involvement. In particular, only the definite gradings "involved" versus "not involved" were compared in contrast to other works.<sup>9, 44</sup> Another limitation included the two cohorts being unequal in number of patients; because of the smaller late-onset STGD1 cohort, time-to-event curves were affected more strongly by the potential loss of follow-up here when compared with a dropout of an AMD patient. Yet time-to-event analyses are robust against such dropouts as long as patients who are more likely to suffer the event early during follow-up are not more (or less) likely to drop out. These limitations are balanced by the large cohorts of both AMD and late-onset STGD1 patients who were included into this study and followed during a long period of time.

Differential disease progression between AMD and late-onset STGD1 are particularly important to emerging therapeutic trials. Strategies in multifactorial AMD range from choroidal perfusion enhancers over neuroprotective agents to complement inhibitors.<sup>4</sup>

Preclinical data suggest that complement activation is a final common pathway leading to RPE cell death in both conditions<sup>45, 46</sup>; for AMD, the MAHALO trial also shows therapeutic effects in human.<sup>47</sup> Yet patients with ABCA4-related late-onset STGD1 will rather benefit if earlier and more specific disease processes are targeted, for example, by focusing on the visual cycle or retinal ABCA4-gene delivery (e.g., ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT01367444 and ref.<sup>48</sup>). Therefore, it appears prudent to carefully distinguish between late-onset STGD1 and AMD. Inclusion of late-onset STGD1 patients into interventional AMD trials, and vice versa, would blur the therapeutic effect under observation and potentially lead to a fail in proving efficacy.

Furthermore, although the clinical diagnosis of late-onset STGD1 can be supported by the detection of one disease-causing ABCA4 variant, it would be prudent to require the identification of two disease-causing variants before enrollment in early therapeutic trials involving late-onset STGD1 patients.

In summary, the present analysis reveals distinct progression characteristics in eyes with RPE atrophy associated with AMD and late-onset STGD1. These results underscore the relevance of refined phenotyping to predict the course of disease in a patient presenting with RPE atrophy. The results enable more sophisticated prognosis for the individual patient and should be considered when designing future interventional trials for both.

## References

- 1. Saksens NT, Fleckenstein M, Schmitz-Valckenberg S, et al. Macular dystrophies mimicking age-related macular degeneration. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2014; 39: 23–57.
- Lim LS, Mitchell P, Seddon JM, Holz FG, Wong TY. Age-related macular degeneration. Lancet. 2012; 379: 1728–1738.
- Schmitz-Valckenberg S, Sadda S, Staurenghi G, Chew E, Fleckenstein M, Holz FG. "Geographic atrophy": semantic considerations and literature review. Retina. 2016; 36: 2250–2264.
- 4. Holz FG, Strauss EC, Schmitz-Valckenberg S, van Lookeren Campagne M. Geographic atrophy: clinical features and potential therapeutic approaches. Ophthalmology. 2014; 121: 1079–1091.
- Cideciyan AV, Swider M, Aleman TS, et al. ABCA4 disease progression and a proposed strategy for gene therapy. Hum Mol Genet. 2009; 18: 931–941.
- Sparrow JR, Boulton M. RPE lipofuscin and its role in retinal pathobiology. Exp Eye Res. 2005; 80: 595–606.
- Bergmann M, Schutt F, Holz FG, Kopitz J. Inhibition of the ATP-driven proton pump in RPE lysosomes by the major lipofuscin fluorophore A2-E may contribute to the pathogenesis of age-related macular degeneration. Faseb J. 2004; 18: 562–564.
- Westeneng-van Haaften SC, Boon CJ, Cremers FP, Hoefsloot LH, den Hollander AI, Hoyng CB. Clinical and genetic characteristics of late-onset Stargardt's disease. Ophthalmology. 2012; 119: 1199–1210.
- 9. Schmitz-Valckenberg S, Nadal J, Fimmers R, et al. Modeling visual acuity in geographic atrophy secondary to age-related macular degeneration. Ophthalmologica. 2016; 235: 215–224.
- 10. Lambertus S, Lindner M, Bax NM, et al. Progression of late-onset Stargardt disease. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2016; 57: 5186–5191.
- Holz FG, Bindewald-Wittich A, Fleckenstein M, et al. Progression of geographic atrophy and impact of fundus autofluorescence patterns in age-related macular degeneration. Am J Ophthalmol. 2007; 143: 463–472.
- Fritsche LG, Fleckenstein M, Fiebig BS, et al. A subgroup of age-related macular degeneration is associated with mono-allelic sequence variants in the ABCA4 gene. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2012; 53: 2112–2118.
- Boon CJ, van Schooneveld MJ, den Hollander AI, et al. Mutations in the peripherin/RDS gene are an important cause of multifocal pattern dystrophy simulating STGD1/fundus flavimaculatus. Br J Ophthalmol. 2007; 91: 1504–1511.
- 14. Boon CJ, den Hollander AI, Hoyng CB, Cremers FP, Klevering BJ, Keunen JE. The spectrum of retinal dystrophies caused by mutations in the peripherin/RDS gene. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2008; 27: 213–235.
- 15. van Huet RA, Bax NM, Westeneng-Van Haaften SC, et al. Foveal sparing in Stargardt disease. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2014; 55: 7467–7478.
- Lange C, Feltgen N, Junker B, Schulze-Bonsel K, Bach M. Resolving the clinical acuity categories "hand motion" and "counting fingers" using the Freiburg Visual Acuity Test (FrACT). Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2009; 247: 137–142.
- Schmitz-Valckenberg S, Brinkmann CK, Alten F, et al. Semiautomated image processing method for identification and quantification of geographic atrophy in age-related macular degeneration. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2011; 52: 7640–7646.
- Deckert A, Schmitz-Valckenberg S, Jorzik J, Bindewald A, Holz FG, Mansmann U. Automated analysis of digital fundus autofluorescence images of geographic atrophy in advanced age-related macular degeneration using confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy (cSLO). BMC Ophthalmol. 2005; 5: 8.
- 19. Fleckenstein M, Adrion C, Schmitz-Valckenberg S, et al. Concordance of disease progression in bilateral geographic atrophy due to AMD. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2010; 51: 637–642.
- Lindner M, Boker A, Mauschitz MM, et al. Directional kinetics of geographic atrophy progression in age-related macular degeneration with foveal sparing. Ophthalmology. 2015; 122: 1356–1365.

- Feuer WJ, Yehoshua Z, Gregori G, et al. Square root transformation of geographic atrophy area measurements to eliminate dependence of growth rates on baseline lesion measurements: a reanalysis of age-related eye disease study report no. 26. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2013; 131: 110–111.
- Fleckenstein M, Schmitz-Valckenberg S, Adrion C, et al. Tracking progression with spectral-domain optical coherence tomography in geographic atrophy caused by age-related macular degeneration. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2010; 51: 3846–3852.
- Dreyhaupt J, Mansmann U, Pritsch M, Dolar-Szczasny J, Bindewald A, Holz FG. Modelling the natural history of geographic atrophy in patients with age-related macular degeneration. Ophthalmic Epidemiol. 2005; 12: 353–362.
- 24. Turnbull BW. The empirical distribution function with arbitrary grouped, censored and truncated data. J R Stat Soc Series B Stat Methodol. 1976; 38: 290–295.
- Schmitz-Valckenberg S, Sahel JA, Danis R, et al. Natural history of geographic atrophy progression secondary to age-related macular degeneration (Geographic Atrophy Progression Study). Ophthalmology. 2016; 123: 361–368.
- Yehoshua Z, de Amorim Garcia Filho CA, Nunes RP, et al. Systemic complement inhibition with eculizumab for geographic atrophy in age-related macular degeneration: the COMPLETE study. Ophthalmology. 2014; 121: 693–701.
- 27. McBain VA, Townend J, Lois N. Progression of retinal pigment epithelial atrophy in stargardt disease. Am J Ophthalmol. 2012; 154: 146–154.
- Chen B, Tosha C, Gorin MB, Nusinowitz S. Analysis of autofluorescent retinal images and measurement of atrophic lesion growth in Stargardt disease. Exp Eye Res. 2010; 91: 143–152.
- Fujinami K, Sergouniotis PI, Davidson AE, et al. Clinical and molecular analysis of Stargardt disease with preserved foveal structure and function. Am J Ophthalmol. 2013; 156: 487–501.e1.
- Sunness JS, Rubin GS, Zuckerbrod A, Applegate CA. Foveal-sparing scotomas in advanced dry agerelated macular degeneration. J Vis Impair Blind. 2008; 102: 600–610.
- 31. de Laat P, Smeitink JA, Janssen MC, Keunen JE, Boon CJ. Mitochondrial retinal dystrophy associated with the m.3243A>G mutation. Ophthalmology. 2013; 120: 2684–2696.
- 32. Klevering BJ, Deutman AF, Maugeri A, Cremers FP, Hoyng CB. The spectrum of retinal phenotypes caused by mutations in the ABCA4 gene. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2005; 243: 90–100.
- Schmitz-Valckenberg S, Fleckenstein M, Helb HM, Charbel Issa P, Scholl HP, Holz FG. In vivo imaging of foveal sparing in geographic atrophy secondary to age-related macular degeneration. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2009; 50: 3915–3921.
- Fleckenstein M, Schmitz-Valckenberg S, Adrion C, et al. Progression of age-related geographic atrophy: role of the fellow eye. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2011; 52: 6552–6557.
- 35. Maugeri A, van Driel MA, van de Pol DJ, et al. The 2588G->C mutation in the ABCR gene is a mild frequent founder mutation in the Western European population and allows the classification of ABCR mutations in patients with Stargardt disease. Am J Hum Genet. 1999; 64: 1024–1035.
- 36. Bax NM, Sangermano R, Roosing S, et al. Heterozygous deep-intronic variants and deletions in ABCA4 in persons with retinal dystrophies and one exonic ABCA4 variant. Hum Mutat. 2015; 36: 43–47.
- Delori F, Greenberg JP, Woods RL, et al. Quantitative measurements of autofluorescence with the scanning laser ophthalmoscope. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2011; 52: 9379–9390.
- Duncker T, Stein GE, Lee W, et al. Quantitative fundus autofluorescence and optical coherence tomography in ABCA4 carriers. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2015; 56: 7274–7285.
- Muller PL, Gliem M, Mangold E, et al. Monoallelic ABCA4 mutations appear insufficient to cause retinopathy: a quantitative autofluorescence study. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2015; 56: 8179–8186.
- 40. Allikmets R, Shroyer NF, Singh N, et al. Mutation of the Stargardt disease gene (ABCR) in age-related macular degeneration. Science. 1997; 277: 1805–1807.
- 41. Stone EM, Webster AR, Vandenburgh K, et al. Allelic variation in ABCR associated with Stargardt disease but not age-related macular degeneration. Nat Genet. 1998; 20: 328–329.
- Rivera A, White K, Stohr H, et al. A comprehensive survey of sequence variation in the ABCA4 (ABCR) gene in Stargardt disease and age-related macular degeneration. Am J Hum Genet. 2000; 67: 800– 813.

- Gliem M, Muller PL, Finger RP, McGuinness MB, Holz FG, Charbel Issa P. Quantitative fundus autofluorescence in early and intermediate age-related macular degeneration. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2016; 134: 817–824.
- Sayegh RG, Simader C, Scheschy U, et al. A systematic comparison of spectral-domain optical coherence tomography and fundus autofluorescence in patients with geographic atrophy.Ophthalmology. 2011; 118: 1844–1851.
- 45. Radu RA, Hu J, Jiang Z, Bok D. Bisretinoid-mediated complement activation on retinal pigment epithelial cells is dependent on complement factor H haplotype. J Biol Chem. 2014; 289: 9113–9120.
- Radu RA, Hu J, Yuan Q, et al. Complement system dysregulation and inflammation in the retinal pigment epithelium of a mouse model for Stargardt macular degeneration. J Biol Chem. 2011; 286: 18593–18601.
- 47. Roche. Lampalizumab: Potentially first treatment in geographic atrophy, an advanced form of dry AMD.Investor Update. Basel and London: Roche; 2013.
- Charbel Issa P, Barnard AR, Herrmann P, Washington I, MacLaren RE. Rescue of the Stargardt phenotype in Abca4 knockout mice through inhibition of vitamin A dimerization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015; 112: 8415–8420.

Differential disease progression in atrophic AMD and late-onset STGD1 · 90



CHAPTER 4 Measuring progression in Stargardt disease "Asymmetric inter-eye progression in Stargardt disease is most likely observed in patients with a later onset and patients carrying lower pathogenic ABCA4 combinations. This needs to be considered in novel therapeutic trials with a fellow-eye paired controlled design to optimize the power of a study."

#### **Stanley Lambertus**

Nathalie M. Bax Joannes M.M. Groenewoud Frans P.M. Cremers Gert Jan van der Wilt B. Jeroen Klevering Thomas Theelen Carel B. Hoyng

Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2016 Dec 1;57(15):6824-6830.

The authors thank the Diagnostic Image Analysis Group, Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Radboud university medical center (Nijmegen, the Netherlands) for providing the image analysis algorithm.

# 4.1 Asymmetric inter-eye progression in Stargardt disease

**PURPOSE**: Asymmetry in disease progression between left and right eyes can occur in Stargardt disease (STGD1) and this needs to be considered in novel therapeutic trials with a fellow-eye paired controlled design. This study investigated the inter-eye discordance of best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and progression of retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) atrophy in STGD1.

**METHODS**: We performed a retrospective cohort study collecting 68 STGD1 patients (136 eyes) with  $\geq$ 1 ABCA4 variants and  $\geq$ 0.5 year follow-up on BCVA and fundus auto-fluorescence. We compared inter-eye correlations of RPE atrophy progression between early-onset ( $\leq$ 10 years), intermediate-onset (11–44), and late-onset ( $\geq$ 45) STGD1 and ABCA4 variant combinations by  $\chi^2$ -tests. We identified associations of discordant baseline BCVA and RPE atrophy with discordant RPE atrophy progression by odds ratios (OR). We defined discordance by differences >1.5 interquartile ranges ± first/ third interquartiles.

**RESULTS**: Progression of RPE atrophy correlated moderately between eyes ( $\rho = 0.766$ ), which decreased with later onset ( $P = 9.8 \times 10^{-7}$ ) and lower pathogenicity of ABCA4 combinations (P = 0.007). Twelve patients (17.6%) had discordant inter-eye RPE atrophy progression, associated with baseline discordance of RPE atrophy (OR, 6.50 [1.35 –31.34]), but not BCVA (OR, 0.33 [0.04–2.85]).

**CONCLUSIONS:** Lower inter-eye correlations are more likely found in late-onset STGD1 and patients carrying low pathogenic ABCA4 combinations. To achieve the highest power in a therapeutic trial, early-phase studies should minimize inter-eye discordance by selecting early-onset STGD1 patients carrying severe ABCA4 variants without evidence of asymmetry at baseline.

## Introduction

Stargardt disease (STGD1) is one of the most common retinal dystrophies. Loss of macular function causes bilateral loss of visual acuity—usually at childhood.<sup>1, 2</sup> The first manifestations of the disease may also occur in older patients, up to the seventh decade.<sup>3, 4</sup> In general, the severity of the disease is associated with the age of onset: young patients tend to do worse.<sup>5</sup> The variation in age of onset and rate of progression is, for the most part, the result of combinations of over 900 variants in the ABCA4 gene.<sup>6</sup>

ABCA4 encodes the adenosine triphosphate-binding cassette, subfamily A, member 4 transporter protein, which actively removes all-trans-retinal with its conjugate N-retinylidene-phosphatidylethanolamine from the photoreceptor outer segment disks.<sup>7</sup> Impaired removal results in condensation reactions, which lead to toxic levels of bisretinoids in the outer segment disks. Through phagocytosis of these outer segments, bisretinoids accumulate as lipofuscin deposits in the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE). These lipofuscin deposits are observed as yellowish-white flecks in the posterior pole.<sup>8</sup> The accumulation of toxic lipofuscin eventually leads to atrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and photoreceptors with subsequent loss of the neurosensory retina and choriocapillaris.<sup>9</sup>

Over time, RPE atrophy progresses, as uni- or multifocal areas enlarge and coalesce. However, there is considerable variability in these patterns of atrophy; they range from large central atrophic areas in early-onset STGD1 patients that can be seen at adolescence<sup>2</sup> to relatively small atrophic lesions encircling the fovea in older patients with late-onset STGD1.<sup>3</sup> The difference in atrophic lesion not only varies between patients,<sup>10</sup> but also the patterns of RPE loss may differ significantly between eyes of one patient. Even though the extent of abnormalities is often similar between left and right eyes,<sup>11, 12</sup> some cases with remarkable differences have been described.<sup>13</sup>

Profound inter-eye differences in disease progression have impact on the statistical power in clinical trials; treated and untreated eyes must demonstrate a larger difference than do the inter-eye differences by their natural course. Otherwise, the required sample size will be unreasonably large. However, in early-phase clinical trials for novel treatments of STGD1<sup>14-16</sup> and other retinal dystrophies, small therapeutic effects have to be evaluated generally within two years with no more than a few dozen patients. Thus, better knowledge of inter-eye correlations is needed for fellow-eye paired controlled trials in which the untreated eyes of participants serve as a control.
In view of these upcoming interventional trials, we have studied the extent of asymmetric inter-eye progression of RPE atrophy in patients with STGD1. Fundus autofluorescence (FAF) imaging is a valuable tool to evaluate progression of RPE atrophy over time.<sup>17-20</sup> We therefore analyzed inter-eye discordance of RPE atrophy progression using FAF imaging along with visual acuity. We hypothesized that a later disease onset and less pathogenic combinations of ABCA4 variants contribute to asymmetric inter-eye progression, and that the asymmetry will increase when asymmetry at baseline is already present.

### Methods

### Patient selection

We selected patients from the STGD1 database, containing 454 cases, of the Department of Ophthalmology at Radboud university medical center (Nijmegen, the Netherlands). We included patients in whom the clinical diagnosis of STGD1 was supported by the presence of  $\geq 1$  (likely) pathogenic ABCA4 variants with a follow-up data of  $\geq 6$  months on FAF imaging. Ninety-three STGD1 patients met these inclusion criteria.

Ten cases were excluded because no RPE atrophy had developed during the entire follow-up time. Nine cases displayed RPE atrophy to such a degree that the lesions extended beyond the limits of the FAF image. One case was excluded because choroidal neovascularization occurred in one eye. Five cases were excluded because they participated in an interventional trial.<sup>21</sup> The remaining 68 cases were included in this study. The patient inclusion process is shown in Supplemental Figure 1. This retrospective cohort study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee and was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

### Measurements

We documented sex, age at onset, age at baseline, and follow-up time. Age at onset was defined as either the age at which visual complaints were first noted, or, if unavailable, the age when the diagnosis was made. Disease onset groups were based on previously reported cut-off points: early-onset STGD1,  $\leq$ 10 years<sup>2</sup> and late-onset STGD1,  $\geq$ 45 years.<sup>3</sup> The remaining patients were grouped as intermediate-onset STGD1, 11 to 44 years. The age at baseline was the first visit with available imaging and visual acuity tests.

Best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was measured with a Snellen or Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study chart, then transformed into the logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) for analysis. Fundus autofluorescence ( $\lambda$  = 488 nm, emission 500–700 nm) imaging was performed using a confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscope (Spectralis HRA+OCT; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany). The field of view was set at 30°×30° or 55°×55° and was centered on the macula.<sup>22</sup>

### Image quantification

The total RPE atrophy area was automatically quantified in FAF images by an observer-independent image analysis algorithm. The algorithm automatically segmented the area starting from an arbitrarily selected seed point inside the atrophic area. This method was based on a combination of a region growing algorithm and a dynamic, userindependent threshold selection procedure using Otsu thresholding. Areas were squareroot ( $\sqrt{}$ ) transformed to correct for baseline RPE atrophy area.<sup>23</sup> A good agreement has previously been observed between manual area measurements and the automatically quantified values (C.I. Sanchez et al. IOVS 2015;56:ARVO E-Abstract 5258), and was found to be consistent with the agreement within this cohort (intra-class correlation coefficient, 0.977; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.951–0.987).

### Genetic analysis

All reported ABCA4 variants (Supplemental Table 1) were classified as follows: 1) pathogenic: truncating alleles, significantly enriched in ABCA4-LOVD (LOVD. nl/ABCA4), which contains 6903 variants (861 unique variants) reported in 3987 persons with STGD1 or autosomal recessive cone-rod dystrophy (S.S. Cornelis and F.P.M. Cremers, unpublished data, 2016); 2) likely pathogenic: non-truncating alleles, significantly enriched in ABCA4-LOVD; 3) likely benign: allele frequency (AF) ABCA4-LOVD/AF ExAC non-Finnish Caucasian <1; 4) benign: ExAC AF >0.006; 5) unknown pathogenicity: AF ABCA4-LOVD/AF ExAC non-Finnish Caucasian >1, however not significantly enriched. Patients were then grouped by combinations of ABCA4 pathogenicity: 1) pathogenic, 2) pathogenic/likely pathogenic, 3) likely pathogenic/likely pathogenic, 4) pathogenic in combination with unknown pathogenicity, (likely) benign, or a variant that was not published until 31 December 2015, 5) likely pathogenic in combination with unknown pathogenic (S.S. Cornelis and F.P.M. Cremers, unpublished data, 2016).

### Statistical analysis

We analyzed BCVA and RPE atrophy measurements using SPSS version 22 (IBM Corp., IBM SPSS Statistics, Chicago, IL, USA) with parametric tests. Retinal pigment atrophy progression rates were calculated by the difference at the baseline and last follow-up visit divided by the follow-up time. Differences in disease duration and follow-up time between disease onset groups were analyzed with the Kruskal-Wallis test.

We used Pearson's correlation coefficients ( $\rho$ ) to assess inter-eye correlations of baseline BCVA, baseline RPE atrophy, and RPE atrophy progression. We compared these correlations between disease-onset groups and ABCA4 variant combination groups by first performing a Fisher transformation:

$$z' = \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}$$

Then, these z'-scores were compared for homogeneity. The test for homogeneity employs the  $\chi^2$ -distribution for two and four degrees of freedom:

$$\chi^{2} = \sum (n_{i} - 3) (z'_{i}^{2}) - \frac{[\sum (n_{i} - 3) (z'_{i})]^{2}}{n_{i}}$$

where the summation is over the three disease-onset groups and five ABCA4 variant combination groups, respectively.<sup>24</sup>

Baseline BCVA and RPE atrophy differences between measurements and the average measurements of both eyes were calculated and plotted according to the method of Bland and Altman to assess the intra-individual agreement graphically.<sup>25</sup> Limits of agreement between eyes were plotted for each disease-onset group.

Lin's concordance correlation coefficient  $\rho_c$  was calculated to evaluate the extent of inter-eye symmetry of baseline BCVA, baseline RPE atrophy, and RPE atrophy progression.<sup>26-28</sup> The  $\rho_c$  consists of the product of a precision coefficient (Pearson's  $\rho$ ) and an accuracy coefficient  $\chi_a$ . The accuracy coefficient  $\chi_a$  indicates how far the best-fit line of all paired left- and right-eye measurements deviates from the 45° line on a square scatter plot, and is defined as  $\frac{2}{\varpi + 1/\varpi + \nu^2}$ , where scale shift  $\varpi = \frac{\sigma_{OD}}{\sigma_{OS}}$  and location shift relative to the scale  $\nu = \frac{\mu_{OD} - \mu_{OS}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{OD} \sigma_{OS}}}$  (OD, right eye; OS, left eye).<sup>29</sup> If all paired measurements exactly lie on the 45° line, a coefficient of 1 would be found, indicating perfect symmetry. Strength-of-agreement criteria were as follows: almost perfect, >0.90; substantial, 0.80–0.90; moderate, 0.65–0.80; poor, <0.65.<sup>30</sup>

As standard deviations can be affected by extreme differences and rely on distributional assumptions, box-and-whisker plots were used to identify outliers by differences >1.5 interquartile ranges (IQR) below the first ( $Q_1$ ) or above the third ( $Q_3$ ) interquartiles in baseline BCVA, baseline RPE atrophy, and RPE atrophy progression. Odds ratios were calculated to identify associations of discordant BCVA and RPE atrophy at baseline with RPE atrophy progression.

We performed sample size calculations and a sensitivity analysis for a theoretical intervention using nQuery Advisor 7.0 (Statistical Solutions, Boston, MA). We used

a two-sided paired t-test for differences in means of RPE atrophy progression with a test significance level ( $\alpha$ ) of 0.05 and a power of  $(1 - \beta)$  of 0.80. Standard deviations of differences between RPE atrophy progression of treated and nontreated eyes were obtained from the standard deviations and correlations of left and right eyes using the formula:  $\sigma_d = \sqrt{(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2)}$ .

### **Results I: Patient characteristics**

A total of 68 patients (136 eyes) were included in this study (26 men, and 42 women). The median age at onset was 8.5 (range, 4–10), 20 (range, 11–42), and 50 (range, 45–69) years for 14 early-onset STGD1, 33 intermediate-onset STGD1, and 21 late-onset STGD1 patients, respectively. At baseline, the median disease duration was 5 years (range, 0–39). The distribution did not differ between the three disease-onset categories. Details of patient characteristics and inter-eye correlations at baseline and follow-up are depicted in Table 1.

### Baseline inter-eye correlations of best-corrected visual acuity

At baseline, the mean ( $\pm$  standard deviation) BCVA in the right and left eyes was 0.66  $\pm$  0.51 logMAR and 0.72  $\pm$  0.53 logMAR, respectively. Twenty-four right eyes and 23 left eyes were identified as the better seeing eye; 21 pairs of eyes had equal BCVA. The overall inter-eye correlation ( $\rho$ ) in BCVA at baseline was 0.756 and did not differ between disease onset groups, although a trend of decreasing correlations at later disease onset was also observed at follow-up.

Next, we compared the average and differences of baseline BCVA between the left and right eyes by a Bland-Altman plot (Supplemental Figure 2A), revealing discordance up to 1.70 logMAR. This discordance was most pronounced in 13 patients (two early-onset STGD1, seven intermediate-onset STGD1, and four late-onset STGD1), as defined by outlying differences below  $Q_1 - 1.5 \times IQR$  or above  $Q_3 + 1.5 \times IQR$  (Supplemental Figure 2B). Overall, this resulted in an inter-eye agreement ( $\rho_c$ ) in baseline BCVA of 0.751 (95% confidence interval (CI), 0.626–0.838).

### Baseline inter-eye correlations of retinal pigment epithelium atrophy

At baseline, the mean  $\sqrt{\text{RPE}}$  atrophy area in the right and left eyes was  $1.56 \pm 1.36 \text{ mm}$ and  $1.45 \pm 1.36 \text{ mm}$ , respectively. Twenty-nine right eyes and 34 left eyes had the smallest RPE atrophy area; 5 pairs of eyes had no RPE atrophy. Although baseline RPE atrophy between the left and right eyes was highly correlated ( $\rho = 0.878$ ), the correlation was significantly decreased in late-onset STGD1 patients.

Patient characteristics	Early-onset STGD1	Intermediate-onset STGD1	Late-onset STGD1	P value
Sex	7 male 7 female	9 male 24 female	10 male 11 female	
Age at onset, years (median and range)	8.5 (4-10)	20 (11-42)	50 (45–69)	
Baseline				
Disease duration, years (median and range)	7 (0–27)	4 (0–39)	5 (0–26)	0.768*
Age, years (median and range)	14.5 (9–31)	36 (13-56)	59 (45–81)	
BCVA, logMAR (mean and SD)				0.419†
OD	1.08 ± 0.41	0.67 ± 0.50	0.36 ± 0.39	
OS	1.09 ± 0.28	0.77 ± 0.49	0.40 ± 0.55	
Correlation, $\rho$	0.830	0.723	0.619	
√ RPE atrophy, mm (mean and SD)				5.5 × 10 <sup>-8†</sup>
OD	1.79 ± 1.29	1.31 ± 1.52	1.79 ± 1.10	
OS	1.76 ± 1.27	1.28 ± 1.58	1.51 ± 1.03	
Correlation, $\rho$	0.992	0.931	0.601	
Follow-up				
Follow-up time, years (median and range)	5.7 (1.1-9.7)	3.1 (0.9–9.5)	3.8 (0.5-7.6)	0.220*
Age, years (median and range)	21 (11–36)	33 (15-59)	64 (47-86)	
BCVA, logMAR (mean and SD)				0.0497†
OD	1.23 ± 0.29	0.93 ± 0.44	0.63 ± 0.60	
OS	1.23 ± 0.29	0.88 ± 0.52	0.54 ± 0.60	
Correlation, $\rho$	0.897	0.767	0.527	
√ RPE atrophy, mm (mean and SD)				6.1 × 10 <sup>-4†</sup>
OD	2.73 ± 1.23	1.89 ± 1.69	2.29 ± 1.55	
os	2.77 ± 1.26	1.86 ± 1.62	2.17 ± 1.49	
Correlation, $\rho$	0.974	0.938	0.670	

Table 1. Characteristics and inter-eye correlations at baseline and at follow-up in 68 Stargardt patients. Median and ranges are shown for time variables. Mean and standard deviations (SD) are shown for best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and √ RPE atrophy.

\* Kruskal-Wallis test for differences in disease duration and follow-up.

 $^{\dagger}\chi^2$  with two degrees of freedom for differences in inter-eye correlations.

The Bland-Altman plot (Supplemental Figure 3A) showed inter-eye differences up to 2.49 mm of baseline  $\sqrt{\text{RPE}}$  atrophy area. An inter-eye difference below  $Q_1 - 1.5 \times \text{IQR}$  or above  $Q_3 + 1.5 \times \text{IQR}$  (Supplemental Figure 3B), that is, discordant RPE atrophy at baseline, was identified in eight patients (intermediate-onset STGD1, four patients; late-onset STGD1, four patients). The overall inter-eye agreement ( $\rho_c$ ) of baseline RPE atrophy was 0.876 (95% CI, 0.806–0.921).

# Results II: Discordance of RPE atrophy progression rates

Inter-eye correlations of retinal pigment epithelium atrophy progression The median follow-up time was 3.9 years (range, 0.5–9.7), of which the distribution did not differ between all disease-onset categories. The mean  $\sqrt{\text{RPE}}$  atrophy progression rates in the right and left eyes were 0.21 ± 0.20 mm/year and 0.20 ± 0.21 mm/year, respectively. Thirty-five right eyes and 31 left eyes were identified as the eye with the



Figure 1. A square scatter plot of inter-eye  $\sqrt{\text{RPE}}$ progression. Circles are patients with differences in baseline  $\sqrt{\text{RPE}}$  atrophy between their eyes within  $Q_{1,3} \pm 1.5 \times \text{IQR}$ . Triangles are patients who fall outside the  $Q_{1,3} \pm 1.5 \times \text{IQR}$  for differences in baseline  $\sqrt{\text{RPE}}$  atrophy. Dashed line: 45° line of perfect agreement.  $Q_1$ , first interquartile:  $Q_3$ , third interquartile; IQR, interquartile range.

slowest progression rate. Two pairs of eyes showed no progression in 3.0 and 3.4 years, respectively. The progression rates of  $\sqrt{\text{RPE}}$  atrophy correlated between the left and right eyes with  $\rho = 0.766$ , and increased with earlier disease-onset groups (P =  $9.8 \times 10^{-7}$ ). Evaluating the inter-eye agreement in progression of RPE atrophy, the agreement between the eyes was  $\rho_c = 0.765$  (95% CI, 0.645–0.847) (Figure 1).

# Discordant progression rates of RPE atrophy

Twelve out of 68 patients (early-onset STGD1, two patients (14%); intermediateonset STGD1, four patients (12%); late-onset STGD1, six patients (29%)) had discordant RPE atrophy progression

rates between their eyes by differences below  $Q_1 - 1.5 \times IQR$  or above  $Q_3 + 1.5 \times IQR$ . In four of these patients, the eye with the largest RPE atrophy area progressed faster than the other eye, thus increasing inter-eye discordance of RPE atrophy over time (mean difference, 0.26 ± 0.10 mm/year; Figure 2A). In the other eight patients, the eye with the smallest RPE atrophy area progressed faster than the other eye, therefore reducing inter-eye discordance of RPE atrophy (mean difference, 0.30 ± 0.12 mm/year; Figure 2B). The remaining 56 patients had similar progression rates between their eyes (mean difference, 0.05 ± 0.04 mm/year, within  $Q_{1,3} \pm 1.5 \times IQR$ ; Figure 2C).

Baseline RPE atrophy and genetic associations with discordant RPE atrophy progression Discordant RPE atrophy at baseline was associated with discordant RPE atrophy progression (odds ratio, 6.50; 95% CI, 1.35–31.34); this association was not found for discordant BCVA at baseline (odds ratio, 0.33 (95% CI, 0.04–2.85).

Furthermore, decreasing pathogenicity of ABCA4 variant combinations were significantly associated with increasing discordant inter-eye progression (P = 0.007). Proportions and correlations are depicted in Table 2.



**Figure 2.** Concordant and discordant inter-eye progression in Stargardt disease. (A) 60-year old female with a disease duration of 1 year and increasing inter-eye differences. *ABCA4* variants: c.5461-10T>C:p.[Thr1821Valfs\*13,Thr1821Aspfs\*6]/c.2757A>C:p.(Glu919Asp). (B) Eighty-one-year old female with a disease duration of 26 years and decreasing inter-eye differences. *ABCA4* variants: c.5196+1C>T:p.(?)/+. (C) Twenty-six-year old female with a disease duration of 6 years and similar progression rates between eyes. *ABCA4* variants: c.1853C>A;4297C>A:p.(Gly618Glu:Val14331le)/c.2588G>C:p.[Gly863Ala;Gly863del].

ABCA4 variant combination	RPE Atrophy Progression			
	No discordance	Discordant	Inter-eye correlation (Pearson's p)	
1. Pathogenic/pathogenic	3	1	0.995	
2. Pathogenic/likely pathogenic	21	4	0.790	
3. Likely pathogenic/likely pathogenic	9	0	0.597	
4. Pathogenic/*	12	3	0.079	
5. Likely pathogenic/*	11	4	0.346	

Table 2. Proportions of combinations of ABCA4 variants and discordant RPE atrophy progression.\* The second ABCA4 variant was likely benign, of unknown pathogenicity, or not found.

# Results III: Power calculations of a theoretical intervention trial

The power of a paired-control intervention trial will depend on the strength of correlations between pairs, that is, the left and right eye of each patient, and the expected treatment effect. For each two-fold increase in expected treatment effect, approximately a four-fold decrease in patient numbers is needed for a study at equal power. Stronger inter-eye correlations will have a linear beneficial effect on the number needed to include. The impact on these numbers is highest when a relatively modest treatment effect is expected. For instance, a correlation of  $\rho = 0.766$  would require 44 patients, whereas this number is reduced to 20 in the case of a correlation of  $\rho = 0.9$ (Figure 3). The specific inclusion criteria, for example, disease onset, ABCA4 variant combinations, and baseline discordance can significantly affect the number needed to include.



## Discussion

Based on longitudinal FAF data in our current study, the overall agreement of inter-eye RPE atrophy progression in STGD1 was Figure 3. A sensitivity analysis for the power calculation of a theoretical intervention trial. Assuming a 30% reduction in disease progression (treatment effect). 44 patients are needed (inter-eye correlation  $\rho = 0.766$ ;  $\rho = 0.20$ ,  $\rho = 0.05$ , two-sided paired samples t-test). The sample size will decrease to 20 in the case of an inter-eye correlation of 0.9. In the case of a correlation in disease progression of 1, 0.8, 0.6, 0.4, 0.2, and 0 between left and right eyes, 3, 38, 73, 108, 143, or 178 patients are needed, respectively.

only moderate; it was highest in early-onset STGD1 and lowest in late-onset STGD1. Discordant progression rates were found in 12 out of 68 (17.6%) patients—which is surprisingly high—given that STGD1 is assumed to be a symmetrical inherited retinal disease. Discordant progression rates resulted in eyes either converging or diverging in atrophy size. Discrepancies of RPE atrophy progression rates were associated with discrepancies of RPE atrophy at baseline and less pathogenic ABCA4 variant combinations.

Autosomal retinal dystrophies are expected to be symmetrical owing to the similar genetic and environmental background of both eyes. The majority of STGD1 patients exhibit bilateral symmetry in retinal features. Chen et al. illustrated this symmetry in left and right eyes of 24 STGD1 patients; they had highly correlated areas of RPE atrophy (Pearson's  $\rho = 0.998$ ).<sup>12</sup> McBain et al. studied the atrophy progression rates in 12 STGD1 patients, reporting a strong inter-eye correlation (Spearman's  $\rho = 0.846$ ).<sup>11</sup> In our cohort, these inter-eye correlations of RPE atrophy were substantially lower, but they can be explained by inclusion of late-onset STGD1 patients, which decreased the overall correlation. Inter-eye correlations of late-onset STGD1 were previously estimated to be moderate ( $\rho = 0.52$ ).<sup>20</sup> When late-onset STGD1 patients are excluded, our inter-eye correlations were similar to those reported by others.

Previous studies were limited by standard analyses of correlations, which cannot address the absolute symmetry within a patient. In contrast, we used Lin's concordance correlation coefficients and descriptive Bland-Altman plots, which are more appropriate and previously described in age-related macular degeneration.<sup>27</sup> In addition, there is an inherent increase in variability of inter-eye differences when the magnitude of atrophic areas increases. We corrected this by expressing the inter-eye differences as the square root, thus the differences were proportional to the magnitude of measurements. Moreover, square-root transformation corrected baseline dependence, which was reported previously with an average atrophy enlargement increase of 0.016 mm<sup>2</sup>/year for each month of follow-up.<sup>10</sup>

Although we found that progression rates for atrophy are more likely to be discordant in eyes that differ more at baseline, progression rates are rather unpredictable between patients and between eyes within a patient. McBain et al. suggested that electroretinography could predict the rate of atrophy progression, but they did not account for baseline atrophy size. It would be interesting if discordance in electroretinography between eyes of a patient would also predict inter-eye differences in progression, independent of their baseline atrophy size.<sup>10</sup> Burke et al. indicated that changes on optical coherence tomography would precede RPE atrophy and may thus predict the rates of atrophy progression.<sup>31</sup> These potential predictors for disease progression need to be addressed in future work.

The lower inter-eye correlations in older patients could be explained by the increased time within which stochastic factors, for example, small initial differences leading to significant differences later, can influence phenotypic expression. Differences in ABCA4 variant expression may alter disease severity between eyes with mild variants, which can have a slightly different pathogenicity. In contrast, differences in expression would not influence progression speed much in severe ABCA4 combinations as both variants would cause an equally severe phenotype.

The statistical power of a randomized controlled trial increases when differences are measured between correlated left and right eyes. To this extent, we recommend a fellow-eye paired trial design for retinal dystrophies, for example, as applied in a phase II gene therapy trial for choroideremia with 30 patients enrolled (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT02407678). To achieve 80% statistical power in this trial, an effect size of at least 0.53 is required.<sup>32</sup> For the same expected effect size, a patient-controlled trial would need 58 patients in each arm.<sup>33</sup> Even in multicenter trials, such high sample sizes are difficult to obtain in rare retinal dystrophies. However, it is important to bear in mind that a fellow-eye paired control is impossible for pharmaceutical strategies in which both eyes of a patient are being treated (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT02402660). Furthermore, it is

preferred that an effect on relatively slow retinal degeneration is detected to identify a potential long-term benefit rather than a temporary gain of visual function.<sup>34</sup>

A therapeutic trial will gain a major advantage from a fellow-eye control in early-onset STGD1 because of their high inter-eye correlations. However, discordance was also present in some of these younger patients. As the progression can be better predicted in similar rather than in discordant eyes, retinal asymmetry needs to be considered as an exclusion criterion for small early-phase trials. Such stringent criteria will increase the chance of detecting efficacy with fewer patients. Criteria would include early-onset STGD1 patients with concordant retinal abnormalities between their eyes carrying severe ABCA4 variants; not only do these patients have the highest inter-eye symmetry in disease progression, but they are also expected to have the most severe disease course.<sup>1, 2</sup> In these patients, therapy will potentially provide the most benefit, and in these patients, its effect has the highest chance to be detected.

## References

- Fujinami K, Zernant J, Chana RK, et al. Clinical and molecular characteristics of childhood-onset Stargardt disease. Ophthalmology 2015;122(2):326-34.
- Lambertus S, van Huet RA, Bax NM, et al. Early-onset stargardt disease: phenotypic and genotypic characteristics. Ophthalmology 2015;122(2):335-44.
- Westeneng-van Haaften SC, Boon CJ, Cremers FP, et al. Clinical and genetic characteristics of lateonset Stargardt's disease. Ophthalmology 2012;119(6):1199-210.
- Yatsenko AN, Shroyer NF, Lewis RA, Lupski JR. Late-onset Stargardt disease is associated with missense mutations that map outside known functional regions of ABCR (ABCA4). Hum Genet 2001;108(4):346-55.
- Rotenstreich Y, Fishman GA, Anderson RJ. Visual acuity loss and clinical observations in a large series of patients with Stargardt disease. Ophthalmology 2003;110(6):1151-8.
- 6. Allikmets R, Singh N, Sun H, et al. A photoreceptor cell-specific ATP-binding transporter gene (ABCR) is mutated in recessive Stargardt macular dystrophy. Nat Genet 1997;15(3):236-46.
- Weng J, Mata NL, Azarian SM, et al. Insights into the function of Rim protein in photoreceptors and etiology of Stargardt's disease from the phenotype in *abcr* knockout mice. Cell 1999;98(1):13-23.
- Stargardt K. Über familiäre, progressive degeneration in der makulagegend des auges. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 1909;71:534-50.
- Armstrong JD, Meyer D, Xu S, Elfervig JL. Long-term follow-up of Stargardt's disease and fundus flavimaculatus. Ophthalmology 1998;105(3):448-57; discussion 57-8.
- 10. Fishman GA, Stone EM, Grover S, et al. Variation of clinical expression in patients with Stargardt dystrophy and sequence variations in the ABCR gene. Arch Ophthalmol 1999;117(4):504-10.
- 11. McBain VA, Townend J, Lois N. Progression of retinal pigment epithelial atrophy in stargardt disease. Am J Ophthalmol 2012;154(1):146-54.
- 12. Chen B, Tosha C, Gorin MB, Nusinowitz S. Analysis of autofluorescent retinal images and measurement of atrophic lesion growth in Stargardt disease. Exp Eye Res 2010;91(2):143-52.
- 13. Fujinami K, Sergouniotis PI, Davidson AE, et al. Clinical and molecular analysis of Stargardt disease with preserved foveal structure and function. Am J Ophthalmol 2013;156(3):487-501.e1.
- 14. Han Z, Conley SM, Naash MI. Gene therapy for Stargardt disease associated with ABCA4 gene. Adv Exp Med Biol 2014;801:719-24.
- Schwartz SD, Hubschman JP, Heilwell G, et al. Embryonic stem cell trials for macular degeneration: a preliminary report. Lancet 2012;379(9817):713-20.
- 16. Charbel Issa P, Barnard AR, Herrmann P, et al. Rescue of the Stargardt phenotype in Abca4 knockout mice through inhibition of vitamin A dimerization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015;112(27):8415-20.
- 17. Cukras CA, Wong WT, Caruso R, et al. Centrifugal expansion of fundus autofluorescence patterns in Stargardt disease over time. Arch Ophthalmol 2012;130(2):171-9.
- Fujinami K, Lois N, Mukherjee R, et al. A longitudinal study of Stargardt disease: quantitative assessment of fundus autofluorescence, progression, and genotype correlations. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2013;54(13):8181-90.
- Lois N, Halfyard AS, Bird AC, et al. Fundus autofluorescence in Stargardt macular dystrophy-fundus flavimaculatus. Am J Ophthalmol 2004;138(1):55-63.
- 20. Lambertus S, Lindner M, Bax NM, et al. Progression of Late-Onset Stargardt Disease. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2016;57(13):5186-91.
- Teussink MM, Lee MD, Smith RT, et al. The effect of light deprivation in patients with Stargardt disease. Am J Ophthalmol 2015;159(5):964-72.e2.
- 22. Boon CJ, Jeroen Klevering B, Keunen JE, et al. Fundus autofluorescence imaging of retinal dystrophies. Vision Res 2008;48(26):2569-77.
- 23. Feuer WJ, Yehoshua Z, Gregori G, et al. Square root transformation of geographic atrophy area measurements to eliminate dependence of growth rates on baseline lesion measurements: a reanalysis of age-related eye disease study report no. 26. JAMA Ophthalmol 2013;131(1):110-1.

- Cohen J, & Cohen, P. Chapter: 2.8.3 Fisher's z' Transformation and Comparisons between independent rs. Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences, Second edition ed. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum1983.
- 25. Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical Methods for Assessing Agreement between Two Methods of Clinical Measurement. Lancet 1986;1(8476):307-10.
- 26. Lin Ll. A Concordance Correlation-Coefficient to Evaluate Reproducibility. Biometrics 1989;45(1):255-68.
- 27. Fleckenstein M, Adrion C, Schmitz-Valckenberg S, et al. Concordance of disease progression in bilateral geographic atrophy due to AMD. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2010;51(2):637-42.
- Lin L, Hedayat AS, Sinha B, Yang M. Statistical methods in assessing agreement: Models, issues, and tools. Journal of the American Statistical Association 2002;97(457):257-70.
- 29. Lin LI. A note on the concordance correlation coefficient. Biometrics 2000;56:324-5.
- McBride GB. A proposal for strength-of-agreement criteria for Lin's Concordance Correlation Coefficient. NIWA Client Report: HAM2005-06. 2005.
- Burke TR, Rhee DW, Smith RT, et al. Quantification of peripapillary sparing and macular involvement in Stargardt disease (STGD1). Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2011;52(11):8006-15.
- O'Brien RG, Muller KE. Unified Power Analysis for t-Tests Through Multivariate Hypotheses. In: Edwards LK, ed. Applied Analysis of Variance in Behavioral Science. New York: Marcel Dekker, 1993.
- Dixon WJ, Massey FJJ. Introduction to Statistical Analysis, Fourth Edition ed. New York: McGraw Hill, 1983.
- Cideciyan AV, Jacobson SG, Beltran WA, et al. Human retinal gene therapy for Leber congenital amaurosis shows advancing retinal degeneration despite enduring visual improvement. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013;110(6):E517-25.

"Weighted composite endpoints can be powerful in the evaluation of the efficacy of new treatment modalities with small cohorts in a timely fashion. Potentially, it can reduce costs and duration of pivotal clinical trials and can also reduce beta errors in data analysis, thereby hopefully facilitating effective treatments being identified more readily and rapidly for patients with rare diseases."

#### **Stanley Lambertus**

Nathalie M. Bax Ana Fakin Joannes M.M. Groenewoud B. Jeroen Klevering Anthony T. Moore Michel Michaelides Andrew R. Webster Gert Jan van der Wilt Carel B. Hoyng

PLoS One. 2017 Mar 29;12(3):e0174020.

We thank Frans Cremers and John (Pei-Wen) Chiang for performing the genetic analysis; and Willem Woertman and Jelle Goeman for their fruitful discussions that helped to develop the methods used in this study. 4.2 Highly sensitive measurements of disease progression in rare disorders: developing and validating a multimodal model of retinal degeneration in Stargardt disease **BACKCROUND**: Each inherited retinal disorder is rare, but together, they affect millions of people worldwide. No treatment is currently available for these blinding diseases, but promising new options—including gene therapy—are emerging. Arguably, the most prevalent retinal dystrophy is Stargardt disease. In each case, the specific combination of ABCA4 variants (>900 identified to date) and modifying factors is virtually unique. It accounts for the vast phenotypic heterogeneity including variable rates of functional and structural progression, thereby potentially limiting the ability of phase I/II clinical trials to assess efficacy of novel therapies with few patients. To accommodate this problem, we developed and validated a sensitive and reliable composite clinical trial endpoint for disease progression based on structural measurements of retinal degeneration.

**METHODS AND FINDINGS**: We used longitudinal data from early-onset Stargardt patients from the Netherlands (development cohort, n = 14) and the United Kingdom (external validation cohort, n = 18). The composite endpoint was derived from best-corrected visual acuity, fundus autofluorescence, and spectral-domain optical coherence tomography. Weighting optimization techniques excluded visual acuity from the composite endpoint. After optimization, the endpoint outperformed each univariable outcome, and showed an average progression of 0.41° retinal eccentricity per year (95% confidence interval, 0.30–0.52). Comparing with actual longitudinal values, the model accurately predicted progression (R<sup>2</sup>, 0.904). These properties were largely preserved in the validation cohort (0.43°/year [0.33–0.53]; prediction R<sup>2</sup>, 0.872). We subsequently ran a two-year trial simulation with the composite endpoint, which detected a 25% decrease in disease progression with 80% statistical power using only 14 patients.

**CONCLUSIONS**: These results suggest that a multimodal endpoint, reflecting structural macular changes, provides a sensitive measurement of disease progression in Stargardt disease. It can be very useful in the evaluation of novel therapeutic modalities in rare disorders.

A multimodal model of progression in Stargardt disease • 112

# Introduction

Inherited blindness affects millions of people worldwide—the majority suffering from retinal disease.<sup>1</sup> Inherited retinal disorders now represent the primary cause of blindness in the working age population in the UK, and secondary in childhood.<sup>2</sup> They are clinically and genetically heterogeneous, caused by sequence variants in more than 300 distinct genes (RetNet). Mutations in the adenosine triphosphate-binding cassette, subfamily A, member 4 (ABCA4) gene are linked to arguably the most common retinal dystrophy: autosomal recessive Stargardt disease (STGD1).<sup>3</sup> Each case of STGD1 is, in a sense, unique by specific combinations of pathogenic ABCA4 variants (>900 variants identified to date) and modifying factors. Consequently, the natural course is highly variable, ranging from severe early-onset rapid degeneration<sup>4, 5</sup> to relatively mild late-onset disease.<sup>6, 7</sup> The eventual vision loss results from progressive impairment and degeneration of photoreceptors and their supporting retinal pigment epithelium (RPE).<sup>8</sup>

Recently, significant advancement has been made in the development of therapies that aim to slow disease progression, or even to restore lost photoreceptors in STGD1. These include replacement of ABCA4 by gene therapy,<sup>9</sup> cell-based therapies,<sup>10</sup> and pharmacological strategies including slowing the visual cycle or inhibition of vitamin A dimerization.<sup>11, 12</sup> Clinical trials are currently recruiting patients to assess safety and efficacy. However, successful evaluation of these therapies critically hinges on sensitive and reliable measures for disease progression.

To monitor efficacy of a treatment, current trials generally use functional endpoints (Supplemental Table S<sub>1</sub>). One of the most widely used U.S. Food and Drug Administrationapproved endpoints is best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA).<sup>13</sup> However, the main disadvantage of BCVA lies in its extremely variable deterioration rate in patients with retinal dystrophies. Moreover, visual acuity decline can be a late phenomenon following a long period of pathophysiological changes.<sup>14</sup> As a result, the endpoint has an unfavorable signal-to-noise ratio, which leads to a need for longer follow-up and large cohorts. This setup is impossible to achieve given the unacceptable long time frame and the rarity of these disorders.

However, studies suggest that structural abnormalities gradually expand centrifugally,<sup>5,</sup> <sup>15</sup> starting from the foveal center towards the periphery. Although loss of foveal function is highly important from a patient's perspective, it is only one step in the overall pattern of retinal degeneration. This pattern is thought to initiate with melanization abnormalities in the RPE,<sup>16, 17</sup> and is trailed by changes in lipofuscin fluorophores,<sup>18-20</sup> degeneration of the RPE,<sup>21-23</sup> and loss of the ellipsoid zone (EZ) and external limiting membrane (ELM).<sup>24-27</sup> However, these transition zones are not present in every case, and do not always start at the center of the macula; many are still not well understood.

A composite outcome measure is likely to outperform single candidate outcome measures<sup>28</sup> in accurately measuring short-term progression, and can therefore increase statistical power of pivotal clinical trials. In this



Figure 1. Visual acuity as a function of degrees of retinal eccentricity.

study, we chose to measure four structural metrics of expanding transition zones and one psychophysical metric over time in a cohort of patients with early-onset STGD1. These included questionably and definitely decreased autofluorescence (QDAF and DDAF), and loss of the EZ, ELM and BCVA. Next, we assessed intra- and inter-grader differences. Having standardized all metrics, we then calculated an optimal weighted composite. The same measurements were made in a second patient cohort, and, using the composite, we predicted and compared progression with real longitudinal values. We subsequently ran a simulation to examine the power of the composite endpoint and alternatives to detect a difference in outcome given a theoretical intervention with a significant impact on progression rate.

### Results

### Characteristics of the initial patient population

A cohort of 14 patients with early-onset STGD1 ascertained at the Radboud university medical center in Nijmegen had a median age at disease onset of 9 years (range, 4–11). Seventy-four eye-visits of 28 eyes were included in this study (range, 2–4 visits per eye). At the time of inclusion, all eyes had abnormal fundus autofluorescence (FAF) imaging and evidence of loss of photoreceptors on spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT), primarily limited to the macula at their baseline visit. Due to their early disease onset and rapidly progressive macular changes, a significant proportion of patients were expected to progress to retina-wide disease over time.<sup>4, 5, 29</sup>. The follow-up time ranged from 1.1 to 9.7 years (median, 4.7).

### Visual acuity measurements indicate extra-macular dysfunction

At the first visit, the Nijmegen cohort had a median BCVA of 20/205 Snellen in both eyes. The highest BCVA can be obtained at the fovea and diminishes rapidly by retinal eccentricity ( $\epsilon$ ) as shown in Figure 1.<sup>30</sup> According to Figure 1, 20/205 Snellen corresponds to 12.76° of  $\epsilon$  by BCVA ( $\epsilon_{\rm BCVA}$ ), the equivalent diameter being 7.7 mm. Assuming a 5.5 mm diameter of the macula, the high degree of eccentricity indicated that the patients' visual function corresponded to extra-macular disease at baseline.

### Structural parameters are measured with high reproducibility

To assess the accuracy and reliability of measurements on FAF imaging and SD-OCT, we analyzed inter- and intra-grader agreements. These included transition zones of QDAF and DDAF areas, and transverse loss of the EZ and ELM. The absolute mean (± standard deviation) differences within one grader for  $\varepsilon_{\text{QDAF}}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\text{DDAF}}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\text{EZ}}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\text{ELM}}$  were  $0.19 \pm 0.18^\circ$ ,  $0.20 \pm 0.23^\circ$ ,  $0.10 \pm 0.12^\circ$ , and  $0.20 \pm 0.22^\circ$ , respectively. The intra-grader measurements were highly correlated with intraclass correlation coefficients (95% confidence interval) of 0.995 (0.989–0.997), 0.994 (0.986–0.997), 0.998 (0.993–0.999), and 0.998 (0.994–0.999), respectively. The absolute mean differences between graders were  $0.26 \pm 0.23^\circ$ ,  $0.22 \pm 0.21^\circ$ ,  $0.26 \pm 0.23^\circ$ , and  $0.23 \pm 0.18^\circ$ , respectively. The measurements from both graders were highly correlated with intraclass correlation coefficients of 0.992 (0.986–0.995), 0.994 (0.990–0.996), 0.992 (0.971–0.998), and 0.997 (0.992–0.999), respectively.

(A) Fundus autofluorescence



(B) Spectral-domain optical coherence tomography



Figure 2. Schematic and representative images of measurements in fundus autofluorescence imaging and spectral-domain optical coherence tomography. Patient 9: area of questionably decreased autofluorescence (*QDAF, blue*), 1.37 mm<sup>2</sup>: area of definitely decreased autofluorescence (*DDAF, black*), 0.33 mm<sup>2</sup>: transverse loss of external limiting membrane (*ELM loss, red*), 1.75 mm. transverse loss of ellipsoid zone (*EZ loss, blue*), 2.24 mm; best-corrected visual acuity, 20/100; *ABCA4* variants, c.1622T>C;3113C>T;p. [Leu541Pro:Ala1038Val] and c.6316C>T;p.(Arg2106Cys).

	Slope (mean)	Residual (SD)	MSDR
Univariable outcomes			
E BCVA	0.31°/year	3.77°/year	0.08
ε <sub>odaf</sub>	0.32°/year	0.14°/year	2.32
ε <sub>DDAF</sub>	0.58°/year	0.33°/year	1.73
E <sub>ELM</sub>	0.34°/year	0.17°/year	1.97
$\mathcal{E}_{EZ}^{*}$	0.38°/year	*	*
Composite outcomes			
Unweighted ( $\varepsilon_{BCVA}, \varepsilon_{ODAF}, \varepsilon_{DDAF}, \varepsilon_{ELM}, \varepsilon_{EZ}$ )	0.35°/year	1.24°/year	0.28
Unweighted ( $\varepsilon_{\text{odaf}}, \varepsilon_{\text{ddaf}}, \varepsilon_{\text{elm}}, \varepsilon_{\text{ez}}$ )	0.47°/year	0.24°/year	2.00
Optimal weight (15% $\epsilon_{\rm QDAP}$ , 5% $\epsilon_{\rm DDAP}$ 15% $\epsilon_{\rm ELM}$ , 55% $\epsilon_{\rm EZ}$ )	0.41°/year	0.13°/year	3.21

Table 1. Yearly progression rate of changes in retinal eccentricity ( $\epsilon$ ) by visual function, fundus autofluorescence and optical coherence tomography. \*There were limited measurements available because it exceeded retinal scans. BCVA = best-corrected visual acuity, QDAF = questionably decreased autofluorescence, DDAF = definitely decreased autofluorescence, ELM = external limiting membrane, EZ = ellipsoid zone, MSDR = mean-to standard deviation ratio, SD = standard deviation,  $\epsilon$  = retinal eccentricity.

### Initial transition zones on retinal imaging

We measured the abnormalities of lipofuscin fluorophores in the RPE by determining both the transition zones of DDAF and QDAF on FAF imaging as shown in Figure 2A.<sup>20,31</sup> At the first visit, the median  $\varepsilon_{\text{DDAF}}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\text{QDAF}}$  for both eyes were 1.80° (range, 0 – 5.60) and 4.22° (range, 1.68–7.67), equivalent to an area of 0.92 mm<sup>2</sup> and 5.03 mm<sup>2</sup>,



Figure 3. Highest potential mean-to-standard deviation ratio (MSDR) for each single outcome measure at different weightings with all possible weight combinations of the other metrics. MSDRs for best-corrected visual acuity (grey) decrease at increasing weight. MSDRs for transition zones of questionably decreased autofluorescence (blue) increase until 25% weight, but gradually decrease at higher weights. MSDRs for transition zones of definitely decreased autofluorescence (red) decrease at weights higher than 5%. MSDRs for loss of the ellipsoid zone (green) are constant, but decrease substantially at weights higher than approximately 70%. MSDRs for loss of the external limiting membrane (black) decrease at weights higher than approximately 80%.

respectively. Additionally, we measured the horizontal loss of the photoreceptor-related ELM and EZ through the foveal center on SD-OCT (Figure 2B). At the first visit, the median  $\varepsilon_{\rm ELM}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\rm EZ}$  for both eyes were 5.09° (range, 2.50–10.71) and 3.54° (range, 2.99–7.83), equivalent to a loss of 3.05 mm and 2.12 mm, respectively.

A structural composite measure of expanding transition zones outperforms univariable measures We assessed the change of each individual parameter over time by linear mixedeffects models. The models accounted for between-patients and between-eyes effects. We then calculated the sensitivity of each parameter by the ratio of the population mean slope, i.e., overall disease progression, and the residual standard deviation (mean-to-standard-deviation ratio, MSDR).  $\varepsilon_{\text{ODAF}}$  had the highest sensitivity (MSDR, 2.32), whereas  $\varepsilon_{\rm BCVA}$  had the lowest (MSDR, 0.08). The MSDR for  $\varepsilon_{\rm EZ}$  could not be obtained as there were not sufficient measurements available. Sensitivities of all individual parameters are shown in Table 1. We constructed a composite variable from changes in  $\varepsilon$  as measured by BCVA, QDAF, DDAF, EZ, and ELM. Results from MSDR calculations of every potential weighting combination indicated that the most sensitive composite consisted of a weighted mean of changes in  $\varepsilon_{\rm BCVA}$  (0%),  $\varepsilon_{\rm QDAF}$  (25%),  $\varepsilon_{\rm DDAF}$ (5%),  $\varepsilon_{\rm EZ}$  (55%), and  $\varepsilon_{\rm ELM}$  (15%). We observed an overall progression rate of 0.41°/year (95% confidence interval, 0.30–0.52). Potential MSDRs for all measures with different weighting scores are shown in Figure 3. Based on the weighted composite score we predicted changes in  $\varepsilon$  of six patients with a third or fourth visit. The predicted values correlated strongly with the measured weighted composite score (R<sup>2</sup>, 0.904; slope, 0.90 [0.70–1.11]; intercept, 0.14 [-0.55 to 0.83]; Figure 4A).

# Accurate prediction of disease progression is validated in a replication cohort

Using the identical weighted score in the mixed-effects model, we predicted the progression in a separate replication cohort of 18 Stargardt patients, ascertained at Moorfields Eye Hospital in London. One hundred and thirty-eight eye-visits were included (range, 2–6 visits per patient). The London cohort was not significantly different to the Nijmegen cohort: with a median age at disease onset of 8 years (range, 5–11; Mann-Whitney U, P = 0.419), and follow-up from 1.0 to 11.0 years (median, 4.5; Mann-Whitney U, P = 0.722). We observed an overall progression of 0.43°/year (95% confidence interval, 0.33–0.53).



Figure 4. Weighted composite score and predicted outcome. Matching colors represent the right and left eye of the same patient. (A) Results from six early-onset Stargardt patients. (B) The predicted outcome in the replication cohort showed comparable results.

	Radboudumc	МЕН
Patients	7 men	11 men
	7 women	7 women
Baseline characteristics		
Age at onset (years)	9 (4-11)	8 (5–11)
Age at baseline (years)	13 (9–26)	14 (8–25)
εBCVA (°. Snellen equivalent)	12.76 (9.85–24.00), 20/200	12.62 (6.31–18.94), 20/200
$\mathcal{E}_{\text{QDAF}}(, \text{ area equivalent})$	4.22 (1.68–7.67), 5.03 mm²	4.64 (1.44–9.98), 6.10 mm²
$\varepsilon_{\text{DDAF}}$ (°, area equivalent)	1.80 (0–5.60), 0.92 mm²	2.40 (0-6.41), 1.63 mm <sup>2</sup>
€ <sub>ELM</sub> (°. transverse equivalent)	5.09 (2.50–10.71), 3.05 mm	4.82 (2.06–7.71), 2.89 mm 2.89 mm
$\varepsilon_{zz}$ (°, transverse equivalent)	3.54 (2.99–7.83), 2.12 mm	5.87 (3.86–8.58), 3.52 mm
Disease progression		
Follow-up (years)	4.73 (1.13–9.71)	4.47 (1.0-10.99)
Progression (°/year)	0.41 (95% CI, 0.30-0.52)	0.43 (95% Cl. 0.33-0.53)

Table 2. Characteristics of early-onset Stargardt cohorts from Radboud university medical center (Radboudumc) and Moorfields Eye Hospital (MEH). Median and range are shown for baseline characteristics and follow-up. BCVA = best-corrected visual acuity, CI = confidence interval, QDAF = questionably decreased autofluorescence, DDAF = definitely decreased autofluorescence,  $\varepsilon$  = retinal eccentricity, ELM = external limiting membrane, EZ = ellipsoid zone, SE = standard error,  $\varepsilon$  = retinal eccentricity.

Cohort characteristics compared to the Nijmegen cohort are further described in Table 2. Predicted values correlated with the measured weighted composite score (R<sup>2</sup>, 0.872; slope, 1.17 [0.99–1.34]; intercept, -0.29 [-0.77–0.18]; Figure 4B).

# Simulation reveals high statistical power despite small numbers of patients and short follow-up

Finally, to assess the value of the composite biomarker in an interventional trial, we simulated a randomized-controlled paired trial in 14 patients, with a two-year follow-up period, and different treatment effects (Figure 5). Using the optimized weighted composite score as the primary endpoint, we obtained a statistical power of >80% (with a significance level of 0.05) in the case of a 25% treatment effect. Unweighted structural scores decreased the power by approximately 50%.

# Discussion

The genotypic and phenotypic heterogeneity of rare diseases are a challenge for designing therapeutic clinical trials using conventional parameters. This affects many patients; current estimates are between 6.5 and 9.9 million inhabitants of the EU28 countries (1.3–2.0%). Jointly, these diseases represent a relevant public health issue,<sup>32</sup> and to evaluate novel treatments, better strategies are needed. Current strategies use biomarkers, which are often insufficient to provide appropriate sample size calculations, or require long-term follow-up (Supplemental Table S<sub>1</sub>). However, an

integrated approach of these individual biomarkers can result in a reliable and sensitive marker for disease progression. In this paper, we showed that such markers can be developed using composite endpoints and weighting optimization techniques.

The composite endpoint that we developed to sensitively measure disease progression in patients with early-onset STGD1 was based on its spatiotemporal disease course. Although the exact pathophysiological mechanisms of the disease pattern are still not completely understood, natural history studies suggest a centrifugal expansion from macula-only to potentially retina-wide disease.<sup>5, 15, 16, 29, 33</sup> Visual acuity failed to detect this gradual expansion due to its low signal-to-noise ratio in the composite model. In the final model, the expansion of different transition zones is analyzed by two widely available imaging techniques. SD-OCT can visualize photoreceptor damage, represented by loss of the ellipsoid zone, followed by loss of the external limiting membrane,<sup>34-36</sup> and FAF imaging can detect RPE atrophy associated with photoreceptor dysfunction/loss.<sup>26</sup>



Figure 5. Power calculations of a simulated therapeutic trial based on fourteen early-onset Stargardt patients. Dark blue line: a power of 80% is reached with a 25% overall treatment effect and a two-year follow-up period. Purple line: the power will drastically decrease when best-corrected visual acuity is included in the outcome measure. Turquoise line: worse eye treated. Green line: one-year follow-up. Ocher line: unweighted structural composite. Red line: non-paired trial design. Yellow line: best-corrected visual acuity as a single outcome measure.

In the future, the composite model may be further optimized by incorporating more sophisticated retinal imaging techniques such as adaptive optics scanning light ophthalmoscopy which affords in-vivo cellular imaging. Parameters derived from electrophysiological assessment potentially could also strengthen the composite model, although it is limited by significantly greater test-retest variability than aforementioned structural testing.37-39

We are aware that, ultimately, the value of novel therapeutic modalities should be inferred from their impact on outcomes that matter to patients. In the context of eye disease, these would certainly include vision and the impact that visual impairments have on daily life (patient-reported outcome measures). In addition, the long-term safety of such novel treatments should be safeguarded. For these purposes, the metric that we have developed in this study is unlikely to be appropriate in isolation, since its focus lies on structural abnormalities alone. We believe its value mainly derives from the ability to rationally select promising novel treatment modalities and also potentially facilitate patient selection for recruitment to clinical trials. Consequently, it will need to be demonstrated that the employed structural parameters correlate with functional outcome in the long term.

Multimodal analysis is a powerful technique, potentially reducing costs and duration of clinical trials and also likely reducing beta errors in data analysis, thereby hopefully facilitating effective treatments being identified more readily and rapidly for patients with rare diseases. There is also the possibility of further improvements with the inclusion of other biomarkers in the future, and the potential to be extrapolated to other disorders.

### Materials and methods

### Patient selection

We selected patients from the Stargardt databases of the Departments of Ophthalmology at Radboud university medical center (Nijmegen, the Netherlands) and Moorfields Eye Hospital (London, United Kingdom). We used the patient data from Radboud university medical center to develop the progression model, and the data from Moorfields Eye Hospital to replicate the study. We included patients with the faster progressive early-onset form of STGD1, harboring  $\geq 2$  likely disease-causing sequence variants in ABCA4 (Table 3), and with at least one year follow-up with FAF imaging and/or SD-OCT The early-onset phenotype typically presents with foveal atrophy that may precede the development of yellow-white fundus flecks. Early-onset STGD1 is associated with the most rapid deterioration of all patients with STGD1.<sup>5</sup> We only included patients with a reported disease onset <12 years of age.<sup>4, 5</sup> We excluded (1) patients with very early disease in which only thickening of the external limiting membrane was present, because this would preclude the OCT measurements, (2) patients with advanced disease characterized by RPE atrophy beyond the vascular arcades at first presentation, and (3) patients who participated in an interventional trial.<sup>40</sup>. This study was approved by the relevant Institutional Ethics Committees and was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients provided informed consent prior to receiving additional ophthalmologic examinations.

Radbou	udumc			
Patient	Variant 1		Variant 2	
1	c.5461-10T>C	p.[Thr1821Valfs*13. Thr1821Aspfs*6]	c.5461-10T>C	p.[Thr1821Valfs*13, Thr1821Aspfs*6]
2	c.5461-10T>C	p.[Thr1821Valfs*13, Thr1821Aspfs*6]	c.214G>A	p.(Gly72Arg)
3	c.5461-10T>C	p.[Thr1821Valfs*13, Thr1821Aspfs*6]	c.5537T>C	p.(Ile1846Thr)
4	c.768G>T	p.(?)	c.1822T>A	p.(Phe608IIe)
5	c.3033-?_3364+?del	p.(?)	c.5714+5G>A	p.(?)
6	c.5461-10T>C	p.[Thr1821Valfs*13. Thr1821Aspfs*6]	c.5337C>A	p.(Tyr1779*)
7	c.286A>G	p.(Asn96Asp)	c.286A>G	p.(Asn96Asp)
8	c.5461-10T>C	p.[Thr1821Valfs*13, Thr1821Aspfs*6]	c.4773+1G>A	p.(?)
9	c.1622T>C;3113C>T	p.[Leu541Pro;Ala1038Val]	c.6316C>T	p.(Arg2106Cys)
10	c.768G>T	p.(?)	c.768G>T	p.(?)
11	c.3033-?_3364+?del	p.(?)	c.5714+5G>A	p.(?)
12	c.4128+1G>A	p.(?)	c.3259G>A	p.(Glu1087Lys)
13	c.4128+1G>A	p.(?)	c.3259G>A	p.(Glu1087Lys)
14	c.4139C>T	p.(Pro1380Leu)	c.2160+1G>T	p.(?)
MEH	·		·	
Patient	Variant 1		Variant 2	
1	c.3191-1G>T	p.(?)	c.4139C>T	p.(Pro1380Leu)
2	c.4462T>C	p.(Cys1488Arg)	c.4462T>C	p.(Cys1488Arg)
3	c.6079C>T	p.(Leu2027Phe)	c.3322C>T	p.(Arg1108Cys)
4	c.6479+1G>A	p.(?)	c.6479+1G>A	p.(?)
5	c.6479+1G>A	p.(?)	c.6479+1G>A	p.(?)
6	c.4469G>A	p.(Cys1490Tyr)	c.3197T>G	p.(Met1066Arg)
7	c.4253+4C>T	p.(?)	c.4253+4C>T	p.(?)
8	c.5461-10T>C	p.[Thr1821Valfs*13, Thr1821Aspfs*6]	c.3299T>A	p.(Ile1100Asn)
9	c.768G>T	p.(?)	c.4139C>T	p.(Pro1380Leu)
10	c.3081T>G	p.(Tyr1027*)	c.3081T>G	p.(Tyr1027*)
11	c.6286G>A	p.(Glu2096Lys)	c.2894A>G	p.(Asn965Ser)
12	c.4577C>T	p.(Thr1526Met)	c.3322C>T	p.(Arg1108Cys)
13	c.93G>A	p.(Trp31*)	c.2522A>C	p.(Gln841Pro)
14	c.4139C>T	p.(Pro1380Leu)	c.1957C>T	p.(Arg653Cys)
15	c.6729+4_6729+18del	p.(?)	c.6729+4_6729+18del	p.(?)
	AGTTGGCCCTGGGGC		AGTTGGCCCTGGGGC	
16	c.5714+5G>A	p.(?)	c.1622T>C;3113C>T	p.[Leu541Pro;Ala1038Val]
17	c.6729+4_6729+18del	p.(?)	c.6729+4_6729+18del	p.(?)
	AGTTGGCCCTGGGGC		AGTTGGCCCTGGGGC	
18	c.2912C>A	p.(Thr971Asn)	c.2912C>A	p.(Thr971Asn)

Table 3. *ABCA4* variants in early-onset Stargardt patients from Radboud university medical center (Radboudumc) and Moorfields Eye Hospital (MEH).

del = deletion, fs = frameshift, ins = insertion, \* = stop codon.

### Clinical examinations

We reviewed the records and imaging databases to extract information including BCVA, FAF imaging, and SD-OCT. Best-corrected visual acuity was measured using a Snellen or Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study chart. Short-wave FAF imaging ( $\lambda = 488$  nm, emission 500–700 nm) was performed using a confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscope (Spectralis HRA+OCT or HRA2, Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany). The field of view was set at 30°×30° or 55°×55° and was centered at the

macula. Cross-sectional images were obtained using SD-OCT (Spectralis HRA+OCT, Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) centered at the macula (Supplemental Dataset S<sub>1</sub>).

### **Functional measurements**

We analyzed data with SAS Statistical Analysis Software Version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Best-corrected visual acuity can be expressed in retinal eccentricity ( $\epsilon$ ) to estimate the spatial extent of retinal dysfunction spatially. It has been calculated previously that an object must grow by 0.2° in size to maintain BCVA for each degree of eccentricity.<sup>30</sup>. The BCVA is therefore reduced by a factor of 1/1.2 for each degree. This results in a transformed BCVA to the estimated equivalent of  $\epsilon$ :

$$BCVA = (\frac{1}{1.2})^{\varepsilon}$$
 (1) which can be written as  $\varepsilon_{BCVA} = log_{1/1.2} BCVA$  (2)

### Quantitative measurements on imaging

Abnormalities that were expected to consistently increase over time were included for quantification. Two independent authors (S.L. and N.M.B.), blinded to the each other's findings, manually delineated areas of abnormal autofluorescence signals based on darkness levels on FAF imaging, and the loss of retinal layers on SD-OCT. These included areas of questionably decreased autofluorescence (QDAF), definitely decreased autofluorescence (DDAF), transverse loss of the external limiting membrane (ELM) and ellipsoid zone (EZ) on the OCT scan through the fovea. All measurements were standardized to retinal eccentricity. One degree of eccentricity corresponds to approximately 0.3 mm on the retina.<sup>41</sup> Therefore, the eccentricity can be calculated as the radius of the circular equivalents of the sum of QDAF and DDAF areas using the previously reported conversion factor:

$$\varepsilon_{QDAF} = 0.3^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{QDAF}{\pi}}$$
 (3) and  $\varepsilon_{DDAF} = 0.3^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{DDAF}{\pi}}$  (4)

As the transverse horizontal loss of retinal layers represents the diameter of a circular equivalent, the eccentricities of ELM loss and EZ loss could be calculated as follows:

$$\varepsilon_{EZ} = 0.3^{-1} \frac{\text{EZ}}{2}$$
 (5) and  $\varepsilon_{ELM} = 0.3^{-1} \frac{\text{ELM}}{2}$  (6)

### Reproducibility of measurements

If the discrepancy between graders exceeded 1°, the graders were asked to reach consensus on the location and extent of the transition zone. To assess the intra-grader reproducibility, one of the two graders (S.L.) measured each image by each method twice, with a two-month interval between gradings of the same image. We calculated

the absolute inter- and intrarater agreement of  $\varepsilon_{\text{QDAF}}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\text{DDAF}}$  (5%),  $\varepsilon_{\text{ELM}}$ , and  $\varepsilon_{\text{EZ}}$  by intraclass correlation coefficients with 95% confidence intervals. Averaged values of the graders were used for final analyses.

### Modelling disease progression

The composite  $\triangle C$  was constructed from changes in  $\varepsilon$  as measured in all univariable biomarkers:

$$\Delta C_{ij}(\Delta t_{ik}) = (a \times [\varepsilon_{BCVA_{ij}}(t_{ik}) - \varepsilon_{BCVA_{ij}}(t_{i,0})] + b \times [\varepsilon_{QDAF_{ij}}(t_{ik}) - \varepsilon_{QDAF_{ij}}(t_{i,0})] + c \times [\varepsilon_{DDAF_{ij}}(t_{ik}) - \varepsilon_{DDAF_{ij}}(t_{i,0})] + d \times [\varepsilon_{EZ_{ij}}(t_{ik}) - \varepsilon_{EZ_{ij}}(t_{i,0})]$$
(7)  
+  $e \times [\varepsilon_{ELM_{ij}}(t_{ik}) - \varepsilon_{ELM_{ij}}(t_{i,0})]) / (a + b + c + d + e)$ 

a, b, c, d, and e are weighting scores for each biomarker.

The composite biomarker was used to detect disease progression in a linear two-level random effects mixed model, which can describe expansion rates of a transition zone quite well within a short period. It accounts for variations between patients and between the eyes of each patient, and can thus incorporate a potential non-linear process in the variance components of these random effects<sup>42</sup>:

 $\Delta C_{ij}(\Delta t_{ik}) = [s + s_i + s_{ij}] \times \Delta t_{ik} + E_{ijk} \quad (8)$ 

- ΔC<sub>ij</sub>(Δt) is the change of the composite score from baseline for the i<sup>th</sup> patient in eye j at time since baseline Δt,
- $\Delta t_{ik}$  is the k<sup>th</sup> follow-up time for patient i,
- s is the mean population slope (first level fixed effect),
- s<sub>i</sub> are the deviations of the i<sup>th</sup> patient's slope from the population value (independent second level random effects),
- s<sub>ij</sub> are the deviations of the slope of both eyes in patient i from his mean regression line (independent third level random effects),
- E<sub>iik</sub> is the residual error.

The intercept of the model was set to zero, because differences from baseline were used.

### Weighting scores optimization

Weighting scores were subsequently chosen by an optimization criterion,<sup>28</sup> which was constructed by the ratio of the population mean slope and the residual standard deviation (MSDR). The criterion was empirically evaluated for different combinations of weighting scores of parameters:

MSDR= s / RMSE (9)

- s is the mean population slope,
- RMSE (root-mean-square error) is the residual standard deviation, a scaledependent measure for accuracy.

The total number of unique combinations follows a binomial coefficient  $\binom{n+a-1}{n}$ , where n is the number of intervals, and a is the number of biomarkers. Five biomarkers with 5% intervals (20 steps from 0 to 100%) resulted  $\frac{24!}{20!4!} = 10626$  in combinations, which could be tested within reasonable computational time. A weighting of zero resulted in exclusion of that particular biomarker. When a certain biomarker was missing or not measurable, the  $\Delta C$  was calculated by the changes in the other biomarkers with their respective weighting scores. The combination with the highest MSDR was eventually used in the final model (Supplemental Dataset S<sub>2</sub> and Appendix S<sub>1</sub>).

### Validation and replication of the model

In a subset of patients (six), in which three or more visits were available, we calculated disease progression of the last visit. These final visits were excluded in the construction of the weighted composite. We compared these scores with the predicted scores based on the mixed model. Using identical weighting scores, we replicated the study in another cohort.

### Trial simulations

Based on these calculations, the optimal composite score difference was used to perform simulations of a two-year interventional trial with the change in retinal eccentricity as primary outcome measured by the composite biomarker. By introducing a potential treatment effect, we calculated the expected power of a trial with these patients. The treated eye was randomly assigned by a Bernoulli distribution. The progression was then simulated as follows: (10)

Difference of  $\Delta C_{ij}(\Delta t_{il+x}) = [\Delta C_{ij}(\Delta t_{il+x}) \pm adjusted RMSE - \delta] - \Delta C_{ij}(\Delta t_{il})$ 

where

(11)

 $\delta = effect \ size \ (\pm 0.1) \times [predicted \ \Delta C_{ij}(\Delta t_{il+x}) - predicted \ \Delta C_{it}(\Delta t_{il})]$ 

- difference of  $\Delta C_{i,t}(\Delta t_{i,l+x})$  is the difference of the change of the composite score from baseline for the i<sup>th</sup> patient in the j<sup>th</sup> eye t at x years after the last visit I, compared to the last visit.
- the adjusted RMSE was calculated for residual errors of follow-up measurements without baseline measurements (Δt<sub>i.0</sub>), where E<sub>ii</sub> is zero in all patients,
- the treatment effect  $\delta$  was simulated from 0 to 1 by steps of 0.05 in treated eyes.

A multimodal model of progression in Stargardt disease · 124

As the degree of abnormalities are highly correlated between left and right eyes,<sup>21, 23</sup> the power can be increased considerably when the fellow eye serves as the paired control. A paired-samples T-test was therefore performed to assess the differences between eyes in progression of changes in retinal eccentricity. Power calculations were performed by 10000 simulations for each data point (Supplemental Appendix S<sub>2</sub>).

## References

- 1. Berger W, Kloeckener-Gruissem B, Neidhardt J. The molecular basis of human retinal and vitreoretinal diseases. Prog Retin Eye Res 2010;29(5):335-75.
- Liew G, Michaelides M, Bunce C. A comparison of the causes of blindness certifications in England and Wales in working age adults (16-64 years), 1999-2000 with 2009-2010. BMJ Open 2014;4(2):e004015.
- 3. Kaplan J, Gerber S, Larget-Piet D, et al. A gene for Stargardt's disease (fundus flavimaculatus) maps to the short arm of chromosome 1. Nat Genet 1993;5(3):308-11.
- Fujinami K, Zernant J, Chana RK, et al. Clinical and molecular characteristics of childhood-onset Stargardt disease. Ophthalmology 2015;122(2):326-34.
- Lambertus S, van Huet RA, Bax NM, et al. Early-onset stargardt disease: phenotypic and genotypic characteristics. Ophthalmology 2015;122(2):335-44.
- Westeneng-van Haaften SC, Boon CJ, Cremers FP, et al. Clinical and genetic characteristics of lateonset Stargardt's disease. Ophthalmology 2012;119(6):1199-210.
- Fujinami K, Sergouniotis PI, Davidson AE, et al. Clinical and molecular analysis of Stargardt disease with preserved foveal structure and function. Am J Ophthalmol 2013;156(3):487-501.e1.
- Armstrong JD, Meyer D, Xu S, Elfervig JL. Long-term follow-up of Stargardt's disease and fundus flavimaculatus. Ophthalmology 1998;105(3):448-57; discussion 57-8.
- Binley K, Widdowson P, Loader J, et al. Transduction of photoreceptors with equine infectious anemia virus lentiviral vectors: safety and biodistribution of StarGen for Stargardt disease. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2013;54(6):4061-71.
- Schwartz SD, Regillo CD, Lam BL, et al. Human embryonic stem cell-derived retinal pigment epithelium in patients with age-related macular degeneration and Stargardt's macular dystrophy: follow-up of two open-label phase 1/2 studies. Lancet 2015;385(9967):509-16.
- Radu RA, Mata NL, Nusinowitz S, et al. Treatment with isotretinoin inhibits lipofuscin accumulation in a mouse model of recessive Stargardt's macular degeneration. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003;100(8):4742-7.
- 12. Charbel Issa P, Barnard AR, Herrmann P, et al. Rescue of the Stargardt phenotype in Abca4 knockout mice through inhibition of vitamin A dimerization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015;112(27):8415-20.
- 13. Csaky KG, Richman EA, Ferris FL, 3rd. Report from the NEI/FDA Ophthalmic Clinical Trial Design and Endpoints Symposium. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2008;49(2):479-89.
- 14. Rotenstreich Y, Fishman GA, Anderson RJ. Visual acuity loss and clinical observations in a large series of patients with Stargardt disease. Ophthalmology 2003;110(6):1151-8.
- 15. Cukras CA, Wong WT, Caruso R, et al. Centrifugal expansion of fundus autofluorescence patterns in Stargardt disease over time. Arch Ophthalmol 2012;130(2):171-9.
- Cideciyan AV, Swider M, Schwartz SB, et al. Predicting Progression of ABCA4-Associated Retinal Degenerations Based on Longitudinal Measurements of the Leading Disease Front. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2015;56(10):5946-55.
- 17. Kellner S, Kellner U, Weber BH, et al. Lipofuscin- and melanin-related fundus autofluorescence in patients with ABCA4-associated retinal dystrophies. Am J Ophthalmol 2009;147(5):895-902, .e1.
- Smith RT, Gomes NL, Barile G, et al. Lipofuscin and autofluorescence metrics in progressive STGD. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2009;50(8):3907-14.
- Lois N, Halfyard AS, Bird AC, et al. Fundus autofluorescence in Stargardt macular dystrophy-fundus flavimaculatus. Am J Ophthalmol 2004;138(1):55-63.
- 20. Kuehlewein L, Hariri AH, Ho A, et al. COMPARISON OF MANUAL AND SEMIAUTOMATED FUNDUS AUTOFLUORESCENCE ANALYSIS OF MACULAR ATROPHY IN STARGARDT DISEASE PHENOTYPE. Retina 2016;36(6):1216-21.
- 21. McBain VA, Townend J, Lois N. Progression of retinal pigment epithelial atrophy in stargardt disease. Am J Ophthalmol 2012;154(1):146-54.

- Fujinami K, Lois N, Mukherjee R, et al. A longitudinal study of Stargardt disease: quantitative assessment of fundus autofluorescence, progression, and genotype correlations. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2013;54(13):8181-90.
- 23. Chen B, Tosha C, Gorin MB, Nusinowitz S. Analysis of autofluorescent retinal images and measurement of atrophic lesion growth in Stargardt disease. Exp Eye Res 2010;91(2):143-52.
- Ritter M, Zotter S, Schmidt WM, et al. Characterization of stargardt disease using polarizationsensitive optical coherence tomography and fundus autofluorescence imaging. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2013;54(9):6416-25.
- Ergun E, Hermann B, Wirtitsch M, et al. Assessment of central visual function in Stargardt's disease/ fundus flavimaculatus with ultrahigh-resolution optical coherence tomography. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2005;46(1):310-6.
- Gomes NL, Greenstein VC, Carlson JN, et al. A comparison of fundus autofluorescence and retinal structure in patients with Stargardt disease. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2009;50(8):3953-9.
- Anastasakis A, Fishman GA, Lindeman M, et al. Infrared scanning laser ophthalmoscope imaging of the macula and its correlation with functional loss and structural changes in patients with stargardt disease. Retina 2011;31(5):949-58.
- Langbaum JB, Hendrix SB, Ayutyanont N, et al. An empirically derived composite cognitive test score with improved power to track and evaluate treatments for preclinical Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement 2014;10(6):666-74.
- Cideciyan AV, Swider M, Aleman TS, et al. ABCA4 disease progression and a proposed strategy for gene therapy. Hum Mol Genet 2009;18(5):931-41.
- Anstis SM. Letter: A chart demonstrating variations in acuity with retinal position. Vision Res 1974;14(7):589-92.
- Strauss RW, Ho A, Munoz B, et al. The Natural History of the Progression of Atrophy Secondary to Stargardt Disease (ProgStar) Studies: Design and Baseline Characteristics: ProgStar Report No. 1. Ophthalmology 2016;123(4):817-28.
- 32. Mazzucato M, Visona Dalla Pozza L, Manea S, et al. A population-based registry as a source of health indicators for rare diseases: the ten-year experience of the Veneto Region's rare diseases registry. Orphanet J Rare Dis 2014;9:37.
- Walia S, Fishman GA. Natural history of phenotypic changes in Stargardt macular dystrophy. Ophthalmic Genet 2009;30(2):63-8.
- Lazow MA, Hood DC, Ramachandran R, et al. Transition zones between healthy and diseased retina in choroideremia (CHM) and Stargardt disease (STGD) as compared to retinitis pigmentosa (RP). Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2011;52(13):9581-90.
- 35. Lee W, Noupuu K, Oll M, et al. The External Limiting Membrane in Early-Onset Stargardt Disease. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2014.
- Staurenghi G, Sadda S, Chakravarthy U, et al. Proposed lexicon for anatomic landmarks in normal posterior segment spectral-domain optical coherence tomography: the IN<sup>-</sup>OCT consensus. Ophthalmology 2014;121(8):1572-8.
- Kretschmann U, Seeliger MW, Ruether K, et al. Multifocal electroretinography in patients with Stargardt's macular dystrophy. Br J Ophthalmol 1998;82(3):267-75.
- Praidou A, Hagan R, Newman W, Chandna A. Early diagnosis of Stargardt disease with multifocal electroretinogram in children. Int Ophthalmol 2014;34(3):613-21.
- Kuniyoshi K, Terasaki H, Arai M, Hirose T. Multifocal electroretinograms in Stargardt's disease/fundus flavimaculatus. Ophthalmologica 2014;232(2):118-25.
- 40. Teussink MM, Lee MD, Smith RT, et al. The effect of light deprivation in patients with Stargardt disease. Am J Ophthalmol 2015;159(5):964-72.e2.
- Drasdo N, Fowler CW. Non-linear projection of the retinal image in a wide-angle schematic eye. Br J Ophthalmol 1974;58(8):709-14.
- 42. Dreyhaupt J, Mansmann U, Pritsch M, et al. Modelling the natural history of geographic atrophy in patients with age-related macular degeneration. Ophthalmic Epidemiol 2005;12(6):353-62.

"This paper addresses concerns that exposure to the 488-nm excitation light used in fundus autofluorescence imaging may be harmful in patients with elevated retinal lipofuscin (i.e., Stargardt disease). With age, fundus autofluorescence imaging causes more oxidative stress than does daylight; moreover, this effect is amplified 3.3-fold in patients with Stargardt disease. This quantitative information contributes to our understanding of potential harm caused during fundus autofluorescence imaging and provides grounds for aforementioned concerns."

Michel M. Teussink **Stanley Lambertus** Frits F. de Mul Malgorzata B. Rozanowska Carel B. Hoyng B. Jeroen Klevering Thomas Theelen

PLoS One. 2017 Feb 24;12(2):e0172635

The authors are grateful to Eiko de Jong for insightful discussions about the study concept and methods.

4.3 Lipofuscin-associated photo-oxidative stress during fundus autofluorescence imaging **PURPOSE**: Current standards and guidelines aimed at preventing retinal phototoxicity during intentional exposures do not specifically evaluate the contribution of endogenous photosensitizers. However, certain retinal diseases are characterized by abnormal accumulations of potential photosensitizers such as lipofuscin bisretinoids in the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE). We sought to determine these contributions by a numerical assessment of in-vivo photo-oxidative stress during irradiation of RPE lipofuscin.

**METHODS**: Based on the literature, we calculated the retinal exposure levels, optical filtering of incident radiation by the ocular lens, media, photoreceptors, and RPE melanin, light absorption by lipofuscin, and photochemical effects in the RPE in two situations: exposure to short-wavelength ( $\lambda$  = 488 nm) fundus autofluorescence (SW-AF) excitation light and exposure to indirect (diffuse) sunlight.

**RESULTS**: In healthy persons at age 20, 40, and 60, respectively, the rate of oxygen photoconsumption by lipofuscin increases by 1.3, 1.7, and 2.4-fold during SW-AF imaging as compared to diffuse sunlight. In patients with STGD1 below the age of 30, this rate was 3.3-fold higher compared to age-matched controls during either sunlight or SW-AF imaging.

**CONCLUSIONS:** Our results suggest that the RPE of patients with STGD1 is generally at increased risk of photo-oxidative stress, while exposure during SW-AF imaging amplifies this risk. These theoretical results have not yet been verified with *in-vivo* data due to a lack of sufficiently sensitive *in-vivo* measurement techniques.

## Introduction

Fundus autofluorescence (AF) imaging visualizes the accumulation of fluorophores that constitute a substantial fraction of lipofuscin in the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE).<sup>1</sup> The pigments of lipofuscin are produced in the membranes of photoreceptor outer segments from non-enzymatic reactions of vitamin A aldehyde.<sup>2-5</sup> This fluorescent material is transferred to RPE cells within phagocytosed outer segment disks,<sup>6, 7</sup> and becomes deposited in the lysosomal compartment of the cells. As a result, RPE lipofuscin accumulates with age<sup>8</sup> and fundus AF increases linearly with age although subjects vary in terms of intensities.<sup>9</sup> Short-wavelength AF (SW-AF,  $\lambda_{exc}$  = 488 nm, unless stated otherwise) is commonly regarded as a way to monitor the status of RPE cells, with areas of high AF indicating increased lipofuscin levels and areas of absent AF indicating loss of RPE cells.

The retinal radiant exposure of the SW-AF excitation light is far below American National Standards Institute (ANSI) safety thresholds:<sup>10</sup> SW-AF imaging with the widely used Spectralis device is safe for up to 8 hours (9 J·cm<sup>-2</sup>) whereas typical examinations irradiate the retina for less than 5 minutes (<0.1 J·cm<sup>-2</sup>). These thresholds were based on cross-sectional data of the effects of light on a cellular level, designed to protect the eye and skin from accidental light exposure. To reduce ocular exposures, the International Commission of Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection has also provided guidelines for oph-thalmic instruments.<sup>11</sup> Commercial or experimental ophthalmic instruments adhere to these standards with additional constraints for intentional exposures,<sup>12</sup> and may thus be considered safe with regard to short-term effects.

However, the ANSI thresholds and Commission guidelines do not specifically evaluate the contribution of endogenous photosensitizers in enhancing a patient's susceptibility to retinal phototoxicity. In fact, patients with certain retinal diseases may be highly susceptible to phototoxicity.<sup>13, 14</sup> This has led to concerns that patients with recessive Stargardt disease (STGD1) may be at risk for light toxicity during SW-AF imaging.<sup>15</sup> In patients with STGD1, photochemical damage may involve changes in molecules within the visual cycle such as all-trans-retinal.<sup>14, 16</sup> Additionally, removal of all-trans-retinal from the photoreceptor outer segment disks is impaired,<sup>17</sup> which leads to an accelerated accumulation of lipofuscin bisretinoids in the RPE. Some of these bisretinoids have been identified as potent photosensitizers in animal studies. In Abca4-/- mice, very high intensities (50 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) of blue light ( $\lambda = 430 \pm 20$  nm) irradiation for 30 minutes caused severe atrophy of photoreceptors and RPE cells with elevated lipofuscin, which was less pronounced in age-matched wild type controls.<sup>18</sup> Conversely, there was no photo-receptor atrophy in Rpe65<sup>rd12</sup> mice without RPE lipofuscin.<sup>18</sup> Whether the mechanism

of photochemical damage involves changes in either lipofuscin or molecules within the visual cycle such as all-trans-retinal, patients with STGD1 will be highly susceptible to photic injury.<sup>14, 16</sup> Consistent with this notion, even chronic exposure to normal daylight appears to increase the progression of RPE damage in STGD1.<sup>19</sup>

We aimed to determine the extent to which the endogenous photosensitizer lipofuscin makes humans more susceptible to photic injury, for which there is lack of empirical evidence. Extrapolation from results from animal studies to humans is difficult because of their considerable differences in light susceptibility. Therefore, we numerically simulated *in-vivo* photo-oxidative stress in the human RPE subsequent to irradiation of endogenous RPE lipofuscin, allowing us to estimate this extent. More precisely, we simulated exposure during either SW-AF imaging in common clinical practice or diffuse sunlight, in healthy individuals of different ages and in patients with STGD1. Daylight exposure is not known to cause retinal injury to healthy people except for unintentional and excessive exposures,<sup>20</sup> which thus can provide a reference frame of normally harmless effects. Such an approach may yield considerable insight, because it facilitates the identification of gaps in our knowledge of all aspects involved in retinal photo-oxidative stress.

## Methods

### **Retinal exposures**

### Exposure to daylight

We used the solar spectrum of the American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM G173-03) as a reference for terrestrial solar irradiation.<sup>21</sup> It was measured under atmospheric conditions considered a reasonable average over a period of one year, and pointing to the sun at an inclination of 37°. This inclination corresponds to the approximate average latitude of the 48 contiguous states of the USA. This spectrum includes light scattered by the atmosphere and light reflected off the earth's surface (Figure 1). In such a scenario of free or Newtonian illumination,<sup>22</sup> a distant light source—the sun—irradiates an area A larger than the pupil of the eye. The retinal radiant exposure H<sub>r</sub> (J·cm<sup>-2</sup>) can then be expressed as a function of corneal radiant exposure H<sub>r</sub>.

$$H_r = H_c \frac{A_{pupil}}{A_{retina}} \approx H_c \tau \frac{d_p^2}{f_e^2 \alpha^2} = L_s \tau \frac{\pi}{4} \left(\frac{d_p}{f_e}\right)^2 \quad (1)$$

with the pupil diameter (d<sub>p</sub>), the eye's focal length (f<sub>e</sub>), the visual angle of the source ( $\alpha$ ), and the ocular media transmission ( $\tau$ ). For free illumination, the retinal radiant exposure H<sub>r</sub> can also be expressed as a function of the irradiance of the source (L<sub>s</sub>, unit J·sr<sup>-1</sup>), independent of  $\alpha$  (Eq. [1], third term).<sup>12</sup> Using normative data of the pupil diameter at different ages measured under various lighting conditions,<sup>23</sup> we calculated an average

pupil diameter dp at age 20, 40, and 60 of 3.8, 3.5, and 3.2 mm, respectively (Section A in Supplemental Text  $S_1$ ). We assumed that the pupil is adapted to daylight luminance without pharmacological dilation and we used an average focal length  $f_e$  of the eye of 17 mm.

Because a will person usually not stare directly into the sun, the referenced solar spectrum is an overestimation of the actual solar irradiation entering the eye. We therefore subtracted the 'direct and circumsolar' spectrum that measures a 2.5° circle around the solar disk from the aforementioned ('global tilt') solar spectrum as an indication of indirect solar irradiation, i.e., diffuse insolation (Figure 1). To determine the irradiance



**Figure 1. Solar irradiance spectra.** Visible range of the American Society of Testing and Materials G173-03 solar irradiance spectrum, measured at a global tilt of 37° pointing to the sun (*blue*). The solar irradiance spectrum when not staring directly at the sun, including light scattered by the atmosphere and light reflected off the earth's surface, is also shown (*red*).

 $L_s$  (W·cm<sup>-2</sup>·sr<sup>-1</sup>) of this diffuse scattered light, we used the solid angle  $\Omega$  of radiation specified for the ASTM reference spectrum, which equals that of diffuse light scattered in a full hemisphere ( $\Omega = 2\pi$  steradian).<sup>21</sup> Consequently,  $L_s \approx H_c/2\pi$ . To account for absorption in the ocular media, we employed an algorithm that predicts the average media optical density at a given age and wavelength.<sup>24,25</sup> The algorithm of Van de Kraats and Van Norren is based on six optical density components with the optical density D<sub> $\lambda$ </sub> depending only on wavelength and age.<sup>25</sup> We obtain:

$$H_r = \frac{H_c}{2\pi} exp(-D_\lambda) \frac{\pi}{4} \left(\frac{d_p}{f_e}\right)^2$$
(2)

We used Eq. (2) to predict retinal exposures to diffuse insolation at age 20, 40, and 60.

### Autofluorescence imaging

In SW-AF by confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy, the imaging beam enters the eye with a known angle  $\alpha$  through an entrance pupil smaller than the pharmacologically dilated pupil. In this scenario of Maxwellian illumination, the retinal radiant exposure is the power entering the pupil  $\phi$ , divided by the retinal exposed area<sup>12</sup>:
$$H_r = \Phi \tau \frac{4}{\pi (f_e \alpha)^2} \tag{3}$$

The blue autofluorescence imaging mode of the widely used Spectralis HRA+OCT employs an optically pumped solid-state continuous wave laser with a wavelength of  $488 \pm 2$  nm and a recommended maximum optical power of  $260 \,\mu$ W to excite lipofuscin fluorophores in the fundus. Emitted fluorescence in the wavelength range of 500-680 nm is detected after passing through a barrier filter. We assumed that during AF imaging in a clinical setting, the retina is scanned at the high-speed mode ( $768 \times 768$  pixels;  $8.9 \,$  frames·s<sup>-1</sup>) in square 30° fields. Imaging of 55° fields is performed frequently, although the resulting average retinal exposure will be lower, and it therefore should be safer. Although the Spectralis also offers the possibility of imaging at the 'high-resolution'-mode with a doubled sampling rate (i.e., each imaged area is probed twice by the imaging beam), the average retinal exposure will remain the same since the beam power, imaging speed, and size of the imaged area remain equal. Under our assumptions, the average retinal radiant exposure in perfectly transparent media is  $328 \,\mu$ W·cm<sup>-2</sup>.<sup>26</sup> Taking media absorption in a healthy 20-year old person<sup>25</sup> into account, it is  $190.4 \,\mu$ W·cm<sup>-2</sup>.

#### Optical screening in the fundus

#### Photoreceptors

The absorption of light in the neural retina is orders of magnitude lower than that in the RPE.<sup>27</sup> Since our study is focused on the paramacula (about 10° retinal eccentricity), we neglect the influence of macular pigments. Visual pigments in the photoreceptors, however, may contribute to the absorption of light. Therefore, we estimated the visual pigment optical density versus wavelength during daylight exposure.

A luminance of 2.9 photopic cd·m<sup>-2</sup> is already sufficient to saturate rod electroretinographic responses,<sup>28</sup> and therefore the unbleached fraction of rod visual pigment at an illuminance of 4400 cd·m<sup>-2</sup> (Section A in Supplemental Text S<sub>1</sub>) will be very low we consequently neglect absorption by rods during either daylight or SW-AF imaging. We used data on the normalized wavelength-dependent optical density of visual pigments in photoreceptor outer segments, measured by microspectrophotometry on ex-vivo human samples.<sup>29</sup> We fitted these data with polynomial functions to obtain the optical density of the entire 380-700 nm wavelength range. These normalized data, expressed in normalized optical density per micron outer segment length, were multiplied by the mean dark-adapted double-pass optical density. These numbers were multiplied by the mean length of photoreceptors at 10° retinal eccentricity.<sup>30</sup> Next, we multiplied the result by the retinal area fraction occupied by cone photoreceptors at  $9.2^{\circ}$  retinal eccentricity, as derived from electron microscopy data obtained by Curcio et al.,<sup>31</sup> and by the relative numbers of the different cone types as published by Dartnall et al.<sup>29</sup> Finally, we used data on the steady-state bleach fraction of visual pigments at an illuminance of 4400 cd·m<sup>-2</sup> to derive the fraction of unbleached visual pigments. We multiplied the wavelength-dependent optical density of cones with this fraction, which was determined to be 0.080374.

#### Melanin in the retinal pigment epithelium

The flux of photons impinging on lipofuscin is reduced due to optical screening by melanin granules situated apically in RPE cells. RPE melanin consists largely of eumelanin,<sup>32</sup> which is able to dissipate approximately 90% of incident UV energy as heat.<sup>33</sup> We performed a Monte-Carlo (MC) simulation of light scattering and absorption by melanin in the RPE to investigate optical screening by melanin in healthy people of different ages and in patients with STGD1. Monte-Carlo methods are a standard approach in numerical simulation and the basic methodology in simulating scattering of light in human tissues is, by now, strongly established. Monte-Carlo methods have been employed with great success in order to predict the properties of light scattering in human tissues.<sup>34-37</sup> Our calculation of light scattering by melanosomes was similar to an earlier study by Cracknell et al., who used MC methods to investigate iris melanosomes.<sup>38</sup> Our calculation of light absorption by melanin was different from the calculation by Cracknell et al.; we based it on empirical data of the absorption spectrum of melanin. Details of this MC simulation of in-vivo optical attenuation by RPE melanin in the paramacular RPE cells are depicted in Section B in Supplemental Text S. We modeled the paramacular RPE as a single  $9 \,\mu m$  thick sheet,  $^{39}$  and RPE melanin could occupy the apical  $\leq 33\%$  of the RPE cell (inward positive, i.e., the optical path length  $I_{melanin}$  ranged from 0 to +3  $\mu$ m). An infinitely thin and non-divergent beam of light ('pencil beam') injected  $5 \cdot 10^5$  photons into the system. We varied the thickness of the layer in which the scatterers (melanosomes) are present with age and/or the presence of STGD1, as specified in Section B in Supplemental Text S,.

Monte-Carlo simulations of optical screening by RPE melanin were performed for specified wavelengths ( $\lambda$  = 380, 405, ...., 705) for each of four different scenarios: healthy 20-, 40-, and 60- year old paramacular RPE, and 20-year old non-atrophic RPE of a patient with STGD1. MontCarl© counted the number of photons that were either absorbed, backscattered (upon refractive passage at the interface between two layers and directed towards negative depth values), or transmitted (the inverse of backscattering; this could therefore include non-scattered and forward scattered photons). From these fractions and the total number of incident photons, we calculated attenuation coefficients ( $\mu_{a, melanin}$  and  $\mu'_{s, melanin}$ ) and the optical density (OD<sub>melanin</sub>) as:

$$\mu_{a, melanin} = \frac{-\log\left(1 - \frac{[Absorbed photons]}{[Total injected photons]}\right)}{l_{melanin}}$$
(4)  
$$\mu'_{s, melanin} = \frac{-\log\left(1 - \frac{[Backscattered photons]}{[Total injected photons]}\right)}{l_{melanin}}$$
(5)  
$$OD_{melanin} = (\mu_{a, melanin} + \mu'_{s, melanin}) \cdot l_{melanin}$$
(6)

## Optical absorption by lipofuscin

The high optical density of each lipofuscin granule may give rise to significant internal optical screening.<sup>40</sup> Granules in the basal part of the cell may therefore receive little or no light; resulting in a poor correlation between the RPE lipofuscin concentration and total light absorbed. This may explain why—at present—we have no evidence that lipofuscin bisretinoid photo-oxidation varies with the lipofuscin bisretinoid concentration.

Calibrated SW-AF measurements have shown that patients with STGD1 exhibit substantially increased fluorescence from RPE lipofuscin.<sup>41-43</sup> This may be ascribed to either increased fluorescence efficiency of lipofuscin bisretinoids, increased absorption of excitation energy, or both. The 'dark' or 'silent' choroid sign on fluorescein angiography, present in 37–50% of patients,<sup>44, 45</sup> indicates a considerable reduction in light transmission ( $\lambda$  = 488 nm) through the RPE.<sup>44, 46, 47</sup> Increased backscatter and/or absorption from lipofuscin may underlie this phenomenon; however, increased backscatter is highly unlikely to be the sole cause. Finally, mouse studies have shown that the amount of the lipofuscin bisretinoid N-retinylidene-N-retinylethanolamine (A2E) decreases in vivo when retinal light exposure increases, due to lipofuscin oxidation and subsequent degradation.<sup>48</sup>

We incorporated light absorption by lipofuscin into our simulation because of these indications. Although an accurate approximation of the fraction of light absorbed could be obtained with an MC simulation, as far as we know there are no empirical data on certain optical parameters of lipofuscin granules. These parameters include the granule size distribution, wavelength-dependent absorption- and scattering cross-sections, and empirical data on the angular scattering function. We therefore took a different approach, based on the principle that light absorption tends to correlate with the granule concentration ( $n_g$ ) and the optical path length (I) through these granules. Hence, we considered their product ( $n_g$ ·I) indicative of light absorption.

Although electron microscopy of the RPE of patients with STGD1 shows massive accumulations of lipofuscin in the posterior pole,<sup>49</sup> it is difficult to obtain an exact value of  $(n_g \cdot I)$  based on these images. In mice, however, the concentration of a major fluorophore of

lipofuscin (A2E)<sup>50</sup> was found to correlate with the calibrated fluorescence intensity from RPE cells.<sup>51</sup> Since similar data<sup>26</sup> are available both in healthy people<sup>42</sup> and patients with STGD1,<sup>43</sup> estimations of ( $n_g$ ·I) in STGD1 based on fundus AF would be an alternative. We tested the feasibility of such estimations by determining the correlation between ( $n_g$ ·I) and SW-AF intensity (detailed in Section C [a] in Supplemental Text S<sub>1</sub>). The individual of whom a SW-AF image is depicted in Figure 6 has given written informed consent (as outlined in the PLOS consent form) to publish this image.

## Oxygen photoconsumption by lipofuscin granules

The goal of our simulation was to compute oxygen uptake by lipofuscin granules in vivo under the considered exposure regimes, because oxygen photoconsumption by lipofuscin can serve as an indicator of lipofuscin oxidation.<sup>52</sup> We considered in-vitro oxygen uptake measurements on isolated human RPE lipofuscin granules by Rozanowska et al.<sup>52</sup> to be—at present—the most appropriate basis for this calculation. Firstly, their measurement setup and results were described in sufficient detail to allow for meaningful and quantitative comparisons with in-vivo exposure conditions. Second, isolated—but intact—human RPE lipofuscin granules of different ages were used, and the pH of the medium is comparable to that in vivo. As such, these two factors are representative of physiological conditions. The results obtained in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 allow us to estimate the flux of photons impinging on RPE lipofuscin granules in vivo, and studies on photosensitizers have shown a strong relationship between total light absorbed and oxygen uptake.<sup>53, 54</sup> Therefore, the results obtained in Sections 3.1 to 3.3 can be regarded as variables influencing oxygen uptake by lipofuscin, and are applicable to an in-vivo milieu. We determined the corresponding values of these variables applicable to the in-vitro measurements by Rozanowska et al.<sup>52</sup> By normalizing for differences in these variables in vivo, we predicted the rates of oxygen uptake, were they measured in vivo in the RPE. Details on this normalization are described in Section D in Supplemental Text S<sub>1</sub>.

## Results

#### **Retinal exposures**

The retinal exposure (mW·cm<sup>-2</sup>) during daylight or typical SW-AF imaging sessions was corrected for absorption and scattering in the lens and media (plotted in Figure 2).

## Optical screening in the fundus

#### Photoreceptors

The calculated visual pigment optical densities during daylight exposure, at a luminance of 4400 photopic  $cd \cdot m^{-2}$ , are shown in Figure 3. Under this condition, the optical



Fig 2. Retinal exposure from diffuse solar irradiation compared to excitation light of short-wavelength retinal autofluorescence. At  $\lambda$  = 488 nm, the peak height is indicated by single colored dots. Exposures were calculated by Eqs. (2) and (3), respectively. Exposures in ocular media of different ages are plotted: 20 year-old (red), 40 year-old (green), and 60 year-old (orange).



Figure 3. Optical screening by visual pigments in the outer segments of paramacular photoreceptors. The wavelength-dependent single-pass optical density (OD) of light passing through the outer segments was calculated under conditions of daylight illuminance, amounting to 4400 photopic cd·m<sup>-2</sup>. See text for details.

screening by photoreceptors in the paramacula ranges between 0.002 to 0.008 optical density units (0.46–1.83%), and is therefore negligible.

## Melanin in the retinal pigment epithelium

The MC results are plotted in Figure 4. It can be seen that absorption dominates over scattering at  $\lambda$  < 505 nm. We evaluated whether this phenomenon is caused by wavelength-dependent differences in the absorption and scattering properties of the melanosomes. By taking the product of each granule class' concentration and absorption/ scattering cross-section, and taking the arrhythmic sum of all granule classes in the medium, the theoretical absorption coefficient  $(\mu_{a, melanin})$  and scattering coefficient ( $\mu_{s \text{ melanin}}$ ) can be determined. The reduced

scattering (backscattering) coefficient can be calculated by including the scattering anisotropy factor (g). It varies from -1 for complete backscattering, through 0 for isotropic scattering, to +1 for complete forward scattering, We calculated the backscattering coefficient by  $\mu'_{s, melanin} = \mu_{s, melanin} \cdot (1 - g)$ . An estimate of light attenuation due to absorption ( $OD_{a, melanin} = \mu_{a, melanin} \cdot l_{melanin}$ ), backscattering ( $OD_{s, melanin} = \mu'_{s, melanin} \cdot l_{melanin}$ ), and the total optical density (Eq. 6) can then be made. As can be seen in Figure 4A, scattering is expected to dominate over absorption for all wavelengths under investigation, which is in contrast to the MC simulation results. In addition, the MC results show several fold lower attenuation for both scattering and absorption.



Figure 4. Relative contributions of light scattering and absorption by retinall pigment epithelium (RPE) melanin. (A) Agreement between Monte-Carlo simulation and theory. plotted based on conditions in the paramacular RPE of a healthy 20-year old person. The optical density (OD) was calculated based on the product of the attenuation coefficient and the melanosome layer thickness ( $I_{melanin}$ ). Attenuation by absorption (*striped line*), scattering (*dotted line*), and total attenuation (*straight line*) are plotted separately. The MC results are shown in blue (*left Y-axis*) and the theoretical result is shown in red (*right Y-axis*). See text for details. (B) Simulations of a thin (3 µm; *blue*) and thick (52.5 µm; *orange*) layer of melanosomes. In case of thicker layers, there is a dominance of the absorption coefficient ( $\mu_{a, melanin}$ , *straight lines*) over the backscattering coefficient ( $\mu'_{s, melanin}$ , *striped lines*) for all tested wavelengths.

We found that both of these phenomena can be explained by two aspects: our simulation was performed for a thin layer (3  $\mu$ m) in combination with a strong tendency for forward scattering in this layer of melanosomes. In this system, photons will deviate from their path by about 30° on average ( $cos^{-1} < g > = cos^{-1}(0.865) = 30.3^{\circ}$ ) at each



Figure 5. Monte-Carlo simulation of light scattering and absorption in a thick layer of melanosomes. In this plot generated by MontCarl, the optical paths (blue lines) of 3000 photons are ray-traced through a relatively thick layer of retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) melanosomes at the concentration *in vivo*. Photons are injected by an infinitely thin light beam at X/Y = 0/ 0. The X- and Z-axes, respectively, indicate the lateral and vertical (depth) location in the sample. Most photons are either absorbed or scattered back at Z = 30 µm. At the assumed maximum *in-vivo* layer 'thickness' of RPE melanosomes (3 µm), a small proportion of photons are backscattered or absorbed.

scattering event, which indicates that randomization of the direction of scattering occurs only after several scattering events. This would suggest that more backscattering occurs when the melanosome layer is thicker. We tested this suggestion by simulating a melanosome layer of either 3  $\mu$ m or 52.5  $\mu$ m with an average transmission of photons of 89.4% and 6.6%, respectively (Figure 4B). We found that, in the case of the thicker sample, absorption actually dominates over scattering for all tested wavelength and an overall reduction in the backscattering coefficient  $\mu'_{s, melanin}$ . This suggests that, although a photon may only backscatter after a given number of scattering events, it becomes increasingly more likely that the photon will be absorbed before it reaches that point. The effect of simulating a thin sample is also illustrated in Figure 5. Thus, in our MC

Lipofuscin-associated photo-oxidative stress during fundus autofluorescence imaging • 140



Figure 6. Light attenuation by retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) melanin *in vivo* varies with age and the presence of Stargardt disease. We calculated the total optical density (OD) of paramacular RPE melanin versus wavelength of incident radiation with Eqs. 4–6 based on results of Monte Carlo simulations. Colored lines indicate attenuation in healthy people of different ages: 20 (*red*). 40 (*green*), and 60 (*orange*). The same is shown for a 20-year old patient with Stargardt disease (black).

simulation (3  $\mu$ m), photons have a greater tendency for absorption as compared to backscattering at shorter wavelengths. The MC results for the various scenarios tested are shown in Figure 6. We found optical screening by melanin in 20-year old patients with STGD1 to be less than half of that in age-matched controls, with the difference diminishing at longer wavelengths.

## Light absorption by lipofuscin

As shown in Figure 7, we found a strong correlation between calibrated SW-AF measurements ( $qAF_{g}$ ) and values we consider indicative of light absorption by lipofuscin ( $n_{g}$ ·I). Based on a linear regression model and our calculated average  $qAF_{g}$  value of patients with STGD1, we interpolated the value of (ng·I) in these patients.

## Oxygen photoconsumption by lipofuscin

We used the results obtained in Sections 3.1 - 3.3 together with data on the oxygen concentration in the RPE in vivo to normalize for differences with in-vitro studies on isolated lipofuscin granules (Eq. S7 in Supplemental Text S<sub>1</sub>).<sup>52</sup> Figure 8 shows age-related differences in the rate of oxygen uptake (pM·cm<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>) during sunlight exposure. This is particularly evident for short-wavelength visible light. However, in patients with STGD1, we found an amplification of the rate of oxygen photoconsumption regardless of wavelength. We integrated the results along  $\lambda$  to better compare results for different



Figure 7. Correlation between calibrated short-wave autofluorescence (SW-AF) measurements and histologic data on lipofuscin granules. We considered the product of optical path length (I) and granule concentration (n<sub>a</sub>) to be indicative of light absorption by lipofuscin granules. Here, we tested whether this product correlates with calibrated SW-AF measurements published earlier ('qAF<sub>a</sub>'),<sup>28,44,</sup> <sup>45</sup> possibly allowing an estimation of this product (n<sub>c</sub>·l) in patients with Stargardt disease (STGD1) based on their qAF<sub>8</sub> values. qAF<sub>8</sub> values were measured in the posterior pole of the fundus (colored area in the inset). Blue dots represent average values of healthy people of different age-ranges; the red dot represents average values of patients with STGD1 (age <30 years). Pearson's correlation (r = 0.97) was significant (P = 0.0259); therefore, a linear regression analysis was performed with data from healthy people (solid line). With the average value of patients with STGD1, we extrapolated the value of (n<sub>a</sub>·l) in STGD1 prior to atrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium. (red dot).

ages, and healthy versus STGD1 (Figure 9). This also facilitates a comparison of low-intensity, broadband radiation (diffuse sunlight) and high-intensity laser narrowband liaht (SW-AF excitation light). Interestingly, the total rate of oxygen uptake during diffuse sunlight exposure in vivo varies little with age according to our simulation. During SW-AF, however, oxygen uptake increases considerably with advancing age. The results suggest that oxygen uptake by lipofuscin is increased by about 3.3-fold in 20-year old patients with STGD1 as compared to age-matched controls. To be more specific, during diffuse sunlight SW-AF and imaging,

this fold-increase is 3.292 and 3.264, respectively. When comparing oxygen uptake during either exposure to diffuse sunlight or to the SW-AF excitation light, we found a 1.33-, 1.70-, and 2.39-fold increase for healthy individuals aged 20, 40, and 60, respectively. For patients with STGD1, we found a 1.32-fold increase, i.e., close to that in age-matched controls.

## Discussion

Herein, we performed a comprehensive simulation of photo-oxidative stress in the RPE in vivo, which suggests that lipofuscin granules had a 3-fold higher oxygen uptake and light absorption in patients with STGD1 compared to age-matched controls. To our knowledge, this is the first study to report STGD1 patients' relative sensitivity to light. We incorporated all known factors influencing light-induced oxygen consumption by RPE lipofuscin, insofar sufficient empirical data was available.



**Figure 8. Numerical simulation of oxygen uptake by lipofuscin in paramacular retinal pigment epithelium** *in vivo* **during exposure to diffuse sunlight.** Oxygen uptake was calculated based on results from a previous investigation of oxygen uptake by isolated human lipofuscin granules.<sup>20</sup> after correction for factors affecting retinal exposure levels *in vivo* (see text). Results were plotted for healthy people of different ages: 20-year old (*red*), 40-year old (*green*), and 60-year old (*orange*). Results for 20-year old patients with Stargardt disease are also shown (*grey*).

#### Optical screening in the fundus

We identified differences in optical attenuation ( $\mu_{a, melanin}$ ) by RPE melanosomes between our simulated in-vivo data and earlier ex-vivo studies. Weiter et al.<sup>39</sup> found a total attenuation of 0.022 ± 0.008 OD·µm<sup>-1</sup> in the apical part of RPE cells ( $\lambda$  = 500-600 nm). This agrees well with the pooled average result of our MC simulation for healthy people aged 20-60 (0.020 ± 0.002 OD·µm<sup>-1</sup>). The difference in  $\mu_{melanin}$  may lie in two facts. First, Weiter et al. could not distinguish between melanin and melanolipofuscin in their



Figure 9. Total rates of oxygen uptake by lipofuscin during light exposure. Rates of oxygen uptake (Figure 8) were integrated along the wavelength of incident radiation to obtain the total rate of O<sub>2</sub>-uptake, as an indication of cellular oxidative stress *in vivo* during exposure to diffuse sunlight (*white bars*) or during SW-AF imaging (*grey bars*). X-axes: age of healthy individuals, or patients with Stargardt disease (age, 20). measurements.<sup>39</sup> Our MC simulation would probably have shown a higher  $\mu_{melanin}$  if we had included melanolipofuscin granules, since the latter granules are known to accumulate with advancing age concomitant with reductions in melanosomes.<sup>55</sup> Second, our simulated 'layer' of melanosomes (1–3 micron) was thinner than the histologic sections used by Weiter et al. (8 micron).<sup>39</sup> As we showed in Figure 5, there is a higher proportion of backscattered photons in our simulation as compared to their study, causing an overall higher  $\mu_{melanin}$ . Therefore, these two facts taken together might explain the aforementioned slight difference with histologic data, in terms of optical attenuation by melanin in the RPE.

However, optical screening by melanin only marginally protects lipofuscin against irradiation. At an OD<sub>melanin</sub> of 0.05, only about 11% of incident light is filtered. Assuming that optical parameters of melanosomes are unchanged in STGD1—as indicated by their normal morphological appearance<sup>49</sup>—the MC simulation showed a 50% lesser screening effect as compared to age-matched controls (Figure 6). Therefore, our data indicates that the apical displacement of melanin in RPE cells of patients with STGD1<sup>49</sup> is of little consequence with regard to intracellular optical screening.

#### Light absorption by lipofuscin

Comparison of light-induced oxidative stress in patients with STGD1 versus healthy controls requires correction for differences in light absorption. Earlier studies used lipofuscin AF as an indication of the concentration of fluorophores.<sup>8, 39</sup> Because calibrated SW-AF measurements<sup>26</sup> are the only quantitative in-vivo indication of the fluorophore concentration, we investigated its correlation with histologic data of the concentration of lipofuscin granules. The fraction of light absorbed (A) can be calculated by the formula  $A = n_q \cdot l \cdot \sigma_q$ , <sup>56</sup> and as shown in Figure 7, we found calibrated SW-AF and  $(n_q \cdot l)$  to be linearly proportional. We considered the latter directly related to the amount of light absorption, because of two indications of an age-invariant absorption cross-section ( $\sigma$ ). First, our image analysis of previously published<sup>40</sup> electron microscopy images shows no age-related difference in granule size (Section C [b] in S1 text), ruling out a change in the amount of light scattering. Second, the optical density of lipofuscin granules decreases only slightly (0 - 14%) with age.<sup>40</sup> In the context of unchanged scattering by these granules, absorption will only marginally change with age. One aspect of note is the increased fluorescence efficiency of oxidized bisretinoids,<sup>57</sup> with the oxidized form of A2E being the strongest fluorophore among them.<sup>58</sup> Also, in lipofuscin granules, the ratio of oxidized A2E versus unoxidized A2E increases considerably with age.<sup>58</sup> These results indicate that increased qAF may not correspond with equally increased light absorption. Another aspect to consider is the effect of internal optical screening among lipofuscin granules at high concentrations, due to the high optical density of each granule.<sup>40</sup> This would also cause a lack of linear proportionality between total light absorbed and the granule concentration, especially at high concentrations. Since these two aspects would lead to an overestimation of the amount of light absorbed at high  $qAF_8$  or high granule concentrations, a linear relationship between  $qAF_8$  and  $(n_g \cdot I)$  may be expected. On the other hand, this means that light absorption in patients with STGD1 is probably increased by less than 3-fold.

#### Oxygen uptake

Our results suggest that RPE cells of patients with STGD1 are at increased risk of oxidative stress. During SW-AF imaging, the potential for oxidation almost doubles from age 20 to age 60 (Figure 9). We found a 3.3-fold increase in the rate of oxygen uptake in 20-year old patients with STGD1 relative to that in age-matched controls, regardless of the exposure regime. However, we cannot conclude whether the oxidant/anti-oxidant balance is affected, and if permanent impairment will occur to the RPE or photoreceptor cells. RPE cells are highly resistant to oxidative stress,<sup>59</sup> but survival of RPE cells under light stress is largely determined by the relative concentrations of lipofuscin and melanosomes.<sup>60</sup> This balance is clearly less favorable in patients with STGD1; the limited anti-oxidative capacity afforded by melanosomes may prove insufficient to cope with situations of increased oxidative stress when it would normally suffice. In any case, indirect effects of oxidative stress that likely cause damage to photoreceptors have been proven, in terms of a decrease of outer segment phagocytosis by RPE cells.<sup>61, 62</sup> Impairments in this key function of RPE cells can result in retinal degenerations.<sup>63</sup>

#### Limitations and perspectives

This study had several limitations. First, melanosomes can reduce iron-mediated oxidation in RPE in vitro by protecting against redox-active metal ion-mediated oxidation.<sup>64, 65</sup> However, whether the net result of this process is anti- or even pro-oxidant depends on many factors, such as relative concentrations of metal ions, small molecular weight ion chelators and melanin-binding sites, presence of oxygen, and irradiation conditions.<sup>65</sup> Due to lack of related *in-vivo* data on these parameters and their interactions, we omitted this part from our simulation of oxidative stress. Second, the aerobic photoreactivity of melanosomes and melanolipofuscin was not taken into account. These granules display about 6- and 3-fold less oxygen uptake upon irradiation,<sup>66</sup> respectively. In addition, in comparison to lipofuscin, they have a relatively high yield of hydrogen peroxide,<sup>66</sup> which has a long half-life,<sup>67</sup> and thus is less prone to cause unwanted oxidative damage. Also, melanolipofuscinogenesis involves a gradual fusion of two granule types,<sup>68</sup> and optical characteristics and oxygen uptake may differ with advanced progression which may be difficult to model accurately in an MC simulation. Although we calculated oxygen uptake in STGD1 under the assumption that the photophysical characteristics of RPE lipofuscin remain unchanged, this may prove incorrect considering the 'abnormal form of lipofuscin' noted in a histological study of STGD1.<sup>49</sup> Furthermore, we have not evaluated the consequences of the consumed oxygen; that requires future work that is able to culture RPE cells under replicated *in-vivo* conditions. We anticipate that this will yield insights into the tolerance of RPE cells to oxidative stress under physiological cell culturing—and light exposure—conditions. It is of interest to note that AF imaging in patients with STGD1 at  $\lambda$  = 532 nm, instead of 488 nm, leads to less oxygen uptake at equal optical power: a 0.98-fold versus a 1.32-fold increased uptake relative to daylight, respectively.

#### Conclusions

Our numerical simulation of susceptibility to phototoxicity in health and disease indicated a substantial increase in the rate of oxygen uptake by lipofuscin in patients with STGD1. However, sufficient empirical data is lacking on the molecular dynamics of the interplay between increased oxygen uptake, synthesis of oxygen radicals, anti-oxidants, and mechanisms leading to permanent retinal damage. Unfortunately, current *in-vivo* measurement techniques are insufficiently sensitive to show any effect of subthreshold light damage in patients. Considerable insight into these dynamics can be gained by numerical simulation and comparisons with empirical data obtained in cells cultured in replicated (patho)physiological conditions. Simulations can also elucidate the relative vulnerability of various retinal areas with different characteristics. We anticipate that this can eventually lead to personalized risk assessments of patients undergoing retinal light exposure in various settings. In high risk patients it may be advisable to largely avoid chronic exposure to light with wavelengths less than 500 nm and to choose autofluorescence excitation above this wavelength.

## References

- 1. Delori FC, Dorey CK, Staurenghi G, et al. In vivo fluorescence of the ocular fundus exhibits retinal pigment epithelium lipofuscin characteristics. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1995;36(3):718-29.
- Eldred GE, Katz ML. Fluorophores of the human retinal pigment epithelium: separation and spectral characterization. Exp Eye Res 1988;47(1):71-86.
- Katz ML, Eldred GE, Robison WG, Jr. Lipofuscin autofluorescence: evidence for vitamin A involvement in the retina. Mech Ageing Dev 1987;39(1):81-90.
- 4. Ben-Shabat S, Parish CA, Vollmer HR, et al. Biosynthetic studies of A2E, a major fluorophore of retinal pigment epithelial lipofuscin. J Biol Chem 2002;277(9):7183-90.
- Liu J, Itagaki Y, Ben-Shabat S, et al. The biosynthesis of A2E, a fluorophore of aging retina, involves the formation of the precursor, A2-PE, in the photoreceptor outer segment membrane. J Biol Chem 2000;275(38):29354-60.
- 6. Katz ML, Drea CM, Eldred GE, et al. Influence of early photoreceptor degeneration on lipofuscin in the retinal pigment epithelium. Exp Eye Res 1986;43(4):561-73.
- Young RW, Bok D. Participation of the retinal pigment epithelium in the rod outer segment renewal process. J Cell Biol 1969;42(2):392-403.
- Wing GL, Blanchard GC, Weiter JJ. The topography and age relationship of lipofuscin concentration in the retinal pigment epithelium. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1978;17(7):601-7.
- Delori FC, Goger DG, Dorey CK. Age-related accumulation and spatial distribution of lipofuscin in RPE of normal subjects. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2001;42(8):1855-66.
- 10. ANSI. American national Standard for Safe Use of Lasers (ANSI 136.1). ANSI 1361-2007: The Laser Institute of America, 2007.
- Sliney D, Aron-Rosa D, DeLori F, et al. Adjustment of guidelines for exposure of the eye to optical radiation from ocular instruments: statement from a task group of the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP). Applied Optics 2005;44(11):2162-76.
- 12. Delori FC, Webb RH, Sliney DH. Maximum permissible exposures for ocular safety (ANSI 2000), with emphasis on ophthalmic devices. Journal of the Optical Society of America a-Optics Image Science and Vision 2007;24(5):1250-65.
- 13. Cideciyan AV, Jacobson SG, Aleman TS, et al. In vivo dynamics of retinal injury and repair in the rhodopsin mutant dog model of human retinitis pigmentosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;102(14):5233-8.
- 14. Paskowitz DM, LaVail MM, Duncan JL. Light and inherited retinal degeneration. British Journal of Ophthalmology 2006;90(8):1060-6.
- Cideciyan AV, Swider M, Aleman TS, et al. Reduced-illuminance autofluorescence imaging in ABCA4associated retinal degenerations. Journal of the Optical Society of America a-Optics Image Science and Vision 2007;24(5):1457-67.
- 16. Hunter JJ, Morgan JI, Merigan WH, et al. The susceptibility of the retina to photochemical damage from visible light. Prog Retin Eye Res 2012;31(1):28-42.
- 17. Weng J, Mata NL, Azarian SM, et al. Insights into the function of Rim protein in photoreceptors and etiology of Stargardt's disease from the phenotype in Abcr knockout mice. Cell 1999;98(1):13-23.
- Wu L, Ueda K, Nagasaki T, Sparrow JR. Light damage in Abca4 and Rpe65rd12 mice. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2014;55(3):1910-8.
- 19. Teussink MM, Lee MD, Smith RT, et al. The effect of light deprivation in patients with stargardt disease. Am J Ophthalmol 2015;159(5):964-72 e2.
- Yannuzzi LA, Fisher YL, Krueger A, Slakter J. Solar retinopathy: a photobiological and geophysical analysis. Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc 1987;85:120-58.
- American Society for Testing and Materials A. Standard Tables for Reference Solar Spectral Irradiance at Air mass 1.5: Direct Normal spectrum for a 37 Degree Tilted Surface (ASTM G173-03 Global Tilt), ISO 9845-1, 1992. 1999.
- 22. Burns SA, Webb RH. Optical generation of the visual stimulus. In: Bass M, van Stryland EW, Williams DR, Wolfe WL, eds. Handbook of Optics: McGrawhill, 1994.

- Winn B, Whitaker D, Elliott DB, Phillips NJ. Factors affecting light-adapted pupil size in normal human subjects. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1994;35(3):1132-7.
- 24. Pokorny J, Smith VC, Lutze M. Aging of the human lens. Appl Opt 1987;26(8):1437-40.
- van de Kraats J, van Norren D. Optical density of the aging human ocular media in the visible and the UV. J Opt Soc Am A Opt Image Sci Vis 2007;24(7):1842-57.
- Delori F, Greenberg JP, Woods RL, et al. Quantitative measurements of autofluorescence with the scanning laser ophthalmoscope. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2011;52(13):9379-90.
- Hammer M, Roggan A, Schweitzer D, Muller G. Optical properties of ocular fundus tissues--an in vitro study using the double-integrating-sphere technique and inverse Monte Carlo simulation. Phys Med Biol 1995;40(6):963-78.
- Mahroo OA, Lamb TD. Recovery of the human photopic electroretinogram after bleaching exposures: estimation of pigment regeneration kinetics. J Physiol 2004;554(Pt 2):417-37.
- 29. Dartnall HJ, Bowmaker JK, Mollon JD. Human visual pigments: microspectrophotometric results from the eyes of seven persons. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 1983;220(1218):115-30.
- 30. Sharpe T. Length (µm) of outer segments of human photoreceptors. v. 2015.
- Curcio CA, Sloan KR, Kalina RE, Hendrickson AE. Human photoreceptor topography. J Comp Neurol 1990;292(4):497-523.
- 32. Dryja TP, O'Neil-Dryja M, Albert DM. Elemental analysis of melanins from bovine hair, iris, choroid, and retinal pigment epithelium. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1979;18(3):231-6.
- Nofsinger JB, Forest SE, Simon JD. Explanations for the disparity among absorption and action spectra of eumelanin J Phys Chem 1999;103:11428-32.
- Prahl SA, Keijzer SL, Jacques SL, Welch AJ. A Monte Carlo model of light propagation in tissue. SPIE Inst Ser IS 5 1989:102-11.
- Wang L, Jacques SL, Zheng L. MCML--Monte Carlo modeling of light transport in multi-layered tissues. Comput Methods Programs Biomed 1995;47(2):131-46.
- 36. Bergougnoux L, Misguich-Ripault J, Firpo JL, Andre J. Monte Carlo calculation of backscattered light intensity by suspension: comparison with experimental data. Appl Opt 1996;35(10):1735-41.
- Tran NT, Campbell CG, Shi FG. Study of particle size effects on an optical fiber sensor response examined with Monte Carlo simulation. Appl Opt 2006;45(29):7557-66.
- Cracknell KP, Farnell DJ, Grierson I. Monte Carlo simulation of latanoprost induced iris darkening. Comput Methods Programs Biomed 2007;87(2):93-103.
- Weiter JJ, Delori FC, Wing GL, Fitch KA. Retinal pigment epithelial lipofuscin and melanin and choroidal melanin in human eyes. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1986;27(2):145-52.
- Boulton M, Docchio F, Dayhaw-Barker P, et al. Age-related changes in the morphology, absorption and fluorescence of melanosomes and lipofuscin granules of the retinal pigment epithelium. Vision Res 1990;30(9):1291-303.
- 41. Delori FC, Staurenghi G, Arend O, et al. In vivo measurement of lipofuscin in Stargardt's disease--Fundus flavimaculatus. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1995;36(11):2327-31.
- 42. Greenberg JP, Duncker T, Woods RL, et al. Quantitative fundus autofluorescence in healthy eyes. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2013;54(8):5684-93.
- 43. Burke TR, Duncker T, Woods RL, et al. Quantitative fundus autofluorescence in recessive Stargardt disease. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2014;55(5):2841-52.
- 44. Fish G, Grey R, Sehmi KS, Bird AC. The dark choroid in posterior retinal dystrophies. Br J Ophthalmol 1981;65(5):359-63.
- Uliss AE, Moore AT, Bird AC. The dark choroid in posterior retinal dystrophies. Ophthalmology 1987;94(11):1423-7.
- Bonnin MP. [The choroidal silence sign in central tapetoretinal degenerations examined by fluorescein]. Bull Soc Ophtalmol Fr 1971;71(3):348-51.
- Bonnin MP, Passot M, Triolaire-Cotten T. Le signe du silence choroidien dans les degenerescences tapeto-retiennes posterieures. In: De Laey JJ, ed. Internation Symposium on Fluorescein Angiography Doc Ophthalmol 1976.

- 48. Ueda K, Zhao J, Kim HJ, Sparrow JR. Photodegradation of retinal bisretinoids in mouse models and implications for macular degeneration. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2016;113(25):6904-9.
- 49. Eagle RC, Jr., Lucier AC, Bernardino VB, Jr., Yanoff M. Retinal pigment epithelial abnormalities in fundus flavimaculatus: a light and electron microscopic study. Ophthalmology 1980;87(12):1189-200.
- 50. Eldred GE, Lasky MR. Retinal age pigments generated by self-assembling lysosomotropic detergents. Nature 1993;361(6414):724-6.
- Sparrow JR, Blonska A, Flynn E, et al. Quantitative fundus autofluorescence in mice: correlation with HPLC quantitation of RPE lipofuscin and measurement of retina outer nuclear layer thickness. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2013;54(4):2812-20.
- Rozanowska M, Pawlak A, Rozanowski B, et al. Age-related changes in the photoreactivity of retinal lipofuscin granules: role of chloroform-insoluble components. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2004;45(4):1052-60.
- 53. van Gemert JC, Berenbaum MC, Gijsbers GH. Wavelength and light-dose dependence in tumour phototherapy with haematoporphyrin derivative. Br J Cancer 1985;52(1):43-9.
- Kawauchi S, Sato S, Morimoto Y, Kikuchi M. Correlation between oxygen consumption and photobleaching during in vitro photodynamic treatment with ATX-S10.Na(II) using pulsed light excitation: dependence of pulse repetition rate and irradiation time. Photochem Photobiol 2004;80(2):216-23.
- 55. Feeney-Burns L, Hilderbrand ES, Eldridge S. Aging human RPE: morphometric analysis of macular, equatorial, and peripheral cells. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1984;25(2):195-200.
- 56. Jacques SL. Optical properties of biological tissues: a review. Phys Med Biol 2013;58(11):R37-61.
- 57. Kim SR, Jang YP, Sparrow JR. Photooxidation of RPE lipofuscin bisretinoids enhances fluorescence intensity. Vision Res 2010;50(7):729-36.
- Feldman TB, Yakovleva MA, Arbukhanova PM, et al. Changes in spectral properties and composition of lipofuscin fluorophores from human-retinal-pigment epithelium with age and pathology. Anal Bioanal Chem 2015;407(4):1075-88.
- Kurz T, Karlsson M, Brunk UT, et al. ARPE-19 retinal pigment epithelial cells are highly resistant to oxidative stress and exercise strict control over their lysosomal redox-active iron. Autophagy 2009;5(4):494-501.
- Zareba M, Skumatz CM, Sarna TJ, Burke JM. Photic injury to cultured RPE varies among individual cells in proportion to their endogenous lipofuscin content as modulated by their melanosome content. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2014;55(8):4982-90.
- Qin S, De Vries GW. alpha2 But not alpha1 AMP-activated protein kinase mediates oxidative stressinduced inhibition of retinal pigment epithelium cell phagocytosis of photoreceptor outer segments. J Biol Chem 2008;283(11):6744-51.
- Olchawa MM, Herrnreiter AM, Skumatz CM, et al. Photosensitized oxidative stress to ARPE-19 cells decreases protein receptors that mediate photoreceptor outer segment phagocytosis. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2013;54(3):2276-87.
- 63. Mullen RJ, LaVail MM. Inherited retinal dystrophy: primary defect in pigment epithelium determined with experimental rat chimeras. Science 1976;192(4241):799-801.
- 64. Zadlo A, Burke JM, Sarna T. Effect of untreated and photobleached bovine RPE melanosomes on the photoinduced peroxidation of lipids. Photochem Photobiol Sci 2009;8(6):830-7.
- 65. Rozanowski B, Burke JM, Boulton ME, et al. Human RPE melanosomes protect from photosensitized and iron-mediated oxidation but become pro-oxidant in the presence of iron upon photodegradation. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2008;49(7):2838-47.
- 66. Rozanowska M, Korytowski W, Rozanowski B, et al. Photoreactivity of aged human RPE melanosomes: a comparison with lipofuscin. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2002;43(7):2088-96.
- 67. Winkler BS, Boulton ME, Gottsch JD, Sternberg P. Oxidative damage and age-related macular degeneration. Mol Vis 1999;5:32.
- Feeney L. Lipofuscin and melanin of human retinal pigment epithelium. Fluorescence, enzyme cytochemical, and ultrastructural studies. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1978;17(7):583-600.



CHAPTER 5 Discussion Over 7000 rare diseases exist, and together, they represent a relevant public health issue. They afflict up to 10 million European Union citizens, about half of which being children.<sup>1</sup> For these patients, the scientific landscape attests to the promising and encouraging progress in finding a cure. We would not only be intervening in behavior and environment, for example, avoiding excessive sun light exposure and dietary restrictions in Stargardt disease, but we will also have opportunities to alter disease progression and possibly even to restore vision. Optimists may envision therapies becoming available within the next five years,<sup>2</sup> but the scientific process generally proves to be a "long and winding road". Each step on this road to a cure is essential for the eventual success.

Eventually, a potential cure needs to be approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and/or European Medicines Agency (EMA). To be approved by these official agencies, a clinical trial is required to prove that a potential cure is effective. To be able to prove this, clinical endpoints that represent a measurable and significant effect are needed. In turn, the FDA/EMA must also consent with these chosen endpoints. This thesis directly addresses the specific challenges associated with treatment evaluation for rare diseases, specifically for Stargardt disease. The general aims of this thesis are to: [1] evaluate the effect of nature's time by characterizing the natural history of distinct patient groups within the spectrum of Stargardt disease [2] identify the diagnostic challenges in distinct patient groups within Stargardt disease to be able to select the right patients in the right trial, and [3] choose the appropriate method for outcome evaluation by developing a model to measure disease progression with high accuracy. These steps provide a strategy for future studies which aim to identify the effect of novel treatments in rare and heterogeneous diseases, in which Stargardt disease serves as a model.

This discussion will outline the main findings and put the results in a broad and future perspective. The main findings were as follows: in **Chapter 2**, we described phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of early-onset Stargardt and late-onset Stargardt. Early-onset Stargardt can be considered a distinct severe subtype of Stargardt disease that is characterized by early foveal abnormalities and the rapid loss of visual function. In contrast, late-onset Stargardt frequently demonstrates foveal sparing in which visual acuity is often preserved to a relatively advanced age. This difference in natural history provides the basis to create models that describe disease progression. **Chapter 3** reveals the challenges in the clinical diagnosis of Stargardt disease. Increased awareness of the absence of retinal abnormalities in certain young Stargardt patients helps finding the correct diagnosis. It can prevent distress, unnecessary investigations and harmful therapies and may prove important in early inclusion in future trials. Inclusion in future trials is also challenging in older patients because of retinal abnormalities mimicked by age-related disease; both age-related macular degeneration and late-onset Stargardt can exhibit

progressive foveal sparing atrophy. However, they differ in etiology and progression speed. Finally, **Chapter 4** creates models of disease progression in Stargardt disease. The inter-eye concordance decreases as the age at onset increases. This has important consequences for clinical trial design; potential treatment effects are best assessed in young patients. Moreover, there is substantial benefit of using a composite outcome measure to assess efficacy in clinical trials for Stargardt disease with small cohorts in a timely fashion. In all of these assessments, fundus autofluorescence imaging is used as a main tool to measure disease progression. However, we need to bear in mind that patients with Stargardt disease are generally at increased risk of photo-oxidative stress.

# 5.1 Relevance of disease classification

## Etiological and prognostic and homogeneity

To classify patients to a specific disease or disease group that is clinically relevant, both sufficient prognostic and etiological homogeneity between patients are required.<sup>3</sup> Such a classification is necessary for appropriate patient counselling as well as treatment selection. Moreover, appropriate patient selection is a prerequisite to be able to evaluate a treatment effect in clinical trials. Patients are to be selected with sufficient prognostic and etiologic homogeneity when few patients are included. Otherwise, an effect may be observed while there is none, or it may not be observed while in fact there is. The degree of etiologic and prognostic homogeneity in subgroups of Stargardt disease according to phenotype, genotype and electrophysiology is discussed in the subsequent paragraphs.

## Phenotypical similarity

Four distinct retinal features reflecting stages of Stargardt disease were previously described by Fishman: an atrophic-appearing macular lesion with localized perifoveal flecks, retinal flecks throughout the posterior pole, resorbed flecks, and extensive atrophic-appearing changes of the retinal pigment epithelium and choroid.<sup>4, 5</sup> These phenotypes represent stages in the natural history of Stargardt disease, although not all patients go through these stages and some appear to halt at a certain stage. This classification thus only addresses phenotypical similarity at a given time point, but do not take etiological and prognostic similarity into account.

## Patterns on electroretinography

Stargardt disease demonstrates vast prognostic heterogeneity, that is, differences in disease course. A classification that addresses prognostic homogeneity involves three patterns on electroretinography (ERG) identified by Lois: severe pattern ERG abnormality with normal full-field ERG, additional loss of photopic function consistent with a clinical diagnosis of cone dystrophy, or loss of both photopic and scotopic function,

i.e., cone-rod dystrophy.<sup>6</sup> The latter would have the worst prognosis. Although these patterns are suggested to have this prognostic value, a transition over time from one to another pattern can still occur within a patient.<sup>7</sup> For instance, a patient can have a severely abnormal pattern ERG but an otherwise normal full-field ERG at his initial presentation. This would indicate a maculopathy consistent with Stargardt disease. However, additional loss of photopic and scotopic function is likely to occur over time. As this pattern is consistent with a cone-rod dystrophy, this patient's prognosis changes as his disease progresses.

### Genotype-phenotype correlations

The etiology in Stargardt disease is clearly apparent: disease-causing variants in the ABCA4 gene. A classification was developed by genotype: the expected residual function of the ABCA4 protein resulting in the mildest phenotype of age-related macular degeneration (one mild ABCA4 variant) to the most severe retinitis pigmentosa-like phenotype (two severe ABCA4 variants).<sup>8</sup> This model by Van Driel may hold for the average of genotypic groups, but discrepancies can be observed in individual patients. For instance, occasionally only one variant is detected in severe retinal dystrophy,<sup>9</sup> whereas a combination of a severe and a mild variant can be detected in mild foveal sparing disease.<sup>10, 11</sup> Comprehensive genotype-phenotype correlations may further help identify those patients who are expected to have prognostic homogeneity. In case of homozygous variants with no ABCA4 function (null variants), a rather simple correlation can be made with severe disease. Furthermore, specific missense variants are now associated with disease severity classes ranging from a mild phenotype to a severe null-like phenotype based on electroretinographic findings.<sup>12</sup> Straightforward conclusions still cannot be made; each combination of ABCA4 variants will have a unique combined effect on disease severity. Moreover, the variations in phenotype can be caused by loss or alteration of contiguous genes, transporter proteins and activator proteins. Such modifiers have become increasingly recognized as an important source of phenotypic variation. Arrayed primer extension genotyping and Sanger sequencing are now standard methods of genetic analysis, but next-generation sequencing is increasingly changing the diagnostic workflow of retinal specialists to identify novel variants in approximately 250 retinal genes.<sup>13,14</sup> As the entire genome sequence can now be determined, challenges lay ahead to interpret newly detected variants. Genotype-phenotype correlations can further unravel the heterogeneity of Stargardt disease, and let us better understand potential differences in the effect of new treatments.<sup>15</sup>

#### The age of onset

Multiple types of subgroups have now been proposed resulting in multiple possibilities of classifications, some of which confusingly can change over time within the same patient.

Each combination of genotypic, funduscopic and electrophysiologic findings could be considered a unique type at a specific time point. Hence, the multitude of descriptive classifications and stages does not identify specific diagnostic subgroups of Stargardt disease with sufficient clinically relevant prognostic homogeneity. Prognostic homogeneity can be identified by long-term follow-up that carefully evaluates the natural history of patients. The approach of grouping patients based on their natural history has led to a division of Stargardt disease into three groups based on the age at onset. Age dependency on the eventual visual prognosis has indeed been previously described,<sup>16</sup> and now translates itself into subgroups of Stargardt disease described in **Chapter 2**: [1] early-onset Stargardt ( $\leq$ 10 years of age) with minor initial abnormalities, early foveal loss, and a fast progression toward retina-wide chorioretinal atrophy with severe vision loss,<sup>9, 17</sup> and [2] late-onset Stargardt ( $\geq$ 45 years of age) with a relatively intact fovea surrounded by yellow-white fundus flecks and gradually expanding, well-demarcated areas of atrophic retinal pigment epithelium lesions.<sup>10, 18</sup> This age-specific incidence distribution allows at least a third subgroup of intermediate-onset Stargardt patients

#### 1. Evaluate the effect of time

**Challenge:** Rare diseases inherently have small populations. Moreover, they demonstrate a wide range of severity in their natural progression.

**Case:** Three subgroups of Stargardt disease that are based on the age of onset converges to an identical single clinical and functional endpoint, demonstrating prognostic homogeneity: early-onset Stargardt, intermediate-onset Stargardt and late-onset Stargardt.

**Conclusion:** Knowledge of the effect of time provides the basis to identify any intervention that aims to change the natural course of the disease. Classification of disease is therefore required to have patient groups with etiologic as well as prognostic homogeneity. Thus, natural history studies are essential to be performed prior to the initiation of any clinical trial.

with an incidence between 10 and 45 of age. A comparable bimodal pattern occurs in acute lymphoblastic leukemia, with an initial incidence rate peak among infants, a decline in childhood, and an exponential rise with advancing age beginning in young adulthood.<sup>19</sup> These subgroups within Stargardt disease still contain a broad clinical spectrum of their natural courses by differences of electroretinographic abnor-

malities, retinal abnormalities in terms of the spatiotemporal distribution of yellow-white flecks, as well as combinations of ABCA4 variants. Further refinement of differential prognosis may therefore still lie in the severity of loss of ABCA4 function, ERG findings, or other imaging modalities.<sup>6, 7, 20</sup> Nonetheless, each of the three subgroups that are based on the age of onset converges to an identical single clinical and functional endpoint—thus demonstrating prognostic homogeneity.

# 5.2 Identification and selection of patients

Rare diseases are generally difficult to recognize and diagnose, because of their wide range of severity both at clinical presentation and natural progression. This also accounts for Stargardt disease, particularly in early-onset and late-onset Stargardt disease.

#### Early diagnostics

In young patients, the retinal abnormalities may be very subtle despite major visual complaints (**Chapter 3.1**). In such cases, there are no

#### 2. Select the right patients

**Challenge:** Rare diseases generally have a clinical presentation that is often incompletely described and difficult to be recognized.

**Case:** In Stargardt disease, young patients initially have limited retinal abnormalities, while the visual acuity loss is quite severe. Subtle abnormalities can be detected by optical coherence tomography and fundus autofluorescence imaging. Patients with late-onset Stargardt disease can demonstrate abnormalities mimicking age-related macular degeneration and need to be carefully distinguished.

**Conclusion:** Inclusion of wrong patients in clinical trials would blur the therapeutic effect under observation and potentially lead to a fail in proving efficacy. Appropriate diagnostic tools and information will help early and correct diagnosis to select the right patients for a specific trial at the right time.

obvious yellow-white flecks or evident atrophic macular lesion that would help direct the clinician to the diagnosis of Stargardt disease. The fluctuating severity of visual acuity loss further impedes a correct diagnosis. Color vision tests and electroretinography<sup>21</sup> may help in screening in the early disease stages. However, a thorough examination by fundus autofluorescence imaging and spectral-domain optical coherence tomography will enable to detect subtle abnormalities that point to the right diagnosis, which are otherwise left undetected. This includes parafoveal hyperautofluorescence,<sup>22</sup> and a thickened external limiting membrane.<sup>23</sup> Thickening of this hyperreflective band is more recently being hypothesized to represent a structural change at the level of the foveal cone nuclei, sparing the foveolar region.<sup>24</sup> Early diagnostics remains challenging, but essential if a potential treatment requires the earliest possible intervention, i.e., in gene therapy.

Similar diagnostic challenges arise in late-onset Stargardt patients. Here, the challenge lies in distinguishing late-onset Stargardt<sup>10, 25</sup> with atrophic age-related macular degeneration (**Chapter 3.2**).<sup>25, 26</sup> Both include a progressive foveal sparing atrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium, but the underlying pathophysiologic process differs. Consequently, potential treatments are different as well because of different mechanisms; in age-related macular degeneration, multiple factors play a role. Strategies in multi-factorial age-related macular degeneration may better involve final common pathways

leading to cell death from choroidal perfusion enhancers over neuroprotective agents to complement inhibitors.<sup>27, 28</sup> In contrast, ABCA4 will remain the main factor in late-onset Stargardt, and thus the primary target for interventions.<sup>29</sup>

#### **Timely interventions**

The potential delay in diagnosis has important clinical implications; not only does it delay appropriate counseling and interventions, but also will it be important to have an early diagnosis when it comes to early disease-modifying interventions by inclusion in gene therapy trials. Moreover, those patients are preferably included before the disease is expected to rapidly progress further, increasing the chance to detect differences when progression is slowed down. These features have been shown to be present in early-onset Stargardt patients (**Chapter 2.1**). Finally, misdiagnosis risks patients being selected in trials with a suboptimal therapeutic effect.

# 5.3 Endpoints in clinical trials

Visual function tests, such as best-corrected visual acuity or visual field may be suitable endpoints in clinical trials with large cohorts and long follow-up. However, when cohorts are small, other strategies are needed. Alternative designs in clinical trials and endpoints can potentially decrease the time and cost required to assess the safety and effect of new drugs.<sup>30</sup> Although many of these techniques are not new, an integral approach can optimize clinical trials in rare diseases like Stargardt. In this thesis, such strategies are provided.

#### Surrogate endpoints

The choice of an appropriate endpoint can drastically affect the power of a study. Loss of visual acuity has been the primary clinical endpoint in many ocular clinical trials since the advent of the Early Treatment for Diabetic Retinopathy Studies. However, functional endpoints such as visual acuity have been shown not to be the optimal outcome measure in small clinical trials due to its high interindividual variance,<sup>16</sup> test variability<sup>31, 32</sup> and overall slow decline. This high variance can result in unrealistic large cohorts and long follow-up required when used in a trial.

In contrast, structural parameters on fundus autofluorescence and optical coherence tomography provide better characteristics in terms of detectable small short-term change as the disease progresses (**Chapter 4.1 and 4.2**). These surrogate measurements can reflect changes in functional disease progression, which are otherwise only detectable with long follow-up.<sup>33</sup> However, there is always an unavoidable trade-off between clinical relevance of an outcome and the time frame in which it occurs.

A therapeutic effect on a surrogate endpoint does not necessarily mean that the therapy also has an effect on the functional endpoint (Figure 1).<sup>34</sup> The method for outcome evaluation need to adhere to the requirement that the endpoint lies in the causal pathway of the disease process. For example, in an upcoming trial for the treatment Stargardt disease, Remofuscin intervenes in the removal of lipofuscin.<sup>35</sup> Consequently, the endpoint need to be a measurement that rather detects a change in lipofuscin than the later stages of retinal pigment epithelium atrophy and loss of visual function. Because lipofuscin accumulation is expected to result in the eventual retinal degeneration with associated loss in vision function, such a surrogate endpoint is reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit.

A valid surrogate endpoint is not only correlated with the clinically meaningful endpoint, but also captures the net effect of treatment on the clinical outcome completely.36 According to these criteria, no surrogate can possibly suffice; to show that a surrogate is a valid outcome, one would need an effective drug showing the eventual benefit on both the surrogate and a clinically meaningful endpoint. However, the surrogate is used to prevent waiting on the occurrence of the clinically meaningful endpoint in the first place-clearly a catch-22. Thus, Fleming later defined an endpoint validation hierarchv for outcome measures: level 1, clinical-efficacy endpoints; level 2, a validated surrogate endpoint (for a specific disease setting and class of interventions); level 3,



**Figure 1. Reasons of possible failure of surrogate endpoints.** [1] The surrogate is not in the causal pathway of the disease process, [2] of several causal pathways of disease, the intervention affects only the pathway mediated through the surrogate. [3] the surrogate is not in the pathway of the intervention's effect or is insensitive to its effect, or [4] the intervention has mechanisms of action independent of the disease process.

a nonvalidated surrogate endpoint, yet one established to be "reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit" (for a specific disease setting and class of interventions), and level 4, a correlate that is a measure of biological activity, but that has not been established to be a higher level.<sup>37</sup> **Chapter 2.2** has shown that progression of RPE atrophy can be used as a "level 3" surrogate in late-onset Stargardt. Despite having a disconnect between visual acuity and RPE atrophy because of a frequently occurring initial foveal sparing pattern,<sup>11, 38-40</sup> foveal involvement can drive the eventual vision loss, and the fovea is more likely to be involved as RPE atrophy progresses, both centrifugally toward the periphery, as well as centripetally toward the fovea. A similar structural parameter, geographic atrophy has been already accepted as a surrogate outcome measure by the FDA in age-related macular degeneration,<sup>41</sup> and may also be valuable in other retinal diseases affecting the RPE. Long-term natural history studies provide further insight into the value of surrogate endpoints reflecting disease progression which lead to the eventual clinically meaningful endpoint.

#### Multimodal strategy

The endpoint can be further optimized by identifying a unique combination of structural measurements that has the best signal-to-noise ratio, i.e., the largest change in disease progression with minimal variability.<sup>42</sup> The downside of a composite outcome is that it increases the complexity in a trial, both for the construction as well as interpretation of the results.<sup>43</sup> The concern that many published research findings are false may particularly apply to the use of these complex outcome measurements. Moreover, research findings are less likely to be true when the sample sizes and effect sizes are smaller. Therefore, in interventional trials for rare diseases including Stargardt disease, it is even more critical that the design is prospectively defined: being randomized and blinded where possible and the primary objectives clear and the complex statistical analysis (for example, as presented in **Chapter 4.2**) to address the primary objectives prespecified. Only then will the interpretation of the results be valid.<sup>44</sup>

Composite endpoints can be extremely valuable in highly accurate measurements of disease progression. The heterogeneity of Stargardt disease requires knowledge of which specific combination of measurements are the most important in specific patient groups to identify a response or non-response for a specific treatment. In **Chapter 4.2**, multimodal analysis of disease progression has been shown to be able to provide an appropriate composite endpoint in early-onset Stargardt disease by applying different weights,<sup>45</sup> i.e., importance, to individual parameters that ultimately form the composite. The importance of the rate of ellipsoid zone loss, which was automatically identified by the model, was indeed confirmed by other studies.<sup>46, 47</sup> Other parameters, such as en-face optical coherence tomography, may also prove highly valuable in measuring disease progression.<sup>48</sup>

This composite endpoint outperforms any unimodal structural endpoint in terms of signal-to-noise ratio, further facilitating the detection of potential treatment effects. Statistical models can then establish the distribution of an expected range of future outcomes. If a treatment exceeds the predicted future ranges, the intervention can be considered to have an effect (Figure 2). Such potential treatment effects can be simulated in varying patient populations and study designs. This approach can eventually optimize the trial design for the right patient group.

#### Other considerations in trial design

The methodology of controlled trials can be improved to facilitate patient recruitment, reduce the number of patients required to include, optimize trial duration and increase statistical power.

The standard parallel group comparisons require large sample sizes, but a factorial design, based on parallel groups, can include more than two treatment arms; patients are randomized twice—once for treatment X or placebo and then for treatment Y or placebo—can be time-saving and requires fewer patients to answer two questions. Matched-pairs parallel groups allow patients to be matched with another patient having similar characteristics, taking advantage of similarities to improve power. A major advan-

#### 3. Choose the appropriate method for outcome evaluation

**Challenge:** A randomized-controlled design includes an appropriate sample size, statistical power, and methods to minimize bias. This is unfeasible with conventional methods in rare diseases.

**Case:** The heterogeneity of Stargardt disease requires knowledge of which specific combination of measurements are the most important in specific patient groups to identify a response or non-response for a specific treatment. In early-onset Stargardt, a unique combination of structural measurements has shown to have the best signal-to-noise ratio, i.e., the largest change in disease progression with minimal variability.

**Conclusion:** Clinical trials need to be adapted to small populations. A right endpoint is needed to identify any modification of the natural disease progression to evaluate the effectiveness of new treatments. This endpoint depends on the patient selection as well as the specific treatment being evaluated. Herein, composite endpoints can be extremely valuable in highly accurate measurements of disease progression. This approach can eventually optimize the trial design in rare diseases.

tage in ocular therapies is the availability of the ideal matched-pair control. When a local therapy to an eye of a patient is applied, his untreated eye is the internal matched pair. The advantage will increase when the inter-eye, i.e., within-participant, correlation is high. A fellow-eye paired trial design is therefore recommended in retinal dystrophies: a missed opportunity in general. As presented in Chapter 4.1, the high inter-eye correlation is an additional reason to prefer early-onset Stargardt patients to be



Figure 2. Model of predicted future disease course. The intervention is considered to have an effect if the disease course exceeds the expected range of predicted future measurements.

selected in clinical trials over intermediate-onset and late-onset Stargardt patients. However, this advantage does not hold for systemic pharmacological strategies, in which both eyes are inherently treated. Moreover, when the outcome is measured at the

patient level, the untreated eye cannot serve as a control.<sup>49</sup> Nonetheless, inclusion of both eyes of a patient in a clinical trial seems to have been a missed opportunity in recent ocular therapies, and should be permitted unless it is not appropriate for the study design.<sup>50</sup>

Another improvement includes a crossover design, in which patients receive the treatment and the placebo in a prespecified random sequence. Patients then serve as their own control. Similar to crossover includes a Latin square in which every treatment occurs once in each sequence and in each period, and N-of-1 trials: a within-patient randomized controlled multi-crossover trial design. Here, only 1 patient receives multiple cycles of double-blind treatment in a random sequence, which provides an estimate of individual effectiveness. For crossover trials, including within-patient designs, a relatively stationary disease is required, and a wash-out period is needed to prevent a carryover effect. Trial duration is therefore longer. Moreover, a crossover design cannot be used in case the treatment is irreversible, e.g., in case of surgical intervention with gene replacement therapy or cell-based therapy.<sup>51</sup> To conclude, no single design is suited for all rare diseases and interventions (Table 1).

Parallel group design	Patients are assigned to treatment or control
Factorial design	Multiple treatment arms
Matched-pairs	Correlated pairs in treated and untreated arm
Crossover design	Patients receive both treatment and placebo
Latin square	Every treatment occurs once in each sequence and period
N-of-1	Within-patient multi-crossover

Table 1. Alternative designs in addition to double-blind randomization.

# 5.4 Meaningful outcome measures

## Emerging therapies

Insight into the pathogenesis of human disease is constantly increasing, as well as potential therapeutic opportunities. Patients have indeed been provided needed therapies, but effective treatments are still unavailable for most rare diseases. The development of gene therapy has been ongoing for over 30 years, and now, the first *in-vivo* gene replacement therapy has just been approved; treatment of *RPE65*-mediated retinal dystrophy by voretigene neparvovec-rzyl is a major milestone and has reached three patients up to now.<sup>52, 53</sup> Concurrently, early-stage experiments are already going beyond this 'conventional' gene augmentation therapy, showing that a one-time, permanent genome editing offers new ways to reverse blindness.<sup>54</sup> Technology is changing at such a fast pace, clinical implementation could eventually stay behind. Eventually, we risk implementing nothing into regular clinical care at all, because the treatments to be approved will be already outdated and outperformed by new state-of-the-art treatments being developed in the lab.

#### Regulatory reformations

The succeeding drug and device approval have been hampered by regulatory requirements which are rather stringent but necessary to protect patients from harm.<sup>55</sup> Important steps have been made in regulatory reformations to help speed up the discovery and delivery of new treatments. Since the U.S. Orphan Drug Act (1983) and the E.U. Regulation on Orphan Medicinal Products (2000) facilitated the development of drugs for small populations, several treatments for rare diseases have been approved. Currently, over one third of indications were already approved based on a single pivotal trial, and about three-quarters were approved on trials with a duration of less than six months, supposed to be taken for a lifetime.<sup>56</sup>

The 21<sup>st</sup> Century Cures Act was introduced to speed up the pace of innovation further. Organizations representing patients, physicians, and researchers allied with pharmaceutical and medical device industries voting for this 21<sup>st</sup> Century Cures Act; others opposed the act because of serious concerns. The act includes funding for the National Institute of Health (NIH), facilitating collaborative research by encouraging data sharing and ensuring the reproducibility. It further reduces administrative tasks, and supports high-risk, high-reward research. However, a worrisome aspect of this act encourages the FDA to lower the standards of empirical evidence despite that the FDA already provides guidance for accelerated drug approval.<sup>57</sup> Less strict regulations to drug approval may sound promising but could increase the risk of misjudging that useless treatments are helpful, or that helpful treatments are useless.

For example, the recent lampalizumab phase III trial for age-related macular degeneration failed to show a therapeutic effect. Natural history studies that followed the initiation of the lampalizumab trial showed no difference in progression speed of geographic atrophy in patients with different complement profiles.<sup>58</sup> The role of complement activation in geographic atrophy therefore seems to be insignificant. With this knowledge, the trial probably needed to include different patients in an earlier disease stage before the development of geographic atrophy and needed to have a different primary endpoint. The treatment likely aimed at a different mechanism, which was not captured with the primary endpoint.

Rushing to our destination may end up in taking wrong turns and can set us back to where we came from. If we were to reduce the standard to anecdotes of experiences and uncontrolled, unrandomized data, we would return to 19<sup>th</sup>-century problems we thought we had left behind.<sup>59, 60</sup> Although there is an urgent need to move forward to find a cure for patients with rare diseases, the solution may not lie in shortcuts which lower the standards of robust clinical evidence. Although the freedom given will require greater scrutiny, such changes to accelerate the development of novel treatments for Stargardt disease and other rare diseases are encouraging.

# 5.5 When present becomes history

### Precision medicine

The societal need is high for efficient precision medicine: personalized healthcare being refined to have the most significant impact upon our individual needs. We want to be informed how to stay healthy, and how to manage and treat disease. Precision medicine encompasses all the information on the individual, including their environment and behavior. Disregarding the latter could create unrealistic expectations to both clinicians as well as patients, potentially changing perceptions of their risk of disease; if patients believe they are less at risk, they may feel excessively protected, worsening their behavior, putting them at increased risk. This also holds for Stargardt disease, despite being a monogenetic disease; in the future, when a successful treatment has been implemented, patients should still protect themselves from sun light and avoid vitamin A supplements, as they are likely at increased risk for phototoxic injury (**Chapter 4.3**).

To measure our health status, the medical armamentarium is expanding exponentially.<sup>61-64</sup> The massive amount of information should eventually guide us to diagnostic, therapeutic, and preventive strategies, tailored for every unique individual. However, this can give rise to a plethora of prognostic probabilities and ambiguity of the efficiency of these strategies.<sup>65</sup>

#### Pursuing perfect surrogacy

Regulatory agencies have mainly focused on single primary endpoints, but there is no perfect single surrogate or clinical endpoint that could encompass the complex interactions in a patient. Slowly, we begin to understand the complex interactions of genomics, proteomics, metabolomics, behavior and environment. By thinking in these interactions rather than in single pathways,<sup>66</sup> we can identify new biomarkers and new targets for treatment and understand their effects.<sup>67</sup> The ground for drug approval should therefore arise from combined measurements within the range from biomarkers to patient-reported outcomes.

Universal measurement and reporting of core outcome sets could pave the way to further accelerate novel therapeutic strategies.<sup>68-70</sup> An international agreement on the minimum sufficient set of outcomes would allow to collect and share natural history data more efficiently, for which The International Consortium for Health Outcomes Measurement (ICHOM) has already taken significant steps on this path. An international digital platform is furthermore needed to rapidly facilitate collecting and sharing of standardized measurements. Meaningful outcome measures should comprise the complete biological network from the molecular level to societal interactions: from molecular biomarkers to patient self-reports in real-life settings.

**Chapter 4.2** integrated structural changes of the natural progression of retinal degeneration to provide a sensitive outcome measure within two years of follow-up. However, the progression detected here does not completely describe the natural progression of Stargardt disease. Outcomes that matter to patients are essential, and undoubtedly include long-term safety, vision and the impact on visual impairments in daily life. The value of the composite structural outcome measure mainly lies on rationally selecting potential novel treatments. It still needs to demonstrate how it relates to functional outcome in the long term. We could then gain insight into the effect of interventions, and how this translates to an eventual true change in disease progression. Thinking in interactions may eventually change medical practice with a deeper holistic view, offering patients the right intervention at the right time.<sup>71,72</sup>

## Evolution of evidence synthesis

The productivity of drug development is decreasing, while the costs for drug development can increase up to 1.2 billion US dollars.<sup>73</sup> Evidence synthesis needs to evolve by "increasing value and reducing waste in biomedical research".<sup>74</sup> Herein, outcome measures play a crucial role; they are to be standardized and need to incorporate all aspects of the human biological network.<sup>71, 75, 76</sup> Lessons can be learned from studies on rare diseases; dissecting common diseases into "rare" molecularly defined subtypes helps to capture individual variability of these common diseases.<sup>44</sup> As these diseases are being dissected more and more into rarer subtypes, clinical trials in common diseases will face similar challenges as studies in rare diseases: challenges such as the identification of the most important outcome measures and their ability to show potential treatment effects. Stargardt disease poses the challenges of small populations and vast heterogeneity. This thesis outlined a strategy to provide clinical trials the right outcome measurements for the right patients to identify an effective drug in Stargardt disease: [1] evaluate the effect of time, [2] select the right patients, and [3] choose the appropriate method for outcome evaluation. In the next step, standardization of outcome measures can prevent scientists and organizations continuously reinventing the wheel. The change of our daily practice should ultimately focus on interweaving clinical care with scientific research using universal measurements and reporting of core outcome sets; the borders between the clinical care, patient registries, and therapeutic trials need to dissolve. It can unleash creativity in treatment implementation without compromising safety: toward a cure for Stargardt disease.

# References

- Mazzucato M, Visona Dalla Pozza L, Manea S, et al. A population-based registry as a source of health indicators for rare diseases: the ten-year experience of the Veneto Region's rare diseases registry. Orphanet J Rare Dis 2014;9:37.
- Kamenova K, Caulfield T. Stem cell hype: media portrayal of therapy translation. Sci Transl Med 2015;7(278):278ps4.
- 3. William MG, Niels GW, David F, et al. A Paul Meehl Reader. Routledge, 2006.
- 4. Fishman GA. Fundus flavimaculatus. A clinical classification. Arch Ophthalmol 1976;94(12):2061-7.
- 5. Fishman GA, Stone EM, Grover S, et al. Variation of clinical expression in patients with Stargardt dystrophy and sequence variations in the ABCR gene. Arch Ophthalmol 1999;117(4):504-10.
- Lois N, Holder GE, Bunce C, et al. Phenotypic subtypes of Stargardt macular dystrophy-fundus flavimaculatus. Arch Ophthalmol 2001;119(3):359-69.
- Fujinami K, Lois N, Davidson AE, et al. A longitudinal study of stargardt disease: clinical and electrophysiologic assessment, progression, and genotype correlations. Am J Ophthalmol 2013;155(6):1075-88.e13.
- van Driel MA, Maugeri A, Klevering BJ, et al. ABCR unites what ophthalmologists divide(s). Ophthalmic Genet 1998;19(3):117-22.
- Lambertus S, van Huet RA, Bax NM, et al. Early-onset stargardt disease: phenotypic and genotypic characteristics. Ophthalmology 2015;122(2):335-44.
- Westeneng-van Haaften SC, Boon CJ, Cremers FP, et al. Clinical and genetic characteristics of lateonset Stargardt's disease. Ophthalmology 2012;119(6):1199-210.
- 11. van Huet RA, Bax NM, Westeneng-Van Haaften SC, et al. Foveal sparing in Stargardt disease. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2014;55(11):7467-78.
- 12. Fakin A, Robson AG, Chiang J, et al. The Effect on Retinal Structure and Function of 15 Specific ABCA4 Mutations: A Detailed Examination of 82 Hemizygous PatientsThe Disease Severity of Specific ABCA4 Alleles. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science 2016;57(14):5963-73.
- Consugar MB, Navarro-Gomez D, Place EM, et al. Panel-based genetic diagnostic testing for inherited eye diseases is highly accurate and reproducible, and more sensitive for variant detection, than exome sequencing. Genet Med 2015;17(4):253-61.
- 14. Daiger SP, Rossiter BJF, Greenberg J, et al. Data services and software for identifying genes and mutations causing retinal degeneration. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1998;39(S295).
- 15. Lu JT, Campeau PM, Lee BH. Genotype-phenotype correlation--promiscuity in the era of nextgeneration sequencing. N Engl J Med 2014;371(7):593-6.
- 16. Rotenstreich Y, Fishman GA, Anderson RJ. Visual acuity loss and clinical observations in a large series of patients with Stargardt disease. Ophthalmology 2003;110(6):1151-8.
- 17. Fujinami K, Zernant J, Chana RK, et al. Clinical and molecular characteristics of childhood-onset Stargardt disease. Ophthalmology 2015;122(2):326-34.
- Fujinami K, Sergouniotis PI, Davidson AE, et al. Clinical and molecular analysis of Stargardt disease with preserved foveal structure and function. Am J Ophthalmol 2013;156(3):487-501.e1.
- 19. Dores GM, Devesa SS, Curtis RE, et al. Acute leukemia incidence and patient survival among children and adults in the United States, 2001-2007. Blood 2012;119(1):34-43.
- 20. Zahid S, Jayasundera T, Rhoades W, et al. Clinical phenotypes and prognostic full-field electroretinographic findings in Stargardt disease. Am J Ophthalmol 2013;155(3):465-73.e3.
- 21. Holder GE. Pattern electroretinography (PERG) and an integrated approach to visual pathway diagnosis. Prog Retin Eye Res 2001;20(4):531-61.
- Chun R, Fishman GA, Collison FT, et al. The value of retinal imaging with infrared scanning laser ophthalmoscopy in patients with stargardt disease. Retina 2014;34(7):1391-9.
- 23. Lee W, Noupuu K, Oll M, et al. The External Limiting Membrane in Early-Onset Stargardt Disease. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2014.

- 24. Khan KN, Kasilian M, Mahroo OAR, et al. Early Patterns of Macular Degeneration in ABCA4-Associated Retinopathy. Ophthalmology 2018;125(5):735-46.
- Holz FG, Bindewald-Wittich A, Fleckenstein M, et al. Progression of geographic atrophy and impact of fundus autofluorescence patterns in age-related macular degeneration. Am J Ophthalmol 2007;143(3):463-72.
- Fritsche LG, Fleckenstein M, Fiebig BS, et al. A subgroup of age-related macular degeneration is associated with mono-allelic sequence variants in the ABCA4 gene. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2012;53(4):2112-8.
- 27. Holz FG, Strauss EC, Schmitz-Valckenberg S, van Lookeren Campagne M. Geographic atrophy: clinical features and potential therapeutic approaches. Ophthalmology 2014;121(5):1079-91.
- Roche. Lampalizumab: Potentially first treatment in geographic atrophy, an advanced form of dry AMD. Investor Update. Basel and London: Roche, 2013; v. 28.07.2016.
- 29. Charbel Issa P, Barnard AR, Herrmann P, et al. Rescue of the Stargardt phenotype in Abca4 knockout mice through inhibition of vitamin A dimerization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015;112(27):8415-20.
- Dorsey ER, Venuto C, Venkataraman V, et al. Novel methods and technologies for 21st-century clinical trials: a review. JAMA Neurol 2015;72(5):582-8.
- Parker MA, Choi D, Erker LR, et al. Test-Retest Variability of Functional and Structural Parameters in Patients with Stargardt Disease Participating in the SAR422459 Gene Therapy Trial. Transl Vis Sci Technol 2016;5(5):10.
- 32. Schmitz-Valckenberg S, Nadal J, Fimmers R, et al. Modeling Visual Acuity in Geographic Atrophy Secondary to Age-Related Macular Degeneration. Ophthalmologica 2016;235(4):215-24.
- 33. Brooks DJ, Frey KA, Marek KL, et al. Assessment of neuroimaging techniques as biomarkers of the progression of Parkinson's disease. Exp Neurol 2003;184 Suppl 1:S68-79.
- Fleming TR, DeMets DL. Surrogate end points in clinical trials: are we being misled? Ann Intern Med 1996;125(7):605-13.
- 35. Sears AE, Bernstein PS, Cideciyan AV, et al. Towards Treatment of Stargardt Disease: Workshop Organized and Sponsored by the Foundation Fighting Blindness. Transl Vis Sci Technol 2017;6(5):6.
- Prentice RL. Surrogate endpoints in clinical trials: definition and operational criteria. Stat Med 1989;8(4):431-40.
- Fleming TR. Surrogate endpoints and FDA's accelerated approval process. Health Aff (Millwood) 2005;24(1):67-78.
- Sunness JS. The natural history of geographic atrophy, the advanced atrophic form of age-related macular degeneration. Mol Vis 1999;5:25.
- Sunness JS, Gonzalez-Baron J, Applegate CA, et al. Enlargement of atrophy and visual acuity loss in the geographic atrophy form of age-related macular degeneration. Ophthalmology 1999;106(9):1768-79.
- 40. Lindner M, Boker A, Mauschitz MM, et al. Directional Kinetics of Geographic Atrophy Progression in Age-Related Macular Degeneration with Foveal Sparing. Ophthalmology 2015;122(7):1356-65.
- 41. Csaky KG, Richman EA, Ferris FL, 3rd. Report from the NEI/FDA Ophthalmic Clinical Trial Design and Endpoints Symposium. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2008;49(2):479-89.
- 42. Gagne JJ, Thompson L, O'Keefe K, Kesselheim AS. Innovative research methods for studying treatments for rare diseases: methodological review. BMJ 2014;349:g6802.
- 43. Freemantle N, Calvert M, Wood J, et al. Composite outcomes in randomized trials: greater precision but with greater uncertainty? JAMA 2003;289(19):2554-9.
- 44. Korn EL, McShane LM, Freidlin B. Statistical challenges in the evaluation of treatments for small patient populations. Sci Transl Med 2013;5(178):178sr3.
- 45. Langbaum JB, Hendrix SB, Ayutyanont N, et al. An empirically derived composite cognitive test score with improved power to track and evaluate treatments for preclinical Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement 2014;10(6):666-74.
- Cai CX, Light JG, Handa JT. Quantifying the Rate of Ellipsoid Zone Loss in Stargardt Disease. Am J Ophthalmol 2018;186:1-9.
- 47. Arepalli S, Traboulsi El, Ehlers JP. Ellipsoid Zone Mapping and Outer Retinal Assessment in Stargardt Disease. Retina 2017.

- 48. Greenstein VC, Nunez J, Lee W, et al. A Comparison of En Face Optical Coherence Tomography and Fundus Autofluorescence in Stargardt Disease. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2017;58(12):5227-36.
- Bunce C, Wormald R. Considerations for randomizing 1 eye or 2 eyes. JAMA Ophthalmology 2015;133(10):1221-.
- 50. Glassman AR, Melia M. Randomizing 1 eye or 2 eyes: A missed opportunity. JAMA Ophthalmology 2015;133(1):9-10.
- 51. Kianifard F, Islam MZ. A guide to the design and analysis of small clinical studies. Pharm Stat 2011;10(4):363-8.
- 52. Russell S, Bennett J, Wellman JA, et al. Efficacy and safety of voretigene neparvovec (AAV2-hRPE65v2) in patients with RPE65-mediated inherited retinal dystrophy: a randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet 2017;390(10097):849-60.
- 53. Ameri H. Prospect of retinal gene therapy following commercialization of voretigene neparvovec-rzyl for retinal dystrophy mediated by RPE65 mutation. J Curr Ophthalmol 2018;30(1):1-2.
- 54. Suzuki K, Tsunekawa Y, Hernandez-Benitez R, et al. In vivo genome editing via CRISPR/Cas9 mediated homology-independent targeted integration. Nature 2016;540(7631):144-9.
- 55. Kuerner T. Essential rules and requirements for global clinical trials in rare lung diseases: A sponsor's standpoint. Respiratory Investigation 2015;53(1):2-6.
- 56. Downing NS, Aminawung JA, Shah ND, et al. Clinical trial evidence supporting FDA approval of novel therapeutic agents, 2005-2012. JAMA 2014;311(4):368-77.
- 57. Mitka M. FDA and pharma seek better ways to assess drug safety, efficacy in clinical trials. JAMA 2012;307(24):2576-7.
- Holz FG, Sadda SR, Busbee B, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Lampalizumab for Geographic Atrophy Due to Age-Related Macular Degeneration: Chroma and Spectri Phase 3 Randomized Clinical Trials. JAMA Ophthalmol 2018.
- Prasad V, Kim C, Burotto M, Vandross A. The Strength of Association Between Surrogate End Points and Survival in Oncology: A Systematic Review of Trial-Level Meta-analyses. JAMA Intern Med 2015;175(8):1389-98.
- 60. Avorn J, Kesselheim AS. The 21st Century Cures Act--Will It Take Us Back in Time? N Engl J Med 2015;372(26):2473-5.
- 61. Chaitankar V, Karakulah G, Ratnapriya R, et al. Next generation sequencing technology and genomewide data analysis: Perspectives for retinal research. Prog Retin Eye Res 2016;55:1-31.
- 62. Aebersold R, Mann M. Mass-spectrometric exploration of proteome structure and function. Nature 2016;537(7620):347-55.
- 63. Morgan JI. The fundus photo has met its match: optical coherence tomography and adaptive optics ophthalmoscopy are here to stay. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt 2016;36(3):218-39.
- 64. Koh A, Kang D, Xue Y, et al. A soft, wearable microfluidic device for the capture, storage, and colorimetric sensing of sweat. Sci Transl Med 2016;8(366):366ra165-366ra165.
- 65. Hunter DJ. Uncertainty in the Era of Precision Medicine. N Engl J Med 2016;375(8):711-3.
- Kelder T, Verschuren L, van Ommen B, et al. Network signatures link hepatic effects of anti-diabetic interventions with systemic disease parameters. BMC Syst Biol 2014;8:108.
- den Hollander Al. Omics in Ophthalmology: Advances in Genomics and Precision Medicine for Leber Congenital Amaurosis and Age-Related Macular Degeneration. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2016;57(3):1378-87.
- 68. Gargon E, Gurung B, Medley N, et al. Choosing important health outcomes for comparative effectiveness research: a systematic review. PLoS One 2014;9(6):e99111.
- 69. Porter ME, Larsson S, Lee TH. Standardizing Patient Outcomes Measurement. N Engl J Med 2016;374(6):504-6.
- Kost RG, Lee LM, Yessis J, et al. Assessing participant-centered outcomes to improve clinical research. N Engl J Med 2013;369(23):2179-81.
- 71. Barabasi AL. Network medicine--from obesity to the "diseasome". N Engl J Med 2007;357(4):404-7.
- 72. Loscalzo J, Kohane I, Barabasi AL. Human disease classification in the postgenomic era: a complex systems approach to human pathobiology. Mol Syst Biol 2007;3:124.

- A. DJ, G. GH. The cost of biopharmaceutical R&D: is biotech different? Managerial and Decision Economics 2007;28(4-5):469-79.
- 74. loannidis JPA, Greenland S, Hlatky MA, et al. Increasing value and reducing waste in research design, conduct, and analysis. The Lancet 2014;383(9912):166-75.
- 75. Barabasi AL, Gulbahce N, Loscalzo J. Network medicine: a network-based approach to human disease. Nat Rev Genet 2011;12(1):56-68.
- Al-Harazi O, Al Insaif S, Al-Ajlan MA, et al. Integrated Genomic and Network-Based Analyses of Complex Diseases and Human Disease Network. J Genet Genomics 2016;43(6):349-67.


## CHAPTER 6 Summary

#### 6.1 Summary

Over 7000 rare diseases exist, and together, they afflict millions of patients worldwide. For these patients, there is promising and encouraging progress in finding a cure. However, no treatment is available for the majority of these diseases. Arguably the most prevalent inherited retinal disorder is Stargardt disease. In its natural course, the heterogeneity in the age at onset reflects the vast heterogeneity in variable rates of functional and structural disease progression. Herein, not only do the challenges of treatment evaluation—which have been present since ancient history—apply, but its rare nature and heterogeneity also pose additional challenges. This thesis responds to those challenges in treatment evaluation for Stargardt disease, providing a strategy for future studies which aim to identify an effect of novel treatments for rare diseases.

**Chapter 1** is an introduction from the history to the current understanding of Stargardt disease and the evaluation of new treatments. The evaluation of new treatments has evolved significantly since ancient times and is nowadays known as a clinical trial. Without clinical trials, we may conclude that useless treatments are helpful, or that helpful treatments are useless.

The first step is to evaluate the effect of nature's time by characterizing the natural history of distinct patient groups within the spectrum of Stargardt disease. To classify patients to a specific group that is relevant, both sufficient prognostic as well as etiological homogeneity between patients are required. **Chapter 2** describes unique phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of subgroups within the vast heterogeneity of Stargardt disease, established in the context of previously emerged classifications and staging. The natural course of early-onset Stargardt disease initially features variable full-field electroretinographic abnormalities and early foveal changes. As this retinal degeneration progresses, the spectrum of phenotypes eventually converges, causing profound chorioretinal atrophy and severe vision loss. In contrast, the natural course of late-onset Stargardt describes expanding areas of retinal pigment epithelium atrophy that can spare the fovea associated with prolonged preservation of visual acuity to a relatively advanced age.

The second step consists of selecting the right patients by identifying the diagnostic challenges in specific patient groups. Rare diseases generally have a clinical presentation that is difficult to be recognized and diagnosed. **Chapter 3** reveals the challenges in the clinical diagnosis of Stargardt disease. The awareness of possible absence of retinal abnormalities in young patients allows early diagnosis. It can prevent distress, unnecessary investigations and harmful therapies and may prove important in early inclusion in

Summary · 172

future trials. Inclusion in future trials is also challenged in older patients because of retinal abnormalities mimicked by age-related disease. Both age-related macular degeneration and late-onset Stargardt can exhibit progressive foveal sparing atrophy, but they differ in etiology and progression speed.

The third step comprises the choice of the appropriate method for outcome evaluation by developing a technique to measure disease progression with high accuracy. **Chapter 4** creates models of disease progression in Stargardt disease. The inter-eye concordance decreases as the age at onset increases, which has important consequences in clinical trial design. Potential treatment effects are best assessed in young patients. Moreover, a composite outcome measure can be powerful in the evaluation of treatment efficacy in clinical trials for Stargardt disease with small cohorts in a timely fashion. In this evaluation, optical coherence tomography and fundus autofluorescence imaging are used as measurements reflecting structural macular changes. However, we need to bear in mind that patients with Stargardt disease are generally at increased risk of photo-oxidative stress. Nonetheless, these structural macular changes provide a sensitive measurement of disease progression in Stargardt disease. It can be very useful in the evaluation of novel therapeutic modalities in rare disorders. Potentially, it can reduce costs and duration of pivotal clinical trials, thereby hopefully facilitating effective treatments being identified more readily and rapidly for patients with rare diseases.

Finally, **Chapter 5** puts the aforementioned results in a broad and future perspective. The productivity of drug development is decreasing, while the costs for drug development is ever increasing. Evidence synthesis needs to evolve, in which outcome measures play a crucial role. There is no perfect single surrogate or clinical endpoint, so the ground for drug approval should therefore arise from combined measurements within the range from biomarkers to patient-reported outcomes. Universal measurement and reporting of core outcome sets can further accelerate drug development and approval. The change of our daily practice should ultimately focus on interweaving clinical care with scientific research; the borders between the clinical care, patient registries, and therapeutic trials need to dissolve.

#### 6.2 Samenvatting

Meer dan 7000 zeldzame aandoeningen treffen samen wereldwijd miljoenen patiënten. Voor hen is er de afgelopen jaren veel vooruitgang geboekt in de zoektocht naar een geneesmiddel. Een dergelijk geneesmiddel is echter nog lang niet beschikbaar voor de meeste zeldzame ziekten. De meest voorkomende erfelijke retinale aandoening is de ziekte van Stargardt. Deze ziekte kan zowel op zeer jonge leeftijd beginnen als ook op laatvolwassen leeftijd. Tussen deze patiënten kan de ziekteprogressie enorm verschillen. Dit uit zich als een enorme heterogeniteit in afwijkingen op zowel functioneel als op structureel niveau. De zeldzame aard en heterogeniteit van de ziekte van Stargardt geven nieuwe uitdagingen om het effect van een nieuwe behandeling te kunnen testen. Dit proefschrift speelt in op de uitdagingen in de evaluatie van een behandeling voor de ziekte van Stargardt. Het presenteert hiermee een strategie voor toekomstige studies die gericht zijn op het identificeren van effectieve nieuwe behandelingen voor zeldzame ziekten.

**Hoofdstuk 1** is een inleiding van de geschiedenis tot aan de huidige stand van zaken over de ziekte Stargardt en het testen van nieuwe behandelingen. De evaluatie van nieuwe behandelingen is aanzienlijk veranderd sinds de oudheid en wordt nu gedaan aan de hand van een klinische trial. Deze klinische trials zijn nodig om aan te kunnen tonen of een behandeling effectief is.

De eerste stap bestaat uit het onderzoeken van het natuurlijk beloop binnen het spectrum van de ziekte van Stargardt tussen verschillende patiëntengroepen. Om patiënten te kunnen classificeren in een bepaalde groep, moet een dergelijke groep klinische relevant zijn: dat wil zeggen, een groep dat zowel voldoende prognostische als etiologische homogeniteit bevat. **Hoofdstuk 2** geeft unieke fenotypische en genotypische kenmerken van subgroepen weer binnen de enorme heterogeniteit van de ziekte van Stargardt. Dit wordt beschreven in de context van eerder ontstane classificaties en stadiëring. Het natuurlijke beloop van early-onset Stargardt vertoont in het begin subtiele foveale veranderingen met variabele afwijkingen op full-field elektroretinografie. Naarmate de degeneratie voortschrijdt, convergeert het spectrum van fenotypen en leidt dit uiteindelijk tot forse chorioretinale atrofie en ernstig gezichtsverlies. Dit in tegenstelling tot late-onset Stargardt, wat zich kenmerkt zich door uitbreiding van atrofische gebieden van het retinaal pigmentepitheel. Van deze gebieden blijft de fovea doorgaans gespaard en zorgt dat de visus tot een relatief hoge leeftijd intact blijft.

De tweede stap bestaat uit het selecteren van de juiste patiënten welke wordt ondersteund door de diagnostische uitdagingen in specifieke patiëntengroepen te kunnen identificeren. Zeldzame ziekten hebben namelijk over het algemeen een klinische

Samenvatting · 174

presentatie die moeilijk te herkennen en te diagnosticeren is. **Hoofdstuk 3** toont de uitdagingen in de klinische diagnose van de ziekte van Stargardt. De afwezigheid van retinale afwijkingen bij jonge patiënten maakt vroege diagnose lastig, maar wel mogelijk zodra we ons hiervan bewust zijn. Dit kan onnodige vertraging, onnodig diagnostisch onderzoek en zelfs schadelijke therapieën voorkómen. Vroege diagnose is bovendien belangrijk voor een tijdige en juiste inclusie in toekomstige klinische trials. Bij oudere patiënten is dit eveneens van belang, omdat bij deze patiënten de diagnose verward kan worden met leeftijdsgebonden maculadegeneratie. Zowel late-onset Stargardt als leeftijdsgebonden maculadegeneratie kan foveasparende atrofie van het retinaal pigmentepitheel vertonen, maar zij verschillen in etiologie en progressiesnelheid.

De derde stap omvat het kiezen van een geschikte uitkomstmaat voor een klinische trial door het ontwikkelen van een techniek om ziekteprogressie met hoge nauwkeurigheid te meten. Hoofdstuk 4 maakt progressiemodellen bij de ziekte van Stargardt. De concordantie tussen het linker- en het rechteroog neemt af naarmate de beginleeftijd toeneemt, wat belangrijke consequenties heeft in het ontwerp van een klinische trial. Een effect wordt namelijk het makkelijkst gedetecteerd bij jonge patiënten, omdat bij hen de concordantie het hoogst ligt. Verder kan een samengestelde uitkomstmaat de power van een trial met kleine cohorten aanzienlijk verhogen. Bij de samengestelde uitkomstmaat zijn optische coherentietomografie en fundusautofluorescentie gebruikt als metingen die structurele maculaire veranderingen weerspiegelen. We moeten echter in gedachten houden dat patiënten met de ziekte van Stargardt over het algemeen een verhoogd risico op foto-oxidatieve stress hebben. Niettemin geven deze structurele veranderingen een gevoelige meting van ziekteprogressie. Het kan zeer nuttig zijn bij de evaluatie van nieuwe therapeutische behandelingen bij zeldzame aandoeningen. Uiteindelijk kan het in potentie de kosten en de duur van cruciale klinische trials verminderen, waardoor het gemakkelijker wordt om effectieve behandelingen sneller en gemakkelijker te identificeren.

Ten slotte zet **Hoofdstuk 5** de bovengenoemde resultaten in een breed toekomstperspectief. De productiviteit van de ontwikkeling van geneesmiddelen neemt af, terwijl de kosten ervoor steeds groter worden. De synthese van bewijsvoering moet evolueren, waarbij uitkomstmaten een cruciale rol spelen. Er is geen enkel perfect eindpunt; de basis voor goedkeuring van een geneesmiddel moet daarom uitgaan van gecombineerde metingen van biomarkers tot aan patiënt-gerapporteerde resultaten. Universele meetmethoden en rapportage van de belangrijkste uitkomstmaten kunnen de ontwikkeling en goedkeuring van geneesmiddelen verder versnellen. De verandering in onze dagelijkse praktijk moet uiteindelijk gericht zijn op het verweven van klinische zorg met wetenschappelijk onderzoek. De grenzen tussen de klinische zorg, patiëntenregisters en klinische trials moeten verdwijnen.

#### 6.3 Ringkasan

Ada lebih dari 7000 penyakit langka, dan bersama-sama, penyakit-penyakit itu menimpa jutaan pasien di seluruh dunia. Untuk pasien-pasien ini, terdapat perkembangan yang menjanjikan dan memberi harapan dalam penemuan obat. Namun, tidak ada pengobatan yang tersedia untuk sebagian besar penyakit ini. Dapat dikatakan bahwa gangguan retina turunan yang paling umum adalah penyakit Stargardt. Dalam perjalanan alaminya, heterogenitas pada usia permulaan menggambarkan heterogenitas yang luas dalam tingkat variabel perkembangan penyakit fungsional dan struktural. Di sini, tidak hanya tantangan evaluasi pengobatan—yang telah ada sejak sejarah kuno—saja yang terjadi, tetapi sifat dan heterogenitasnya yang langka juga menimbulkan tantangan lainnya. Tesis ini menanggapi tantangan-tantangan dalam evaluasi pengobatan untuk penyakit Stargardt, memberikan strategi untuk studi berikutnya yang bertujuan untuk mengidentifikasi efek pengobatan baru untuk penyakit langka.

**Bab 1** adalah pendahuluan mulai dari sejarah hingga pemahaman terkini tentang penyakit Stargardt dan evaluasi pengobatan baru. Evaluasi pengobatan baru telah berkembang secara signifikan sejak zaman kuno dan saat ini dikenal dengan uji klinis. Tanpa uji klinis, kita dapat menyimpulkan bahwa pengobatan yang tidak berguna justru sangat membantu, atau bahwa pengobatan yang membantu justru tidak berguna.

Langkah pertama yang diperlukan adalah mengevaluasi pengaruh waktu alam dengan mencirikan riwayat alami berbagai kelompok pasien dalam spektrum penyakit Stargardt. Untuk mengklasifikasikan pasien ke kelompok tertentu yang relevan, diperlukan prognostik yang cukup dan juga homogenitas etiologi antar pasien. **Bab 2** menjelaskan karakteristik fenotipik dan genotipik unik dari subkelompok dalam heterogenitas penyakit Stargardt, yang ditetapkan dalam konteks klasifikasi dan penahapan yang muncul sebelumnya. Perjalanan alami penyakit Stargardt tahap awal pada mulanya memiliki kelainan elektroretinografi bidang penuh dan perubahan foveal awal. Ketika degenerasi retina berlangsung, spektrum fenotipe akhirnya menyatu, menyebabkan atrofi korioretinal dan kehilangan penglihatan yang parah. Sebaliknya, perjalanan alami yang dapat menyelamatkan fovea yang terkait dengan pemeliharaan ketajaman visual yang berkepanjangan hingga usia yang relatif lanjut.

Langkah kedua adalah memilih pasien yang tepat dengan mengidentifikasi tantangan diagnostik pada kelompok pasien tertentu. Penyakit langka umumnya memiliki presentasi klinis yang sulit dikenali dan didiagnosis. **Bab 3** mengungkap tantangan dalam diagnosis klinis penyakit Stargardt. Kesadaran akan kemungkinan tidak adanya kelainan retina pada

Ringkasan • 176

pasien muda memungkinkan diagnosis dini. Diagnosis ini dapat mencegah penderitaan, investigasi yang tidak perlu, dan terapi berbahaya serta mungkin terbukti penting pada inklusi awal dalam uji di masa mendatang. Inklusi dalam uji di masa mendatang juga memiliki tantangan pada pasien dewasa karena kelainan retina yang ditiru oleh penyakit yang berkaitan dengan usia. Degenerasi makula terkait usia dan Stargardt tahap lanjut dapat menunjukkan atrofi penyeimbang fovea yang profresif, tetapi keduanya berbeda dalam etiologi dan kecepatan perkembangan.

Langkah ketiga terdiri dari pilihan metode yang tepat untuk evaluasi hasil dengan mengembangkan teknik untuk mengukur perkembangan penyakit dengan akurasi tinggi. Bab 4 menciptakan model perkembangan penyakit pada penyakit Stargardt. Konkordansi antar mata menurun seiring bertambahnya usia, yang memiliki konsekuensi penting dalam desain uji klinis. Efek pengobatan potensial paling tepat dinilai pada pasien muda. Selain itu, ukuran hasil gabungan dapat berpengaruh dalam evaluasi efikasi pengobatan dalam uji klinis untuk penyakit Stargardt dengan kohor kecil secara tepat waktu. Dalam evaluasi ini, tomografi koherensi optik dan pencitraan autofluoresensi fundus digunakan sebagai pengukuran yang menggambarkan perubahan makula struktural. Namun, kita harus ingat bahwa pasien dengan penyakit Stargardt umumnya berisiko tinggi mengalami stres foto-oksidatif. Namun demikian, perubahan makula struktural ini memberikan pengukuran yang sensitif terhadap perkembangan penyakit pada penyakit Stargardt . Pengukuran ini sangat berguna untuk evaluasi modalitas terapi baru dalam gangguan langka. Secara potensial, pengukuran ini dapat mengurangi biaya dan durasi uji klinis penting, sehingga diharapkan dapat mempermudah pengobatan yang efektif yang diidentifikasi semakin cepat bagi pasien dengan penyakit langka.

Terakhir, **Bab 5** menyajikan hasil yang disebutkan di atas dalam perspektif yang luas dan berorientasi ke depan. Produktivitas pengembangan obat menurun, sementara biaya untuk pengembangan obat semakin meningkat. Sintesis bukti harus berkembang, di mana ukuran hasil berperan penting. Tidak ada satu pun pengganti atau titik akhir klinis yang sempurna, karenanya dasar untuk persetujuan obat harus muncul dari pengukuran gabungan dalam rentang dari biomarker hingga hasil yang dilaporkan pasien. Pengukuran dan pelaporan universal serangkaian hasil inti dapat lebih mempercepat pengembangan dan persetujuan obat. Perubahan praktik sehari-hari kita pada akhirnya harus fokus untuk menjalin perawatan klinis dengan penelitian ilmiah; batas antara perawatan klinis, pendaftaran pasien, dan uji terapi harus dihilangkan.



## APPENDICES

Dankwoord Curriculum vitae Publications Portfolio

#### Dankwoord

Een terugblik op een bijzondere reis van de afgelopen jaren; tijdens een promotietraject leer je je grenzen ontdekken, zowel op wetenschappelijk als op persoonlijk vlak. Het doet een beroep op je creativiteit om die grenzen te verleggen. Het vergt veel geduld en doorzettingsvermogen, maar gelukkig deel je dat met een groot en hardwerkend team. En samen onderzoek doen geeft je ook weer energie en voldoening. In drie jaar tijd heb ik veel mensen leren kennen en veel samengewerkt. Het is onmogelijk om iedereen te bedanken, maar een aantal mensen zou ik graag bij deze in het bijzonder willen bedanken.

Allereerst gaat mijn dank uit naar alle patiënten die aan het onderzoek hebben deelgenomen. Zonder hun medewerking was het onmogelijk om tot dit resultaat te zijn gekomen; en het zijn ook jullie voor wie dit resultaat uiteindelijk van belang zal zijn.

Prof. dr. Hoyng, beste Carel, jij stelt altijd dat belang van de patiënt voorop door de essentie van het onderzoek scherp op je netvlies te hebben. De praktische uitwerking bleef zo nu en dan nog in het midden, maar dat gaf mij wel de vrijheid om het uit te zoeken op een manier die bij mij paste. Bedankt voor het vertrouwen in mij om het onderzoek te kunnen volbrengen. En bedankt voor je humor en je laagdrempeligheid (en sorry dat ik die hoge drempel in de bocht over het hoofd had gezien).

Prof. dr. G.J. van der Wilt, beste Gert-Jan, de beste vragen waar wij als clinici niet direct aan denken, komen van jou! Ik heb veel geleerd door jou brede blik op zaken vanuit een unieke invalshoek.

Prof. dr. Klevering, beste Jeroen, bij jou kreeg ik alle zaken weer op een rij, zodat ik niet teveel af zou dwalen. Door jou kregen de projecten hun uiteindelijke vorm. Jij weet tenslotte altijd dondersgoed hoe je een boodschap moet overbrengen. Bedankt voor jouw kritische blik.

Lieve Nathalie, mijn Stargardtmaatje! Wat fijn om met jou te hebben samengewerkt. De manier waarop jij tegen dingen aankijkt in combinatie met een snufje chaos opent ideeën waar ik geen seconde aan zou hebben gedacht. Zo pakt het altijd weer goed uit en hebben we samen toch wat fraais neergezet. En ook de tripjes naar de Keys, Yellowstone, Londen, de bever en de eekhoorn...dat waren mooie avonturen! Waar gaat de volgende reis heen? Bedankt voor je openhartigheid en eerlijkheid. En altijd vrolijk, als je tenminste hebt gegeten... (zou dat een specifiek Indo-gen zijn?) Een kop chocolademelk of een saucijzenbroodje doet veel goed. Heel veel succes met de laatste loodjes! Mijn lieve paranimfen, wat ben ik blij dat ik jullie naast mij heb staan. Lieve Mai, jij bent voor mij een luisterend oor: altijd oprecht geïnteresseerd hoe het gaat en waar ik in mijn hoofd allemaal mee bezig ben. En een steuntje in de rug op de momenten dat ik die wel kon gebruiken. Bedankt voor alle spelletjesavonden en je heerlijke sushi! Het is toch ook altijd gezellig met jou! En Sidney, was het eerst dat we zo enthousiast werden van de nieuwste hightech gadgets, een mooie gitaar of de laatste computerapparatuur, hebben we het nu over kinderwagens en babyboxen... Wat is er in al die tijd toch veel veranderd. Maar de eindeloze jam- en oefensessies herinner ik me als de dag van gisteren. Ik ben blij dat we na 15 jaar nog steeds regelmatig afspreken, ook al zal een game- of filmavond tot in de late uurtjes er waarschijnlijk niet meer in zitten.

Prof. dr. Keunen, beste Jan, het eerste jaar van mijn opleiding was niet makkelijk; eigenlijk waren er te veel ballen in de lucht te houden; promotie, opleiding, verhuizing, een kleine dondersteen erbij...ergens ook nog tijd voor sport en sociale contacten? Bedankt voor je begeleiding, zorgzaamheid en begrip hiervoor. High five!

Dr. Theelen, beste Thomas, bedankt voor alle laagdrempelige overleggen en brainstormmomenten om je uitgebreide kennis van imaging te kunnen benutten. Het heeft het onderzoek zeker tot een hoger niveau getild!

Beste Clarisa, Mark, Freerk en Bart, ook jullie bedankt voor de hulp en het delen van jullie kennis over alle imagingtechnieken.

Prof. dr. Cremers, beste Frans, al die genen vind ik nog steeds maar ingewikkeld. Bedankt voor je hulp over de essentiële genetische zaken in het onderzoek waar ik allemaal niet aan heb gedacht.

Drs. Groenewoud, beste Hans, wat een bron van statistische kennis en creativiteit ben jij! Je bent altijd enthousiast over van alles, en zelfs samen aan vele regels lange SAS-syntax is dan gewoon gezellig met jou. Foutmelding? Dat kan altijd opgelost worden!

Beste Clasien, het lijkt alweer zo lang geleden, maar bedankt voor de samenwerking in het RD5000-project en voor al het lekkers dat uit je tuin kwam!

Dear prof. dr. Holz, prof. dr. Fleckenstein, prof. dr. Schmitz-Valckenberg, Moritz, Matthias, and Matthias from the Universitäts-Augenklinik Bonn, thank you for the fruitful collaboration on foveal sparing. I am also glad we can agree that we should not always listen to Carel. Otherwise, we would have been the Foveal sparing Atrophy Research Team instead of the Study Team. Also many thanks to our collaborators at Moorfields Eye Hospital; prof. dr. Webster, prof. dr. Moore, prof. dr. Michaelides, and Ana for our nice collaboration.

Beste Yara, Nicole, Freekje, Constantin, Myrte, Michel, Nathalie, Laura, Maartje, Roos, Eveline, Sanne, Vivian en Dyon, in de promovendikamer zaten we allemaal in hetzelfde schuitje; het is er hard werken tijdens een hele lange duurloop...en het is er vooral ook erg gezellig! Maar poeh he...wat vliegt er toch soms een hoop onzin door zo'n kamer. Gelukkig kan ik me daar goed van afsluiten, maar ja....dan krijg je ook niet altijd alles mee. Naast al dat onderzoek hebben we zeker ook heel wat afgereisd, spelletjesavonden gehad, gepubquized, film(marathon)s gekeken, taart gegeten, sushi gegeten, pizza gegeten, en nog meer gegeten. En het opnemen en inzingen van al die promotiefilmpjes leverden ook altijd hilarische momenten op. Bedankt voor al die mooie momenten! Niet bedankt trouwens voor alle schrikmomenten die jullie mij hebben bezorgd...

De etentjes en spellenavonden hebben we 'beneden' met een aantal van jullie mooi voortgezet in het 'AIOS-tijdperk' samen met Artin, Martijn, Mustapha, Elise, Linde en Robert. John, Dženita, Stefan, Jelina, Anita, Ramon en Ellen, jullie zijn inmiddels een tijdje AIOS af, maar ik wil jullie nog bedanken dat ik voor alle vragen als kersverse AIOS bij jullie terecht kon. En Nicole, ook jij bedankt dat je als mentormama mij wegwijs hebt gemaakt op de poli (ik weet alleen nog steeds niet alle lampschakelaars te vinden). Ramon, jou wil ik in het bijzonder bedanken voor je hulp en begeleiding tijdens mijn onderzoeksstage. Die archieven in de kelders (krochten?) van de afdeling waren wel saai hoor...en soms ook een beetje creepy. Jij zag echter een kansrijk eindresultaat voor ogen. Jouw enthousiasme heeft ook mij enthousiast gemaakt en misschien was ik anders wel nooit aan mijn promotietraject begonnen.

Maartje, Lisanne en Ronald, dat DOPS-congres van een paar jaar terug...daar mogen we best trots op zijn! Wat een soepel en geslaagd congres was dat. Een geweldig, geolied team waren we!

Collega's van de verpleegpost, optometristen, administratie en het stafsecretariaat, bedankt voor gezelligheid en ondersteuning! Jack en Liesbeth in het bijzonder dat ik het maken van de ERG's en imaging van jullie heb mogen leren!

Lieve vrienden en vriendinnen, Sidney, Robin, Mai, Jimmy, Nynke, Aart, Sherien, Aletta, Renée, Samantha, Koen, Roy, Thijs, Nick, Melek, Fia...lekker badmintonnen, weekendjes weg, BBQ's, motorrijden, de spellenavonden, sushi maken, sushi eten (hoe vaak staat er nou al sushi in mijn dankwoord?!). Tja, het leven bestaat inderdaad uit meer dan alleen maar promoveren (en sushi). Bedankt dat jullie me daaraan hebben blijven herinneren. Nu ik dit kan afsluiten, wordt het hoog tijd om uit dat sociaal isolement te stappen. Lieve Jonathan en Dosdny, ook jullie staan klaar als ik hulp kan gebruiken. Bedankt daarvoor!

Ook wil ik mijn schoonfamilie bedanken, lieve Marianne, René, Leanne, Robin, Imre en opa Wim, bedankt voor al jullie betrokkenheid, gezelligheid, leuke uitjes en skivakanties. Ondanks dat ik inmiddels door jullie ook een beetje kan skiën, blijf ik het toch maar vreemd vinden dat jullie die ijskoude sneeuw maar al te graag verkiezen boven een vakantie met een warm zonnetje en een palmboom.

Kanggo kulawarga, matur suwun kanggo dukungan sampeyan kabeh. Wektu sediluk kanggo kumpul bebarengan iku wektu sing apik nemen lan bakal terus tak iling-iling. Sakjane aku kepingin njenengan ora adoh-adoh. Kanggo Mbah Kakung, matur suwun kanggo dungone sing kenceng. Njenengan mesti ono terus ing atiku. Kanggo Mbah Ibuk, rasane seneng banget kaya mulih nang omah nalika ndeleng kabungahan lan kebecikan ing mripat njenengan. Matur suwun wis bungah karo aku. Aku tresno njenengan.

Lieve mama, jij hebt altijd gezegd dat we zelf onze toekomst moeten opbouwen en daarvoor hard voor zullen moeten werken. Je krijgt het niet voor niets. Dankzij jou heb ik me kunnen ontwikkelen tot wie ik ben. Je staat altijd klaar voor mij, en altijd is er een warm welkom thuis (met lekker eten)! Hoe drukker het werd, des te minder dat ik je eigenlijk zag en vergeet ik weleens te zeggen hoe blij en dankbaar ik ben dat ik de allerliefste mama van de wereld heb!

Lieve Anouk, jij kan me zo goed weghalen van alle drukte om samen te ontspannen en te genieten van alle mooie kleine dingen. Lekker uitwaaien op de motor, op het strand, of simpelweg hier om de hoek. Die momenten samen waarbij we de rest van de wereld eventjes kunnen vergeten, zijn misschien wel de belangrijkste in het leven. Bedankt voor al je steun en liefde! De laatste maandjes, en ik denk wel het hele afgelopen jaar, waren erg zwaar: verhuizen, promoveren, de opleiding, tussendoor competitie spelen...en we hadden ook nog eens bedacht dat we een gezinnetje zouden willen stichten. Samen zijn we erdoorheen geslagen. Zonder jou was dit nooit gelukt, ik hou van je!

Lieve Yenthe, elke ochtend opstaan met een grote glimlach op je gezicht: hoe kan een dag voor een trotse vader nou beter beginnen? Je bent het beste wat mij is overkomen. We kunnen altijd rekenen op elkaar, wij met z'n drietjes!

#### **Curriculum vitae**

Stanley Lambertus was born on November 18th, 1987 in Gendringen, the Netherlands. He completed secondary school (VWO-certificate, Natuur, Techniek en Gezondheid) at the Almende College, Isala in Silvolde. He started his medical study in 2006 at the Radboud University in Nijmegen, and successfully completed this in 2012. His interest in research and ophthalmology developed in his final year, in which he took part in clinical ophthalmologic research on Stargardt disease at the Radboud university medical center. After his medical studies, he continued research in a PhD project on Stargardt disease, which resulted in this thesis. In March 2017, he started a residency in ophthalmology in the same institute.



#### Publications

#### Papers in international journals

Development of refractive errors — what can we learn from inherited retinal dystrophies? Michelle Hendriks, Virginie J.M. Verhoeven, Gabriëlle H.S. Buitendijk, Jan Roelof Polling, Magda A. Meester-Smoor, Albert Hofman, <u>RD5000 Consortium</u>, Maarten Kamermans, L. Ingeborgh van den Born, Caroline C.W. Klaver

Am J Ophthalmol. 2017 Oct;182:81-89.

# Highly sensitive measurements of disease progression in rare disorders: developing and validating a multimodal model of retinal degeneration in Stargardt disease <u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, Nathalie M. Bax, Ana Fakin, Joannes M.M. Groenewoud, B. Jeroen Klevering, Anthony T. Moore, Michel Michaelides, Andrew R. Webster, Gert Jan van der Wilt, and Carel B. Hoyng

PLoS One. 2017 Mar 29;12(3):e0174020.

#### Photo-oxidative stress during fundus autofluorescence imaging

Michel M. Teussink, <u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, Frits de Mul, Carel B. Hoyng, B. Jeroen Klevering, and Thomas Theelen PLoS One. 2017 Feb 24;12(2):e0172635.

## Differential disease progression in atrophic age-related macular degeneration and late-onset Stargardt disease

Moritz Lindner<sup>-</sup>, <u>Stanley Lambertus</u><sup>-</sup>, Matthias M. Mauschitz, Nathalie M. Bax, Eveline Kersten, Anna Lüning, Jennifer Nadal, Steffen Schmitz-Valckenberg, Matthias Schmid, Frank G. Holz, Carel B. Hoyng, and Monika Fleckenstein for the Foveal sparing Atrophy Study Team (FAST) Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2017 Feb 1;58(2):1001-1007.

#### Asymmetric inter-eye progression in Stargardt disease

<u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, Nathalie M. Bax, Joannes M.M. Groenewoud, Frans P.M. Cremers, Gert Jan van der Wilt, B. Jeroen Klevering, Thomas Theelen, and Carel B. Hoyng Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2016 Dec 1;57(15):6824-6830.

#### Progression of late-onset Stargardt disease

Stanley Lambertus<sup>-</sup>, Moritz Lindner<sup>-</sup>, Nathalie M. Bax, Matthias M. Mauschitz, Jennifer Nadal, Matthias Schmid, Steffen Schmitz-Valckenberg, Anneke I. den Hollander, Bernhard H.F. Weber, Frank G. Holz, Gert Jan van der Wilt, Monika Fleckenstein<sup>†</sup>, and Carel B. Hoyng<sup>†</sup> for the Foveal sparing Atrophy Study Team (FAST) Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2016 Oct 1;57(13):5186-5191

#### Early-onset Stargardt disease: phenotypic and genotypic characteristics

Stanley Lambertus, Ramon A.C. van Huet, Nathalie M. Bax, Lies H. Hoefsloot, Frans P.M. Cremers, Camiel J.F. Boon, B. Jeroen Klevering and Carel B. Hoyng Ophthalmology. 2015 Feb;122(2):335-44

## The RD5000 Database: facilitating clinical, genetic, and therapeutic studies on inherited retinal diseases

Ramon A.C. van Huet, Clasien J. Oomen, Astrid S. Plomp, Maria M. van Genderen, B. Jeroen Klevering, Reinier O. Schlingemann, Caroline C.W. Klaver, L. Ingeborgh van den Born, Frans P.M. Cremers, and the <u>RD5000 Study</u> <u>Group</u>

Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2014 Nov 17;55(11):7355-60

Disruption of the basal body protein POC1B results in autosomal-recessive cone-rod dystrophy Susanne Roosing<sup>-</sup>, Ideke J.C. Lamers<sup>-</sup>, Erik de Vrieze<sup>-</sup>, L. Ingeborgh van den Born<sup>-</sup>, <u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, Heleen H. Arts, POC1B Study Group, Theo A. Peters, Carel B. Hoyng, Hannie Kremer, Lisette Hetterschijt, Stef J.F. Letteboer, Erwin van Wijk<sup>†</sup>, Ronald Roepman<sup>†</sup>, Anneke I. den Hollander<sup>†</sup>, and Frans P.M. Cremers<sup>†</sup> Am J Hum Genet. 2014 Aug 7;95(2):131-42

Members of the RD5000 Consortium/Study Group:

Nathalie M. Bax, Carel B. Hoyng, <u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, Wendy A. van Zelst-Stams, Arthur A. B. Bergen, José Schuil, Mary J. van Schooneveld, Laurence Pierrache, Magda A. Meester-Smoor, Camiel J. F. Boon, Jan Willem R. Pott, Redmer van Leeuwen, Hester Y. Kroes, Yvonne de Jong-Hesse, and F. Nienke Boonstra.

\* joint first authors, † contributed equally.

#### **Book chapters**

#### Stargardt Disease

Carel B. Hoyng, <u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, and Nathalie M. Bax In: Querques G, Souied HE, editors. Macular Dystrophies. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2016. p. 25-30.

#### Abstracts in conferences

Natural progression of Stargardt disease; the young go fast, the old take it easy <u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, Nathalie M. Bax, Dyon Valkenburg, Joannes M.M. Groenewoud, Gert Jan van der Wilt, B. Jeroen Klevering and Carel B. Hoyng Nederlands Oogheelkundig Congres 2017

#### Foveal sparing in inherited retinal disease

Nathalie.M. Bax, Dyon Valkenburg, <u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, B. Jeroen Klevering, Camiel J.F. Boon, Frank G. Holz, Frans P.M. Cremers, Monika Fleckenstein, Moritz Lindner, and Carel B. Hoyng, for the Foveal sparing Atrophy Study Team (FAST)

Nederlands Oogheelkundig Congres 2017

## Insensitive short-term clinical trial endpoint in Stargardt disease: progression of best-corrected visual acuity

Sanne K. Verbakel, <u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, Nathalie M. Bax, Dyon Valkenburg, Ramon A.C. van Huet, Joannes M.M. Groenewoud, Carel B. Hoyng Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Annual Meeting 2017

#### Differential progression of Stargardt disease between young infancy and late adulthood.

Dyon Valkenburg, <u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, Nathalie M. Bax, Joannes M.M. Groenewoud, Gert Jan van der Wilt, B. Jeroen Klevering, Carel B. Hoyng

Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology, Annual Meeting 2017

## Complex interaction and Hardy-Weinberg disequilibrium of ABCA4 disease-causing alleles provides insights into the pathogenesis of retinopathy

Ana Fakin, Valentina Cipriani, <u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, Nathalie M. Bax, Anthony G. Robson, Kaoru Fujinami, John Chiang, Anthony T. Moore, Michel Michaelides, Graham E. Holder, Carel B. Hoyng, and Andrew R. Webster Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Annual Meeting 2017

#### Progression of late-onset Stargardt disease

<u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, Moritz Lindner, Nathalie M. Bax, Matthias M. Mauschitz, Matthias Schmid, Steffen Schmitz-Valckenberg, Bernhard H.F. Weber, Frank G. Holz, Gert Jan van der Wilt, Monika Fleckenstein, and Carel B. Hoyng

Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Annual Meeting 2016 6th International DOG-Symposium on AMD 2015 Dutch Ophthalmology PhD Students' Congress 2016

#### Clinical and genetic characteristics of intermediate-onset Stargardt disease

Nathalie M. Bax, <u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, Cecile J.A. van der Poort, B. Jeroen Klevering, Frans P Cremers, and Carel B. Hoyng

Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Annual Meeting 2016

#### Comparison of geographic-atrophy progression in late-onset Stargardt disease and AMD

Moritz Lindner, <u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, Nathalie M. Bax, Matthias M. Mauschitz, Eveline Kersten, Matthias Schmid, Steffen Schmitz-Valckenberg, Carel B. Hoyng, Frank G. Holz, and Monika Fleckenstein 6th International DOG-Symposium on AMD 2015

#### Asymmetric inter-eye progression in Stargardt disease

<u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, Nathalie M. Bax, Gert Jan van der Wilt, and Carel B. Hoyng Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Annual Meeting 2015

#### The burden of disease in Stargardt patients

Nathalie M. Bax, <u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, Lena Ye, Ellen J.M. van den Wittenboer, B. Jeroen Klevering, Chris M. Verhaak, and Carel B. Hoyng Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Annual Meeting 2015 Dutch Ophthalmology PhD Students' Congress 2015

#### Automatic quantification of geographic atrophy in autofluorescence images of Stargardt patients

Clara I. Sanchez, <u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, Bart Bloemen, Nathalie M. Bax, Freerk G. Venhuizen, Mark J.J.P. van Grinsven, Bram van Ginneken, Thomas Theelen, and Carel B. Hoyng Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Annual Meeting 2015

#### The natural progression of early-onset Stargardt disease

<u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, Ramon A.C. Huet, Nathalie M. Bax, Ellen J.M. van den Wittenboer, Frans P.M. Cremers, Camiel J.F. Boon, B. Jeroen Klevering, and Carel B. Hoyng Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Annual Meeting 2014

#### Analysis of ABCA4-related retinal dystrophies without fundus abnormalities

Nathalie M. Bax, <u>Stanley Lambertus</u>, Ramon A.C. van Huet, Frans P.M. Cremers, and Carel B. Hoyng Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Annual Meeting 2014 Dutch Ophthalmology PhD Students' Congress 2014

### Portfolio

S. Lambertus Department of Ophthalmology Pardbourd Institute for Health Sciences	PhD period: 1 January 2014 - 31 December 2016	
	Promotors: Prof. dr. C.B. Hoyng Prof. dr. G.J. van der Wilt Prof. dr. B.J. Klevering	
	Year(s)	ECTS
TRAINING ACTIVITIES		
Courses & workshops		
RIHS introduction course for PhD candidates	2014	0.50
Basic course on regulations and organization for clinical investigators (BROK)	2014	1.50
NIHES EWP Prognostic research and advanced analysis of prognosis studies	2014	1.80
SPSS Course	2014	0.20
ZonMW Workshop: Introduction to systematic reviews of animal studies	2014	0.20
How to prepare your poster presentation	2014	0.20
Radboud Institute for Health Sciences - Introductory course	2015	0.50
Scientific integrity	2015	0.50
Implementing systematic reviews of animal studies. The next steps	2015	0.20
Academic writing	2015	5.00
How to write a medical scientific paper	2015	0.20
How to write a medical scientific abstract	2016	0.20
Perfecting your academic writing skills	2016	1.50
Presentation skills	2016	1.50
Padhoud Pesearch Pound Sensory Disorders (n = 5)#	2014-2016	0.75
Control provide research Round Sensory Disorders $(n - 5)$	2014-2016	0.75
PhD defences (n = 6)	2014-2016	0.50
Orations $(n - 2)$	2015-2016	0.00
NOG electro-ophthalmology meetings $(n = 2)$	2015-2016	0.20
Selective publication and the replicability crisis	2015 2010	010
Calculating power & sample size for clinical trials	2016	010
An editor's perspective on scientific publishing	2016	010
Symposia & congresses		
Novel therapies for inherited retinal dystrophies	2014	0.25
Macula Symposium Rotterdam	2014	0.25
Nederlands Oogheelkundig Congres	2014	0.25
International DOG-Symposium on AMD*	2015	1.00
JMD Symposium	2015	0.25
Publieksavond Therapie in Zicht	2015	0.10
Donders Perception Day*	2016	0.50
00G/ZOG (n = 3)	2014-2016	0.30
Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Annual Meeting $(n = 3)^{***}$	2014-2016	4.50
Dutch Ophthalmology PhD Students (DOPS) congress (n = 3)*	2014-2016	1.50
Other		
RD5000 database development/meetings	2014-2015	0.50
Taskforce genetic therapy meetings	2014	0.25
Open Dag Radboudumc	2015	0.20
American Academy of Ophthalmology Annual Meeting	2015	1.00
Ocular gene therapy alignment meeting"		
Wetenschapsknooppunt RU	2014-2015	0.60
Adobe InDesign and Illustrator workshops $(n = 3)$	2014 2013	0.60
Supervision of internships / other	2010	
50+ Beurs	2015	0.20
Dutch Ophthalmology PhD Students' Congress 2015 organization	2015	2.00
Scientific publication reviews	2015-2016	0.20
Internship supervision	2015-2016	1.00
TOTAL		30.0 ECTS

\* Oral presentation; \* Poster presentation.

